_	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
2	NORTHERN DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
5))) Coniminal Dealest No. 100 0770
6	v.) Criminal Docket No. WDQ-10-0770) Volume IX
7 8	GERMAN de JESUS VENTURA and) KEVIN GARCIA FUERTES,) Defendants)
9	Baltimore, Maryland April 19, 2013 9:34 AM to 3:32 PM
10	THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER CONTINUED ON FOR
11	JURY TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM D. QUARLES, JR.
12	
13	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
14	On behalf of the Government:
15	Michael Cunningham, Assistant U.S. Attorney Rachel Yasser, Assistant U.S. Attorney
16	On behalf of Defendant German de Jesus Ventura:
17	Gerald Ruter, Esquire
18	On behalf of Defendant Kevin Garcia Fuertes:
19	Michael D. Montemarano, Esquire
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (CONT.)
2	Also present:
3	HSI Special Agent Edward Kelly Victoria Kirchgessner, Spanish Interpreter
4	Marta Goldstein, Spanish Interpreter
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6 L7	
L 8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	Reported by:
23	Martin J. Giordano, RMR, CRR, FOCR
24	U.S. Courthouse, Room 5515 101 West Lombard Street
25	Baltimore, Maryland 21201 410-962-4504

1 PROCEEDINGS OF APRIL 19, 2013 2 THE CLERK: All rise. The United States District Court for the District of Maryland is now in session, The 3 Honorable William D. Quarles, Jr. presiding. 4 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. 5 Counsel, are you ready for the jury? 6 7 MR. MONTEMARANO: Two things, Your Honor, very briefly. Initially, we have been provided with a change to 8 the verdict sheet this morning --9 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. MONTEMARANO: -- by Ms. Kies. 12 THE COURT: Very good. 13 MR. MONTEMARANO: I want to make sure I'm pronouncing her name right. I'm a little sensitive about 14 15 that. And I'd like to be heard on that at some point, on the 16 one. 17 Secondly, for the record, in terms of scheduling, 18 I'll be requesting a break before we begin my closing 19 argument, which will be a couple hours from now. 20 **THE COURT:** To compose yourself? 21 MR. MONTEMARANO: Well, I'd like to make sure the 22 jury is concentrating on me, and not their bladders. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Ready for the jury, folks? 24 MR. RUTER: Yes, Your Honor. 25 MS. YASSER: Yes, Your Honor.

Oh, Your Honor, am I permitted to move the easels? 1 2 THE COURT: You can, but we're trying to keep -- you 3 can do it now, because the connection is not necessary. If the connection should become necessary, then I'll ask you 4 5 to move it. Yes, you may use it. MS. YASSER: Thank you, and let me know if I --6 7 THE COURT: Yes. (Jury enters.) 8 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 JURORS: Good morning. 11 THE COURT: Please be seated. 12 Members of the jury, we're entering the final phases 13 of the trial. As I told you, this phase is the argument 14 This is where the lawyers get to tell you what they 15 think the evidence has proved. So I will ask you to give them 16 your full attention. The Government has promised to be no more than 90 minutes in its opening close, and, again, give 17 18 the Government your full attention. I will watch the clock 19 for you. 20 MS. YASSER: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 May it please the Court, ladies and gentlemen. 22 This is a case about two men who profited from the 23 sale and exploitation of women, women already vulnerable 24 because of their status and their position in this country, 25 women who were from all over, and largely up and down the East

Coast, who were transported interstate by the Defendants to engage in commercial sexual activity -- prostitution, usually on dirty, mostly bare mattresses in the basements and back rooms of squalor apartments, dark blankets covering the windows so that no light could come in and the outside world was shielded from seeing what was going on, seeing this largely hidden criminal violation.

These women were expected to service upwards of 30 men a day, \$30, 15 minutes, of which the women only kept half, deductions made from that for the bare necessities of things to protect themselves, like condoms, paper towels, rubbing alcohol. They were not permitted to leave, no fresh air, no lights, only themselves and these men, and no communication with the outside world. They did that for six to seven days in a row before they were shuttled, herded like cattle to the next location where it would begin again, and all to line the pockets of the men responsible for their exploitation, Defendants Kerlin or Kevin Esquivel Fuertes and Defendant German de Jesus Ventura.

And, with respect to Defendant Ventura, it wasn't enough to profit from this illegal sexual commerce. He wanted to own it. He wanted to control it. And you saw, as he demonstrated for you yesterday, what you already knew to be true from all the evidence you had already heard in this case, and that's this defendant's complete and utter disregard for

the rule of law, his complete and utter disregard for the value of human life, his unrelenting desire to control the people around him.

And you've learned over the course of this trial, these many weeks, that, in order to control the sextrafficking trade in Annapolis, Mr. Ventura, along, in the early stages, with the help from Kerlin Esquivel Fuentes, set out on a campaign to intimidate and to eliminate the competition through threats of and actual use of physical violence -- a campaign that included intimidating anyone who was perceived to have gotten in their way, starting with El Pelon.

You heard from Carlos Campos during this trial.

Mr. Campos, if you can recall, was the gentleman who owned the electronic repair shop in Annapolis. He testified that he knew El Pelon, the victim of the homicide, for about eight years before he was murdered, and Ventura, who he called Pancho, for five of those years. Carlos Campos testified that El Pelon was in the very same business as Ventura and Fuertes, the prostitution business, and that he saw Fuertes, who he called Flaco, distributing business cards in his neighborhood around the area where Ventura was running brothels at that time.

And Carlos Campos testified that, one day back in March or April of 2008, Ventura and Fuertes came to see him

about a car stereo, and, at that time, Ventura told him that he wanted his friend, Victor, El Pelon, out of Annapolis, out of his territory. And, to make sure that they knew that Campos would take them seriously, Mr. Fuertes took a black Beretta out of the trunk of the car, and Ventura showed it to Mr. Campos in the hopes, of course, that he would pass on their threat to Mr. -- to El Pelon, to Victor.

And you learned that, about five or six months after this interaction, after this threat, El Pelon was murdered execution style, in the back of the head, and the woman, the prostitute that he was transporting at the time, miraculously was able to survive. And you heard from a young woman named Rebeca Dueñas, who testified and who was under control of Mr. Ventura at the time that she saw Ventura and Fuertes that night celebrating the murder of El Pelon, Fuertes with a gun.

The victim's girlfriend -- El Pelon's girlfriend provided phone numbers to law enforcement. Those phone numbers were of people who were threatening El Pelon in the months leading up to his execution-style murder, numbers that were the same numbers that Mr. Campos provided for Pancho and Flaco, Ventura and Fuertes, the same men who had come to him to threaten Victor, and those numbers became a starting place for Detective Hartlove, the man assigned to investigate the murder of El Pelon.

And what that investigation revealed and what many

people testified over the course of this trial is that Ventura used the murder of El Pelon in order to control the sex trade in Annapolis. Employees like Carlos Ascencio, Maximilliano Zelaya Repalo heard Ventura accept responsibility and take credit for the murder of El Pelon, and the reason -- the reason Ventura was touting his violent achievements was because, even though El Pelon was now out of the way, there were still others who were infringing on his territory; two men in particular, Freddy Soriano and his employee, Hector Avila.

Former employees of Ventura like Carlos Ascencio,
Maximilliano Repalo among them, testified that Ventura planned
to kill the Dominican and his worker, Mr. Zelaya Repalo going
as far as driving around with Ventura and a firearm looking
for him. And you saw the threatening text message and picture
message, and that's Exhibit 5c/7, and you heard the
threatening phone calls that Ventura placed to Freddy Soriano
and Hector Avila.

That's the picture of a semi-automatic, a magazine, and a Santa Muerte statue, angel of death, that Ventura wished upon Hector Avila and Freddy Soriano. That message and 19a/6, which was the one sent to Hector Avila, were sent from Ventura's phone number, a phone Ventura was arrested with in November of 2010. That's the 3124 number that you heard so much about during the trial. And of course you know that that

same statue was recovered in Ventura's house next to a window remarkably similar to the one that's depicted there.

And, ladies and gentlemen, these threats of violence weren't just threats. You heard that, on November 3rd of 2010, Hector Avila was, in fact, assaulted with a pistol grip shotgun by one of Ventura's employees, Ferman Martinez

Hernandez. Who could forget him, right? He testified here, and he told you that he set out to assault Hector Avila because Ventura told him to. That's why he did that. And ultimately it was that assault, the escalating violence, that led law enforcement to step in and take down Ventura's sextrafficking operation, an operation they had been investigating for some time, and that ultimately led to the indictment of, among others, the two men who sit before you today.

Ladies and gentlemen -- and what that investigation revealed is that Ventura and Fuertes didn't direct their violence only to other men that were involved in the sex trade. You learned what happened to law-abiding citizens, members of the community who opened their doors to women working for Ventura.

Do you remember the woman Sandra Flores -- she came in -- the hard-working Hispanic woman, the cleaning woman, who lived in _______, during the time period when Olivia Maldonado, the prostitute, was recovered

from the apartment downstairs? She testified that the police came to her and asked that she lend a place for Maldonado to stay that night, because Ms. Maldonado, who was from out of state, had nowhere to go.

Ms. Flores agreed, and Ms. Maldonado, likely scared that Ventura would think that it was her that called the police to that location on that day, asked to borrow

Ms. Flores' phone. You saw the phone records. You saw

Ms. Flores' phone records, so you can see who it was that

Olivia Maldonado called -- Mr. Ventura at his 0903 number.

And, almost -- I think less than an hour later, you saw an incoming call from Ventura to Ms. Flores, and Ms. Flores testified that Ventura called and threatened her and her family. He threatened to kill her and her family. In the weeks that followed, Ms. Flores' family was literally terrorized. They had gasoline placed in front of their doorway, rocks and sticks thrown at their window, and the van of her husband's car was smashed out, and that's all for lending a hand to one of Ventura's women.

And it's no wonder that Olivia Maldonado was nervous that this man would think that she called the police, because you heard from Margarita Santiago exactly what he did when he suspected people of calling the police on him. Remember Margarita Santiago? She was arrested in September -- end of September of 2009 at ______. She was with

Carlos Ascencio at the time. Well, she testified that, after that arrest, Ventura called her and threatened her. He threatened to kill her. He was on a mission to find the person responsible for calling the police.

You also heard that Ventura was easily angered when he saw his women working for local competition, women that he felt that he should control. Hector Avila testified -- remember, Hector Avila is the man who was assaulted on November 3rd, 2010, the competition, one of the competitors for Mr. Ventura, and he testified that he saw, on one occasion, working was a woman named Isabella, a prostitute from Brazil, and, when he got a call for service with Isabella, he went to pull out his car, but he was being blocked. So he got out of his car, and he saw the Defendant, Ventura, in his green Ford Expedition.

And, while Hector Avila couldn't hear everything that was said to Isabella that day, what he did hear was a threat. He threatened that she needed to get out of there, or something would happen to her, that he knew -- he suspected that she was the one that was working with Hector Avila, and Hector Avila testified that he observed Isabella after that, that she was visibly upset, that she was scared, and that she asked Hector Avila to take her home, which he did.

Finally, in order to maximize his profits, Ventura set out to coerce and to compel, through fraudulent means as

well as the use of force, a young woman named Rebeca Dueñas

Franco, a woman who was trying to get out of the prostitution

life. This man made her false promises, and Ms. Dueñas, being

of limited education and limited means, believed him, and she

went with him.

Not before long, Ventura was harboring her in dilapidated apartments for his own sexual purposes. He put her to work, keeping all of the proceeds for himself, and he used threats of violence, guns, and actual physical abuse to maintain control over her, and, for a period of time, Ventura did so with the knowledge of his defendant, Fuertes, the man who was at every location where Rebeca Dueñas Franco was being held.

Now, as a result of their conduct, Ventura and Fuertes have been charged in a multiple-count Superseding Indictment.

If I may, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. YASSER: These are multiple counts related to the interstate transportation of women, conspiracy, as well as sex trafficking by force, fraud, and coercion, and I'm going to review these charges and their elements and the evidence that supports these charges and the elements in just a moment, but, before I do, I want to just take a moment to reintroduce myself to you.

My name is Rachel Yasser, and I, along with my colleague, Michael Cunningham, have both the privilege and the responsibility of representing the United States in connection with the charges against Mr. Ventura and Mr. Fuertes, and we, together with Special Agent Ed Kelly of HSI, want to thank you for the time that you've taken out of your personal lives to be here and to pay attention to this very serious matter.

The Court is going to instruct you at the end of the trial what elements support each and every one of the charges in the Superseding Indictment, and your job as jurors -- and then he'll instruct you on the law, and his instructions will control, but your job as jurors will be to listen to the law, and then apply the facts and the evidence as you heard them to the law as instructed to you by the Court.

I want to do that with you now, and I want to start with the discussion of Counts 1 and 2 together. Count 1 is conspiracy to entice, to travel, or to travel or to transport interstate for purposes of prostitution. Count 2 is the actual interstate transportation for purposes of prostitution.

Starting with Count 1, conspiracy, there are a few elements here -- three elements to the conspiracy charge. First, that two or more persons entered into at least one of the unlawful agreements charged; that is, either enticement to travel interstate or the interstate transportation for purposes of prostitution -- people came to an agreement,

implicitly or explicitly; two, that the Defendant knowingly and willfully joined the conspiracy; and, three, that one of the members of the conspiracy, either the Defendants or other co-conspirators, knowingly committed at least one overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy, they did something -- they put some plans in action to make it happen.

And there are several overt acts charged in the conspiracy. The Judge will read them all for you. I'm not going to do that now. All you have to do is agree that one act was made in furtherance of the conspiracy. So this is a fairly straightforward charge. You just have to ask yourselves: Did Fuertes and Ventura agree, either implicitly or explicitly, with each other or others involved in the conspiracy to participate in an illegal operation that included the transportation of women, the enticement of women to come into Maryland for purposes of prostitution?

Count 2 is the actual physical or arrangement to physically transport people in interstate commerce for purposes of prostitution. Elements are simple: The Defendants knowingly transported any individual in interstate commerce, and the Defendants transported this individual with the intent that they would engage in illegal sexual activity, here prostitution.

Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence on these two charges is fairly uncontroversial. It's why the Defense told

you in opening statements that there was no disputing that these two men were involved in prostitution, and you know now from all the evidence that you heard in this case what kind of prostitution that business was. That model, you learned, relied on the interstate transportation of women. These women came from all over, and they were on sort of a circuit, because the men, as you heard -- the customers -- wanted variety, and so the women would move interstate from one place to another.

So what the Defense essentially told you in opening statements and what I predict they'll tell you again is that the Defendants are not guilty of these two charges because they didn't force anyone to commit prostitution. Well, as you know from having just seen the elements of the these offenses, there is no such requirement for these charges. The bottom line is it's unlawful for people to conspire to and then to transport or offer people to travel in interstate commerce for purposes of prostitution. It's the actual conspiracy and then the actual enticement and transportation that are separately criminalized. The question of voluntariness is simply not relevant to these charges.

So let's talk a little bit about what is relevant.

What is relevant with respect to Count 1 is whether the

Defendants were members of the conspiracy related to

interstate transportation and, in Count 2, whether the

Defendants did, in fact, transport or arrange for the transportation of some of these women for purposes of prostitution. The evidence that you've heard is overwhelming as to both of these two counts.

I apologize to those on the end who can't see, but you'll have these back with you in the jury room.

What you learned from multiple witnesses in this trial is that Defendant Ventura was operating several brothels in the Maryland area, as well as in Virginia -- I'm sorry -- the Annapolis area, Virginia, as well as Easton, and that, for a significant period of time, Mr. Fuertes was working with Mr. Ventura. He handed out business cards. He worked in the brothels, collecting money to give to Ventura. In addition to working in the Maryland brothels, two of which he was actually arrested in, he also worked in Virginia, you heard from a couple of witnesses -- work that, of course, required the interstate transportation of women as part of the circuit from Maryland to Virginia and back.

In addition to the witness testimony that these two men were engaged in interstate transportation and enticement, you can be certain of the existence of this conspiracy based on the physical evidence that was seized and that you saw over the course of this trial, evidence that literally shows the connections between these two people and their illegal enterprise, the brothels that they were operating.

Starting with the September 2008 arrest of 1 2 Mr. Fuertes, you learned he was driving a Nissan Altima that 3 day, and that, after he gave a false name and a false birth certificate, he was arrested, and his car was towed, and it 4 was later searched, and inside that car were 500 or so 5 prostitution business cards of which you've heard plenty 6 7 about, Crown condoms, and a machete. And, if you recall the 8 registration on that vehicle, it was in the name of Amparo de 9 Jesus Gonzalez at , a brothel where 10 Mr. Fuertes was, on another occasion, actually arrested. 11 Now, who is Amparo de Jesus Gonzalez? Who knows? 12 Who cares? It might not even be a real person, but what's 13 significant about this name is that it showed up throughout 14 this trial, and it shows the connection between these two 15 defendants. Let's take a look at the business card that 16 17 Mr. Fuertes was arrested with. That provides an address, 18 19 well as a phone number. The subscriber to that number is Amparo de Jesus Gonzalez at _____, and also, on a prior 20

, the same address on the Nissan registration, as occasion -- this is 36d -- a prior occasion, the address of Ventura's residence, the same place he was arrested in and he admitted was his home.

21

22

23

24

25

The subscriber to the telephone number Fuertes gave when he was arrested, the 5015 number -- and this is 36b --

Dulce Maria Benites Ventura. And the number that Ventura was 1 2 also arrested with has a phone subscribed to , and this is 36h. That's 09 or a -- I'm 3 sorry -- that 0903 number. And what you see from these 4 records is that number was first subscribed to German Ventura 5 But, after the death of Pelon, even that number 6 7 changed to Amparo de Jesus Gonzalez at 8 These men are using the same aliases, the same addresses to conduct their affairs, the same address that's actually 9 10 associated with a brothel in which Fuertes was arrested. 11 And you also saw the BG&E bills at that brothel, as 12 well as others, in the name of Amparo de Jesus Gonzalez, first 13 at the location, and then again, on another 14 instance months later, at the same location, all of which 15 establishes the connections between these two defendants and their involvement in the same illegal enterprise. 16 17 Now, the next day after Mr. Fuertes was arrested in 18 the Nissan Altima, you learn that , his residence, 19 was searched, and you saw pictures of that residence. Just as 20 an example. 21 (Photograph displayed.) 22 MS. YASSER: There was no question what was going on 23 behind those doors. That's in the basement. There was 24 another picture similar to that one. 25 Rebeca Dueñas was present, and she testified that

she was working for Ventura at the time, and that was

Ventura's brothel, which Fuertes was responsible for running,

and her testimony was supported by the physical evidence

recovered there. Her belongings were in an upstairs room

showing that she was living there; she wasn't just a temporary

resident like the other prostitutes that were there. There

was a huge bag of condoms recovered in Fuertes' room, Crown

condoms that are manufactured out of this country and that are

commonly used in the sex trade.

Pancho's name and number was recovered in a notebook there. You know whose number that is, because he was arrested with it some weeks later. That's German de Jesus Ventura at the top. You also heard that there was a house phone seized at on that day, a phone that, when law enforcement was actually there, started calling in and asking for women.

That's the phone they used to generate their business, and you can see -- and that was the 8198 number, I believe, or 8168 number. And so, when you look at that number for evidence of conspiracy, what you learn is that that house phone number at -- this number had multiple contacts with

Mr. Ventura as well as Fuertes, the two numbers these gentlemen had at the time. We know they had them because they were arrested with them. 315 contacts in a two-month period,

And not only that, establishing their connection

between the brothel, the business of interstate 1 2 transportation, you can also see the contacts between each 3 other, between themselves, further evidence of people that are involved in a conspiracy, because, frankly, who speaks 919 4 times in four months? Who speaks 3,000 times over a period of 5 a year? Men who are involved in business together. You know 6 7 from the context, the evidence in this case, precisely what 8 that business was -- the business of interstate transportation 9 for purposes of prostitution. 10 About a year following Fuertes' arrest in his car 11 -- there was an interim arrest as well at 12 -- Mr. Ventura was arrested with evidence that further 13 confirmed that he was the leader of this illicit business. He 14 was arrested on September 24th of '09 with a large amount of 15 cash and tally sheets -- tally sheets with the names 16 , which is , you heard, and , which is operation. Who has that kind of cash and 17 the tally sheets with two locations but the leader and organizer 18 19 of a business? And the names on those tally sheets of course 20 correspond to locations that you know were searched and that 21 were, in fact, brothels during the same time that Mr. Ventura 22 was arrested. There is no question what was going on behind 23 those closed doors and in those basement rooms. 24 And, with respect to the location that 25

was on Ventura's tally sheet, that was also a location where

Mr. Fuertes had been arrested just months prior. He was arrested there running that operation that belonged to Ventura, and we know that, after that arrest, which was in the March time frame of 2009, Fuertes was placed in deportation proceedings, and he eventually FTA'd for an appearance there -- failed to appear for an appearance there. We later learned where it was exactly that he was -- running one of Ventura's operations in Virginia,

You heard testimony from Carlos Ascencio that he began -- Carlos Ascencio, who began working for Ventura about four months prior to his arrest in September of 2009, met Ventura at Alex's brothel. Do you recall that testimony? He testified that he was initially -- Carlos Ascencio was initially working for a man named Alex, a man who had a bad eye, and that Ventura came to that brothel -- Alex's brothel as a customer, and that he recruited Carlos Ascencio to work for him in Maryland, and Carlos Ascencio, who was on probation, decided that that was a good idea, to get a little bit further outside of Washington, D.C. where he was.

Carlos Ascencio, if you remember, also testified -and this will be relevant later -- that Ventura would come to
Alex's house looking for the most beautiful women to take as
his own. Carlos Ascencio testified that, when he began
working for Ventura, it was the same as it was in D.C., that
these women came from out of state from New York -- I think he

said Boston and elsewhere -- and that, on at least on one occasion, Ventura directed him to pick up a woman from New York, from the bus station in D.C., and then transport her to Maryland. He, just like everyone else in this case, said that these women were rotated on a weekly basis from brothel to brothel and across state lines and back. That is simply how this business operated, and everyone knew it.

One of the places that Ventura rotated his women was this brothel at ______, the same brothel that Ventura was surveilled bringing a woman to later in August of 2010, and that, Carlos Ascencio -- what Carlos Ascencio told you is where he met a man named Pacha and Juano and who he identified in this courtroom as Defendant Fuertes.

Carlos Ascencio said that Fuertes was running that location, and that he would later see -- after he went with Ventura and this prostitute to Virginia, where he saw Fuertes, that he would later see Fuertes also in Maryland at the end of the week, when the week's work was done, bringing the cash back to Ventura and dropping off the women from the Virginia brothel back to the Maryland brothels.

That, ladies and gentlemen, constitutes specific instances of transportation that support Count 2 as to both Ventura and Fuertes.

The phone records you saw also corroborated this testimony with respect to Mr. Fuertes and his connections to

So all the connections establish that

So all the connections establish that this particular phone number was Fuertes' number at the time, and what you see between that number and Mr. Ventura are, again, numerous connections evidencing their participation in a conspiracy.

So, in conclusion on these two counts, it's eyewitness testimony, the physical evidence that were seized and that you saw in this courtroom, as well as the phone records that establish the conspiracy between these two individuals to engage in interstate transportation for purposes of prostitution as well as the actual interstate transportation of women for purposes of prostitution.

Now, Counts 3, 4, and 5 in the Indictment are what we call substantive counts that involve Ventura and only Ventura and his enticement and transportation of women on specific dates that are certain in this investigation. These

are dates where we actually know, although it's not required under the law, but we actually do know the names and identities of the women that were being transported, either because they were caught on surveillance, or because they were actually arrested in one of Ventura's brothels.

I want to start with Count 3, enticement to travel interstate for transportation -- I'm sorry -- enticement to travel interstate for purposes of prostitution. And this count relates to Margarita Santiago, September 2009, who you heard from in the course of this trial, and the elements of this offense are as follows. The first is that the Defendant knowingly persuaded or induced or enticed or coerced an individual to travel in interstate commerce; that the individual did, in fact, travel; and that the Defendant acted with the intent that she engage in prostitution.

The foundation for this charge, as I indicated, is the testimony of Margarita Santiago, a woman who, at the young age of 16, was forced in her home country to engage in prostitution. She was sent here by the father of her children, who was essentially keeping them captive in her home country. They were living with her mother -- with his mother. She was forced to give all her earnings to her cousins -- his cousins who were in New Jersey, and, if she did not send money, she could not see her children.

So, in September of 2009, already engaged in

prostitution, she got Ventura's number from another woman, who was also so engaged, and she called him, and Ventura offered her work as a prostitute here in Maryland. He told her to come to D.C. on a bus where he would pick her up. She testified that, upon her arrival here in Maryland after traveling from New Jersey, she called Ventura, and he picked her up in D.C. and transported her to Maryland.

She worked for him for one week. She went back up to New Jersey for one day to deliver the money, and then came back per instructions, per agreement between her and Ventura that he would, again, offer her work for the following week. And you saw the phone records that corroborated her accounts. You saw the contacts between her and Mr. Ventura up through and including the day of her arrest on September 24th of 2009.

And Ms. Santiago testified that, when she came here to Maryland, she did, in fact, work in Ventura's brothels, as well as the brothel in Virginia. And she testified that, when she did so, she slept in the same room where she was meeting her customers. She was not permitted to go out, that the ones that cared for the brothel would bring her her food and her necessities. She testified that she was sometimes treated quite badly, and that, on one occasion, she was assaulted by one of the customers — an assault that she didn't report because, as she described it, the customer would threaten to call the police if she said anything to anyone about what had

1 In her mind, it was the same police who threatened happened. 2 Immigration -- deportation upon her, and threatened to take 3 her children away. It's no wonder that these women don't report these crimes. 4 5 Margarita Santiago was arrested the third time she came to Maryland to work for Mr. Ventura. That was at 6 7 That was with Carlos Ascencio and another 8 woman, Helen, who came from D.C. You saw the pictures of 9 There is no question what was going on there at that 10 date and time, and there was no question that Margarita 11 Santiago traveled from New Jersey to Maryland at Ventura's 12 direction to engage in prostitution. 13 Her testimony was uncontested, and it's unequivocal. She would not have come here to Maryland on the occasion 14 15 charged in the Indictment if she had not had work offered to

her by Mr. Ventura. It doesn't matter that she was willing. It doesn't matter that she was engaged in prostitution. only came to Maryland on that occasion -- I should say those three occasions for the purpose of engaging in prostitution, and that, ladies and gentlemen, makes the Defendant guilty on Count 3.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counts 4 and 5 are, as I said, specific instances of transportation again. Count 4 relates to Bridgett Alcivar and the August 2nd, 2010 transportation of her from Maryland down to Norfolk or, more specifically I guess, Portsmouth, Virginia

You saw Ms. Alcivar on the surveillance 1 2 images, and you learned that this was on a Monday. After 3 hearing all about how the operation worked, you know that Bridgett Alcivar is coming to meet Ventura on a Monday. You 4 5 see Ventura get out of his car, help her with her bags, and then she goes into his car where surveillance tracks them 6 7 going into Portsmouth, Virginia. ICE agents in Norfolk pick 8 up the surveillance and watch these two individuals go into 9 , a location that was confirmed by the 10 consensual calls to be a brothel. Ms. Alcivar, we know, has a 11 history of prostitution arrests, and we know -- we know 12 without having to hear from her exactly what Ventura was 13 transporting her to do on that day. 14 You also, by the way, saw on Ventura's phone the 15 number that he had at the time, if you recall, the 3124 16 number. You could physically see the phone crossing state 17 lines to take Ms. Alcivar down to Portsmouth, Virginia during 18 the time period that surveillance was not being conducted on 19 them. 20 Count 5, again, is the same substantive count with 21 22 Bonita Torres Moran. This was the woman who was -- law

Count 5, again, is the same substantive count with respect to Mr. Ventura only and his transport of a woman named Bonita Torres Moran. This was the woman who was -- law enforcement recovered with Mr. Ventura on the morning of his arrest, November 15th, 2010. This was a woman who had on her person a number for Mr. Ventura. You can see -- it might be

23

24

25

difficult to see, but, if you see, about halfway through this calendar that was in her possession, Oscar Norfo, and a number, the 3124 number that we know that Ventura was arrested with that very same day, and you saw the contacts between those two numbers on that day, a Monday, the day where women are being brought to the next location to start their workweek.

Ms. Bonita Torres Moran had a large amount of condoms on her person. Mr. Ventura had prostitution business cards as well as tally sheets on his person. Surveillance picked these two up in D.C., when Ms. Moran was already in the vehicle with Mr. Ventura, after watching Mr. Ventura go into D.C. They then pick him up again. She's in the car. He moves her across state lines on the way to where he's arrested, and the items that I just described were seized from the vehicle.

You know exactly what Mr. Ventura was taking

Ms. Moran to do that day -- to have sex with upwards of 30 men

in a dark, dirty basement room, no sheets, coverings on the

windows, making minimal amounts of money, with Mr. Ventura and

his co-conspirators keeping the rest for themselves. And that

transportation, ladies and gentlemen, makes the Defendant

guilty as to Counts 4 and 5.

Count 6 relates to both defendants, and it's the sex trafficking by force, fraud, or coercion. You heard from a

young woman who, in addition to being trafficked interstate by Ventura and Fuertes, was also the victim of coerced and forced sex trafficking at the hands of Ventura, but also to the financial benefit of Mr. Fuertes, and that is a separate violation of law. It's Count 6.

In the course of the time that Ms. Dueñas was made to work for Ventura, you also learned that Ventura both possessed and used firearms in connection with her trafficking, and that, as to just Defendant Ventura, is Count 7. I'm going to review those elements with you now, starting first with Count 6.

Count 6 requires the Government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt first that the Defendants either knowingly recruited, enticed, harbored, transported, provided, or obtained a person by any means, or benefited financially from participation in a venture so engaged, and I see some of you writing, so I want to just remind you that you will have the written instructions with you back in the jury room.

Two, the Defendants knew that force, fraud, or coercion would be used with respect to this person; three, that the Defendants knew that this person would be engaged in a commercial sex act -- prostitution, exchange of money for sex; and that the Defendants' conduct was in or affecting interstate commerce, as simple as the movement across state lines, the use of telephones, the use of condoms that are

manufactured out of the state.

And I submit to you that, with respect to

Elements 1, 3, and 4, it's largely uncontested with respect to

Ms. Dueñas. The real question has to do with Element 2,

whether Defendants knew or had reason to know that force,

fraud, or coercion would be used with respect to Ms. Dueñas.

With respect to Count 7 -- and, again, this is just the Defendant Ventura on Count 7 -- he's been charged with possession of a firearm in connection with a crime of violence, which is the sex trafficking of Ms. Dueñas. Count 7 requires the Government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt, first, the Defendant committed a crime of violence, which is Count 6, that he did, in fact, sex traffic by force, fraud, or coercion Ms. Dueñas, and that the Defendant knowingly possessed or -- a firearm in furtherance or used or carried a firearm in or in relation to that particular crime.

The victim of these counts, Rebeca Dueñas, was just 19 years old when she came into this country. She came from a small town in Guatemala named -- called Retantelco, where she was removed by her parents from school at the age of eight or nine years old, in third grade, so that she could help them farm. This is a young woman who came to Maryland knowing only one person, knowing of only one person in the United States, a woman that you heard about called Anna, and I say "knowing of," because I think you also learned that this was somebody

who her mother's friend had gotten a number for, that 1 2 Ms. Dueñas had never met this particular woman before she came 3 into the United States. And, when Ms. Dueñas arrived in the United States, 4 5 she had no family, no friends, no education, no English skills, and no money. She was illegally present in the United 6 7 States, and she was pregnant with a child that resulted from a 8 rape by a coyote during her transport here, an all-too-common 9 occurrence. 10 Ms. Dueñas came into this public courtroom. 11 testified in front of a group of people who she had never met 12 before, and she testified about things, I submit, that were 13 extremely painful for her to relive. She talked about abuses 14 that she had suffered both before and after she met the 15 Defendants. 16 DEFENDANT VENTURA: Excuse me. Can you --INTERPRETER BLUMBERG: Excuse us one moment. 17 The 18 battery ran out. Apologize. 19 Thank you, Ms. Yasser. 20 MS. YASSER: Remember how I mentioned control? 21 MR. RUTER: Objection. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. This is argument. 23 MS. YASSER: It was clear that Ms. Dueñas was very, 24 very visibly upset, that she was -- felt some amount of shame 25 and embarrassment at the three years of prostitution for which

she was engaged. She was terrified at confronting the men who were responsible for trafficking her, and that came across in her testimony. She testified in front of you for over six hours, over four of which she was subjected to cross-examination by incredibly experienced defense counsel.

Can you imagine the toll that that takes upon a person? And Ms. Dueñas, though at times she did have to compose herself and take a sip of water before she could answer a question, I submit to you that she answered the questions that were posed to her by the Government precisely the same way that she answered the questions that were posed to her by the Defense. She was direct, she was not defensive, she was not combative, and she was not evasive — complete and utter contrast to what this defendant did when he testified here yesterday — testimony that was so incredibly absurd that I submit that you can just dismiss it outright.

Now, with few exceptions, exceptions I submit were more result of confusion or lack of education, Ms. Dueñas' testimony did not waver. She was credible, and her account was corroborated at every single turn. Starting first, her testimony about what she did for a relatively brief period of time in the United States when she got here. She talked about her job at the recycling plant, and we saw a photograph of Ms. Dueñas working at the recycling plant.

Not before long, she met a man named Alex, a man

with a bad eye, a man who she met in a restaurant. You heard about Alex from other independent witnesses in this case. You heard about him from Carlos Ascencio, the same man with a bad eye who was involved in prostitution. You also heard about him from Special Agent Kelly, who confirmed that a brothel was searched in a location in D.C. that was attributable to Alex. It's a real guy.

With respect to Ms. Dueñas, Alex at first was nice to her. He took her out to dinner. He arranged for his aunt to help care for his daughter. He groomed her. That's what that is. He softened her, and then he used her daughter against her to get her to work in prostitution. You've heard that before. That happens in these cases. It's the same as what happened to Margarita Santiago. Suck them in. They use people they love against them, and they compel them to engage in prostitution -- work that you know, as Margarita Santiago testified, and has Rebeca Dueñas told the police back in September of 2008, work that no woman wants to do -- no woman.

Sometime later, Ms. Dueñas met Ventura. She testified that Ventura was a customer in Alex's house, that he was nice to her, that she told him that she wanted out of this life, and that he offered to help her. He offered to take care of her and her daughter. He promised her a better life, one without the defacement of prostitution, and that too was corroborated by an independent witness in this case, Carlos

Ascencio, who, before he worked for Ventura, worked for Alex, and he testified that Ventura actually recruited him from Alex's brothel and that Ventura would go there, and he would look for the most beautiful women, the women who would bring in the most amount of money, and he would go there to take them as his own. And that's exactly what he did with Ms. Dueñas. He went there, he saw she was young, she was pretty, petite, and he knew that she would bring him a lot of money.

Rather than using her as a regular prostitute, he had a plan to lure her in, to build her trust, to make her dependent on him for everything -- transportation, food, care of her daughter, shelter -- and then, when he had her under his control, he could direct her actions, keeping all the money that she was made to earn for himself, and that, ladies and gentlemen -- those false promises, those misrepresentations to start is enough to support Count 6 as to Mr. Ventura. You'll hear from the Judge that fraud as defined under that statute is simply defined as a misstatement or omission of a material fact to entice someone to engage in prostitution.

But there is a lot more than just fraud. After

Ventura weakened her even more than she already was, he threw

her a box of condoms -- chocolates, I think she called them -
and told her it was time to get to work. And, when she

resisted, she was beaten. Some of those beatings left marks on her body. RDF testified -- Rebeca Dueñas Franco testified that, on one occasion after being moved from sometime following the police encounter there, she was moved to which was a location outside -- just outside Baltimore City, a location that was owned, as you heard from Mr. Kim, the Korean owner at that location. By Ventura, she's moved there, and she was whipped with a belt when she refused to have sex with Black men.

Now, it's safe to assume, based on the testimony of others that you heard over the course of this trial, that the Hispanic brothel model was such that the women had never been asked -- that Ms. Dueñas had never been asked before to perform commercial sex acts with men outside her own community, and she told you that she refused to do so, something that's not easy to admit. She admitted it.

And what happened to her in response was that she was whipped with a belt, a beating that Defendant Fuertes was present for, and she testified that, after this beating and as a result of it, she did engage in the commercial sex that she was directed to do, sexual acts for which the proceeds were then shared between Defendant Ventura and Defendant Fuertes, and that incident, again, alone supports the finding of guilty as to both Defendants on Count 6 -- Ventura because he was the one who actually used the force to compel the prostitution

acts, and as to Mr. Fuertes because he benefited financially with the knowledge that such abuse was done.

Let me just say one thing about Fuertes before we move on to Count 7. This is a man who was present at every single brothel where Ms. Dueñas is recovered over the course of this investigation. He's there in 2008. He's there again in March of 2009. He's at with Ms. Dueñas in April of 2009. So, even without the testimony about this particular physical assault, I submit that this is a man that had to have known what was going on. He had to have known.

And, if he didn't know, he simply turned a blind eye to it.

And that, ladies and gentlemen, the Judge will tell you, makes him just as guilty in the eyes of the law. And don't forget either that this is the man who helped Mr. Ventura, who helped him with his dirty business, helped him threaten El Pelon. He had a gun. This wasn't a man who was going to object to mistreatment of women. He wasn't going to stop it, especially when it inured to his financial benefit.

There is some level of forensic corroboration to Ms. Dueñas' account as well. You recall that the injury from the belt to, I believe, her left thigh, as well as two others that she testified Ventura was responsible for -- an injury to her elbow where she was pushed down on rocks, as well as an injury to her left -- lower left leg by her ankle where she

said she was sliced by Mr. Ventura, those were noted by a doctor in this case, as she testified as an expert with respect to cutaneous or skin findings, and Dr. Baker actually -- she independently noted four significant injuries to Ms. Dueñas, which she took down in her report, one of which Ms. Dueñas, you know, doesn't attribute to the Defendant but was a significant physical mark on her body caused by the car door.

Dr. Baker saw, for example, the injury to Rebeca's right thigh -- left -- I can't remember, but I believe left thigh -- that was consistent with the tip of a belt. She made note of the injury to the elbow, which was precisely -- remember that star-like injury on the elbow? What did she call that -- that was consistent with being pushed down upon rocks. And she also felt and saw a scar -- a significant scar to Ms. Dueñas' ankle that was consistent with being cut with a knife. She knew that because of the shape and the size and its location, a location that was not consistent with self-infliction of wounds, a finding that serves to further corroborate Ms. Dueñas' testimony that Ventura sliced her ankle for refusing to perform what she thought was a distasteful sexual act with an inanimate object.

Ladies and gentlemen, it's not only the abuse, the Judge will tell you, that the Defendant inflicted upon

Ms. Dueñas directly that matters in assessing the legitimacy

of her fear of Mr. Ventura and the reasonable belief of hers that Ventura's threats of harm to her were real, but it's also her observations of beatings and threats and acts of violence that she witnessed against others, all of which served to combine to create a general climate of fear around Ms. Dueñas.

For example, the time she witnessed Ventura beating a woman named Cassandra, a prostitute who had worked for Ventura and was suspected by Ventura of having called the police to rob one of his brothels. That also, by the way, was in the presence of Fuertes, who did nothing to stop it. The time Ventura hit Colmillo, a male worker of his, that you heard a little bit about throughout the trial. Let me show you Colmillo. And the bottom row of this chart are the men that were arrested in Mr. Ventura's brothels. This is Reyes, Colmillo.

And all the threats that she overheard being made to competitor pimps. And then, finally, her very reasonable belief that Ventura and Fuertes, based on her observations back in September of 2008, were, in fact, responsible for the murder of El Pelon. All of that is in Ms. Dueñas' head, and all of it is relevant to assessing the reasonableness of her fears.

Then of course, leading into Count 7, there are the guns that Ms. Dueñas saw Ventura with and Fuertes with on several occasions. Ms. Dueñas, of course, was one of five

people who came into this courtroom and testified under oath that they observed Ventura with guns on various occasions:

Carlos Campos, Carlos Ascencio, Maximilliano Repalo, and Ferman Martinez Hernandez. And of course he had guns.

Mr. Ventura was the owner and operator of at least six or seven brothels in the Maryland and Virginia area, a business where many other men were involved in robberies, assaults.

They would call the police on one another.

You heard from the telephone calls -- the recorded calls that he was involved in -- constantly involved in sort of turf wars with these other men that were involved in sex trafficking. It's common sense that Ventura would have guns in his operation, and simply because law enforcement recovered only one of those guns as well as various machetes says nothing about whether those guns existed on prior occasions. Criminals get rid of their guns all the time. It's what they do.

With respect to Ms. Dueñas, she testified that she saw Ventura with guns on multiple occasions, but two different guns that she remembered. One was a black automatic, consistent with the same gun that Carlos Campos had seen, and one was a silver gun with some cream color on it as well, or maybe the cream was on the other one, and that she saw -- she couldn't recall when the last time she saw that gun was, whether it was before she was arrested or -- I'm sorry --

before Ventura was arrested in November of 2010, but what she did remember is that, on several occasions, Ventura would use the gun against her, that he even, on one occasion, held it to her head, and, though he said that he was just joking, just playing around, that it made her feel afraid, that she was scared of Mr. Ventura, and, because Ventura's possession and use of these firearms helped to keep her subdued, helped to maintain her fear, he is guilty as to Count 7 for using and possessing them in connection with sex trafficking.

In light of all these surrounding circumstances and in consideration of Ms. Dueñas' particular station in life, her education, her lack of English skills, her physical and mental condition, all of which the Judge will tell you is relevant and appropriate to consider, all of this in conjunction with the acts done by Mr. Ventura and Mr. Fuertes allow you to find that Ms. Dueñas reasonably believed that she didn't have a choice but to continue performing commercial sex acts as directed by Ventura, and, to be sure that Ms. Dueñas felt that she had no reasonable choice but to continue engaging in these acts, all you have to do is ask yourself: What pregnant woman chooses -- chooses, according to Mr. Ventura -- to engage in prostitution?

Do you recall her testimony that Ms. Dueñas, when she became pregnant with Mr. Ventura's child, was hopeful that this would be the time when he would allow her to stop, but

that he did not let her stop, that she was, in fact, injured as a result of seeing clients while pregnant, and you saw the surveillance images from that trip to the hospital, and you saw the hospital bill that was recovered in Ms. Dueñas' house. I shouldn't say "house." It was a room -- a basement room.

And you can literally see the misery on that woman's face. You can see the vacancy and the emptiness there. And, remember, she testified that, despite being pregnant and despite this injury, she was made to keep working in prostitution up to the day that Mr. Ventura was arrested in November of 2010, the end of the investigation.

Ms. Dueñas was five or six months pregnant at the time. You know that because of when her son was born in March of the following year, and you know that Special Agent Kelly identified in Ms. Dueñas' -- not Ms. Dueñas' messages, but, rather, the Defendant's messages, text exchanges between her and the Defendant that indicated that Ms. -- what this is, ladies and gentlemen -- this is a text sent by Ms. Dueñas to Mr. Ventura that confirmed that she was indeed pregnant at the time and working at the time, if you look at the following three messages showing her texting of addresses related to prostitution, related to brothels. The first message was sent on November 6th, 2010, and she sends a text at 1:35 a.m. The 1:35 a.m. text, which is 39b/2, Mr. Cunningham.

She sends a text at 1:35 a.m. telling Mr. Ventura

that the baby is moving a lot -- a desperate, albeit failed, plea to him to let him know that she doesn't want to work, but the next text reveals that her appeal didn't work. She's still working, and that text is a address that she texted to Ventura, consistent with her testimony that she had to text him when she arrived at a new brothel or arrived at a location where she was being a prostitute.

And, from a review of those recovered texts, you also saw a text that I submit suggests this woman's complete submissiveness to Mr. Ventura -- submissiveness in her voice before she's encountered by law enforcement, and, at this point in time, ladies and gentlemen, she's over two years in. She's completely resigned to Ventura's demands. You saw where he had her living. It was a small basement room, no food, no real money, no means of transport, dependent on this man for everything, exactly as he had initially planned.

Ladies and gentlemen, at the end of the day, this is a case about two men who exploited, who made their living off the sale and exploitation of women's bodies, of human beings — human beings who were easily exploitable because of their desperate circumstances, people who are mistreated in the most extreme, in the most debasing, in the most degrading of ways. It's a case of two men who used violence and threats of violence in order to maximize their profits and to maintain control of a business. They used it in order to scare off the

competition. They used it to frighten law-abiding members of 1 2 the community, to keep them quiet, and they used it to ensure 3 for their sole use the earnings of Rebeca Dueñas. Ladies and gentlemen, you have heard all the facts 4 and all the evidence that you need to support the charges in 5 the Indictment beyond a reasonable doubt, and justice is 6 7 nothing more than the dispassionate application of the law to 8 the facts. You are ready to do that now. The Defendants 9 deserve no more than that, and the people of Maryland and the 10 victims in this case deserve no less, and, when you return 11 from that jury room after applying the law, as you'll hear it 12 from the Judge, to the evidence in this case, you should 13 emerge with guilty verdicts on all counts as to all defendants. 14 15 Thank you very much for your time. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 MR. MONTEMARANO: May we approach, Your Honor? 18 THE COURT: Yes. Come up. 19 (Whereupon, the following discussion occurred at the 20 bench.) 21 MR. MONTEMARANO: I need a bathroom break, Your 22 Honor, if Your Honor is not inclined to break. I need a 23 bathroom break --24 **THE COURT:** Now and before your argument? 25 MR. MONTEMARANO: It's a medication issue, Your

1	Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. MONTEMARANO: I mean, I'm happy to step into the
4	hall while people are setting up, cleaning up, if that's what
5	you want to do, but like I said, I'd be happy to step into
6	the hall next to the courtroom if Your Honor is not inclined
7	to break formally, but
8	THE COURT: Well, I assume you're going next,
9	Mr. Ruter?
10	MR. RUTER: I am.
11	THE COURT: Can you miss a brief part of
12	Mr. Ruter's
13	MR. MONTEMARANO: He may not even start.
14	MR. RUTER: Are you sure? Are you sure?
15	(Whereupon, the bench conference was concluded.)
16	THE COURT: Members of the jury, we're now going to
17	hear from Mr. Ruter. He has promised to take no more than 45
18	minutes of your time, so, again, I will watch the clock for
19	you. Please give him your full attention.
20	Mr. Ruter?
21	MR. RUTER: Your Honor, thank you.
22	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
23	JURORS: Good morning.
24	MR. RUTER: You know who I am. I represent
25	Mr. Ventura, and I, along with the others here, thank you for

continuing the tradition which you all learned about during my opening of King Ethelred the Unready, who started the jury system in the year about 960 A.D. It's been refined a bit. It's changed a bit. You recall -- it was a long time ago -- that you folks would be called thegns, would have come from different wapentakes, which were districts around where you lived, would go out, and you'd go find the evidence, and you would go seek out the truth and come back and report it to the king.

We don't do that anymore. Now the evidence is brought to you, and you listen to the evidence. You're instructed about the law by the Court, and then you go back into the jury room, and you make a decision as to innocence or guilt in a criminal case. So I am honored to be a part of a tradition which spans over a century -- many centuries, and it is my hope that it will continue for many centuries to come.

Now, folks, the Government has been investigating Mr. Ventura, as you now are aware, for several years. You have heard that the Government has used lots of resources, and, during this investigation, they have used many cooperating witnesses. Many folks have appeared before the Grand Jury. There has been multiple search warrants executed on multiple locations throughout the state of Maryland and elsewhere. There have been multiple searches of cars from time to time. There have been consensual searches without

search warrants on multiple locations over the years. There have been cell phone subpoenas, GPS devices on cell phones, and the list goes on.

The Government has a right to do that. The Government should do that. I only want to point out that all that Mr. Ventura has is me. That's all that he had, and we have the system in front of us, which is you, which stands between him and the power of the United States of America. We ask you to make certain that you use wisely the authority and power which has been granted you by our jury system.

Now, folks, the subject matter of this case has been very unpleasant. None of us, I am sure, enjoyed the story of the unfortunate prostitution that's been going on. None of us enjoyed hearing, I think, about the fortunes of those ladies involved in the business. We've heard about the fact that there are a lot of illegal immigrants that come into this country hoping for a better place to live, and they end up somehow or another doing illegal activities, this case in prostitution. We've heard of the murder of an individual who was murdered allegedly because he was involved in the prostitution business, one El Pelon, and we've heard of a lot of threats going between various business owners and managers. We've heard of possible assaults, possible attempts of taking of people's lives, and the like. But you, as the modern-day thegns, have an obligation, like they did over a thousand

years ago, to listen to the evidence and return a verdict without bias.

There is a couple of categories of people I want to talk about, but, before I do, I want to enlist the words of a couple of folks that I think highly of. One is John Adams, and John Adams said that, as to you, as to our jury system, that you are the heart and the lungs of liberty.

Thomas Jefferson said, as to you folks standing and sitting in front of me, that the jury system is as the only anchor ever imagined by man by which government can be held to the principles of its constitution. There is a lot of pressure, then, riding on your shoulders given the history of King Ethelred to King James to King John and the magna carta and the likes of John Adams and Thomas Jefferson, and I think you are up to the task.

Trials can be a messy thing, and this trial was a messy thing. Trials can evoke a lot of strong emotions, and I think, in this case, emotions were brought to bear.

Ms. Yasser pointed out the plight of some of the ladies that appeared before you, the prostitutes -- and I don't use that word in a derogatory manner whatsoever. I just don't know of another word I can use of the business they were in. And, if you all felt sympathy and if you felt sorrow and you felt pity for those ladies that you saw and heard about, you have every right to do so. It would be understandable, and no one would

take issue with it.

However, it is your obligation, when you enter that door and you begin your jury deliberations, to leave behind -you must leave behind those strong emotions that you may feel,
because you cannot return a fair and just verdict if you allow
those emotions to run rampant in that jury room at all. You
must set them aside, and you replace them with what you
should -- that is, the facts and the law. And, once you do
that and you leave those emotions outside that jury room, you
probably will, in fact, return a just and true verdict.

Mr. Ventura took the witness stand yesterday. You saw him all day long, and you've seen him over the course of a couple of weeks, and I am going to go out on a limb and say that all of you may have some strong emotions about Mr. Ventura. You may not like him. You may hate him. You may be angered and upset by him. And, if you believe all of the evidence, perhaps you would have a right to feel those emotions, but, if you feel any of those emotions, you are duty-bound to leave them outside the jury room, because, if you do not, you cannot return a fair and impartial verdict in this case, and I implore you to make certain that you leave those raw emotions, if any, outside the jury room and concern yourself only with what you have heard and what you have seen inside of this courtroom over the course of this trial. If you do that, I am confident that you will, in fact, return a

just and true verdict.

This case is not about the murder of El Pelon. This case is not about the assault of Hector Avila. This case is not about the threats advanced to the Escobar family and many other kinds of threats of violence that were heard throughout the course of this trial. They are classic red herrings, and I will explain as I go from count to count in this case. I'm not going to show you any diagrams. I'm not going to show you more photographs. I'm not going to show you more condoms or K-Y Jelly, because I think you've seen enough of that.

Count 1 is, as the Government suggested, a very straightforward count. If you find that a couple people got together and said, "You know what? I think we're going to do a prostitution business," and you think that that man played any role in it, and you're convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, that's the end of Count 1, and that's the end of my explanation of Count 1.

The Government said, "Well, the Defense may stand up and tell you that you have to consider force as to Count 1."

Well, I wouldn't do that, because that ain't the law. Two elements, that's it, and I'm not going to speak any further about Count 1.

Count 2 is a -- Count 2, 4, and 5 are the same counts with different kinds of dates and people associated with them. Count 2 is an actual interstate transportation for

the purpose of transportation (sic). It took place -- this is Count 2 now -- between, I think, September of '08 to March of '09. You saw lots of evidence that there was prostitution going on. I would dare say, after about one and a half days of testimony, you would have been convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that prostitution was going on. It took a bit longer for that evidently to come out throughout this trial. If you find that Mr. Ventura himself was involved in interstate prostitution between March 8 and 2009 -- if you find that beyond a reasonable doubt, then you will return a verdict as you see fit.

In that regard, you have lots of photographs. You have lots of K-Y Jelly. You have lots of rubbing alcohol, and you have even more lots of condoms, at different places. Some were in Maryland, and some are in Virginia, and you've heard from witnesses who saw Mr. Ventura here and there and everywhere, and you'll have to decide whether or not you believe that he was involved in the actual interstate movement for prostitution purposes during that time frame. And I will speak no further about Count 2.

I want to skip forward to Count 4 and 5. I'm going to skip Count 3, because that's a different kind of a count.

Count 4, as pointed out to you, involves the actual interstate movement for prostitution purposes of Bridgett Alcivar. I may not be pronouncing her name correctly. And Ms. Yasser pointed

out she wasn't brought in, but you did see a photograph of
her. She was standing near one vehicle, and Mr. Ventura was
standing near another vehicle. This was on August the 2nd of
2010. That's the date. You'll have those photographs back
there with you. And there was testimony from Special
Agent Kelly, I believe, that he said he followed that vehicle
from one place to the next, and he saw it travel interstate.

That's the testimony. That's the evidence you have

That's the testimony. That's the evidence you have as to Count 4. The Government wants you then to take that evidence and to extrapolate it and say, "Well, it's got to be -- it's got to be obvious that the reason that that transportation took place was for the purpose of prostitution."

Now, folks, I beg to differ. You need to be a little bit more analytical about those matters than just saying, "Well, there is some pictures, and there he is, and there she is, and they did go from here to there." What the Government wants you to do is to assume that that was about to happen -- that the movement interstate occurred for the purpose of prostitution.

Now, I am not stupid, so I know you're not stupid. Could it have been? Could it have been for the purpose of prostitution? Yes, it could have. But you have to find beyond a reasonable doubt that that was the purpose for that movement. The Government says, "Well, you know, she was

arrested once for prostitution, that lady." Well, that's true. Evidently, she was arrested once for prostitution. So the Government wants you then to extrapolate -- not a good idea in law. We have this thing called beyond a reasonable doubt, so not a good idea at all. They want you to take that information and then -- and start over here, and they want you to leap over here.

Folks, you cannot do that. That evidence is not adequate for you to be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that that was the purpose for that particular transportation on that particular date, and we're asking you to return a verdict of not guilty on Count 4.

Count 5 is the same act. It's, once again, this interstate transportation for purposes of prostitution, and it deals with another lady. You saw her photograph, and I have already forgotten her name. Ms. Yasser just told us her name. That was Bonita Torres Moran, and we know -- and this count, folks, is Count 5. The date is November 15th, 2010. And we know from the testimony in this case that she was with Mr. Ventura when they were -- when he was arrested, and she had condoms on her and whatever else she had. Condoms, I think, is what the testimony was. He picked her up in D.C., and they were arrested in Maryland.

Question: Is it possible that they were going from D.C. to Maryland for the purpose of her being involved in

prostitution? Of course it's possible, but that's not the test in our criminal justice system. The test is: Do you believe beyond a reasonable doubt that that was the reason that he picked her up and they were stopped in Maryland? That is the question.

You may believe that circumstantially. You may not believe that circumstantially. I submit to you that those facts alone simply should not carry the day in your minds if you leave any bias and any prejudice outside that jury room door. We ask you, therefore, to return a verdict of not guilty as to Count 5.

Count 3, ladies and gentlemen, deals with enticement. That's enticing a person to commit interstate prostitution, and His Honor will have -- there is a whole bunch of pages, I think, that deal with that particular instruction which he will read to you a little bit later on.

And Count 3 takes place in September of 2009. So we're talking here about September 2009, and we're only talking about Margarita Laona Santiago. So this is where it becomes really important to be separating all this smoke concerning all the violence swirling around everything, and you have to hone in on the precise elements and precisely what we're talking about. We're talking here about September of 2009, and we're talking about Margarita Laona Santiago.

And Ms. Yasser did lay out the facts, as I recalled

them. What I recall of it doesn't count; it's only what you recall. But my recollection was that she did say it is all unfortunate, it's all bad, it's all horrible that she was forced into prostitution by her husband when she was in the country of Mexico, her home country. She was prostituting there because her husband made her. And then he made her move to the United States to prostitute herself, and she did. He sent her to New Jersey where he had some cousins, and she worked for them. Evidently, she gave all the money to them, and sometimes they'd send money back to her home country.

She had a friend, and the friend gave her

Mr. Ventura's phone number. She called Mr. Ventura. That's

what the Government said. That's what Ms. Santiago said. He

did not call her. Ms. Yasser cleverly said that Mr. Ventura

offered her to come to work. No, he didn't. She offered him

to come work for him.

That's a very significant and important differentiation. She didn't call and then wait for Mr. Ventura to say, "Is this a girl that wants to come and prostitute herself?" Of course not. She called and said, "This is who I am, and I'm looking for work -- prostitution work," and she then said that Mr. Ventura said, "Well, fine. Drive -- take a bus. Take a bus." He didn't send her money. He didn't drive to New Jersey to get her. She got on the bus, and she came to D.C., and she, in fact, worked at

was paid. She went back to D.C., back to New Jersey, stayed overnight, gave all her money to her cousins, and drove back by bus. She did it again the second week. She did it again the third week.

Question: Is that persuading her? Did Mr. Ventura do anything to persuade her to engage in interstate prostitution? He did not. Did Mr. Ventura do anything to induce her? He did not. Did he do anything to entice her? He did not. And did he do anything to coerce her? He did not.

Now, he's guilty of prostitution, folks, but he's not charged in Count 3 with prostitution. He's charged with enticing a person to engage in interstate prostitution.

Clearly it's interstate, because she went from New Jersey to Washington, D.C., to Maryland. You all can forget that element. It's all been made out. The problem is: Was she enticed or coerced, et cetera? And the answer is, clearly and unquestionably, she was not. And, because of that, you must find Mr. Ventura not guilty of Count 3.

Count 6 is captioned "Sex Trafficking By Force,

Fraud, or Coercion," and this too has a lot of moving parts,

and Judge Quarles will explain all that to you later, and this

occurred from September of '08 all the way through November of

2010. The featured witness as outlined by Ms. Yasser is

Ms. Rebeca Fuentes (sic).

First thing you have to find, folks, was whether or not she was recruited, or was she enticed, or was she transported, or was she harbored? You heard the Government's theory is that she was enticed, and Ms. Yasser wants you to believe that Mr. Ventura groomed her. The testimony is, from I think Mr. Ventura — and you can disregard all his testimony if you want, you can believe all if you want, or you can pick and choose as you want, because that's your prerogative. You are the finders of all the facts.

And that's just as true as to Ms. Dueñas Franco.

You can accept every word she said. I'd suggest you not do
that, and I'll point out why in a moment. You can take some
of what she says, or you can disregard everything that she
says, because that is your constitutional prerogative as the
trier of the facts in this case.

The enticement, says the Government, was because

Mr. Ventura went to Alex's brothel in Washington, D.C., and he

picked out the prettiest girls so he could bring them online

with him. The testimony is that he did have sex with her at

Alex's brothel. The testimony is evidently Mr. Ventura -
this is not through him; this is through some other witness,

and I've forgotten the name -- did frequent brothels.

Mr. Ventura testified that he liked women, a variety of women,

and evidently his conduct would seem to corroborate that given

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the fact that he has children with Yenis, he's married to Myra, he's had one child with Rebeca, perhaps a miscarriage with Rebeca as well. So certainly there is evidence to corroborate that he enjoys the company of women.

Folks, you have to decide whether or not you believe that the evidence does convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that those encounters, whenever they occurred -- by the way, we never did get dates. We never really know where we are. And that's as to Ms. Franco as well as anybody else who has testified, a couple of folks, and sometimes you can nail it down because the police happen to show up, and there is a search warrant, and they took pictures. You can nail that down, but, when it comes to testimony of these witnesses, you can't tell -- I can't tell what universe we're in or what state we're in or what house we're in or what exactly happened. That's me. You're the ones who count. So, notwithstanding, you have to find whether or not the purpose that Mr. Ventura had when he went down there and slept and paid Ms. Franco was to entice her to come work for him.

I do not believe the evidence shows that whatsoever. He and she became romantically involved. They -- I use the word with caution -- dated one another. She had sex with him obviously without a condom. It's a big deal. I don't mean to be crude. It's a big deal because it's obvious that, when a woman is working in prostitution, they are -- men are wearing

condoms. That's why there are so many of these condoms here in this courtroom, over there, and that was not the case.

It's a big deal. It's a sign of affection, whether we like it or not. We have to deal with their reality; not our reality.

And so the relationship was being built. There is no grooming going on. He cared for her, and she cared for him.

You have to find this recruitment, enticement, transportation, or harboring. You can't find, folks, recruitment or enticement. The question is: Can you find transportation or harboring? You should disregard recruiting or enticement. Those are clearly not found. The question, though, is whether or not you can find beyond a reasonable doubt that he transported or harbored her in interstate commerce for the purpose of performing a commercial sex act.

That's a different story. You might find that he did that. We don't concede that, but you may find that based upon the myriad degree of evidence that you have. I don't think there is any evidence that there is surveillance of the two of them going between D.C. and Maryland or between Maryland and Virginia or whatever. We do know this: We have photographs from the Virginia Beach. That's a different state. That's interstate, but you have to decide whether or not that interstate was for the purpose of performing a commercial sex act.

She says it was. Mr. Ventura says it wasn't. You

have to decide if you believe either one of them, but, other than that, I'm not aware of any other compelling evidence that you all can look at to support whether or not there was a transportation of Ms. Franco over state lines for the purpose of engaging in interstate prostitution.

You must be cautious with Ms. Franco's testimony, folks. Ms. Franco was raped before she ever made it into this country at a young age. She worked in the prostitution business almost as soon as she got here with Alex. She says that Alex beat her repeatedly, without being specific when, where, how, or why. She said that she saw Alex and Ventura, who were competitors, with a gun not too long before she was arrested. Doesn't know where it came from. Alex took it away. Enemies -- enemies of each other, him playing with a gun while she's standing around.

She had one story on direct examination when the Government questioned her, and I think you all recall me going to and from my trial table up to her with various documents in my hands. Those documents were prior interviews that she gave with these folks, and what happened was her testimony changed from the direct testimony of her questioner, whether it was Mr. Cunningham or Ms. Yasser, to cross-examination when I was questioning her.

Now, folks, this lady was interviewed by police on September 28 of 2008. She was interviewed by these folks and

tape-recorded twice on November 15th of 2010. She appeared in her Grand Jury on December 7th, 2010. She was interviewed again on May 2nd, 2011. She was interviewed again on October 19th, 2011. She was interviewed again on October 25th, 2011. She appeared in the Grand Jury again on July 27th, 2012. And she then came in to this courtroom and told a story, which she changed on cross-examination.

She is a witness that was promised on September 28th of 2008 that, "We want to help you," says Detective Carraballo. "We will help you get your child back," who had just been taken from her two days prior. "If you feel unsafe, we'll take care of you. If you need a letter from us, being a member of the Homicide Division of the Anne Arundel County Police Department, we will write that letter. We will help you."

She left the police department, and, the next day, she's continuing in prostitution. You all can believe if you want that she did that because she had no choice. She was so afraid and so concerned for her safety or the safety of her daughter that she could not take the request -- the offer by the Anne Arundel County Police Department and DSS. She had to return to her life of prostitution. You can believe that if you want, but you ought not given the history of Ms. Franco's evolutionary testimony and her evolutionary ability to recall the facts of her case.

You not only have to find that she was enticed or recruited. You then have to find that she was coerced by the use of force or fraud or threats of violence, and you have to find that that force or threats or fraud were the reason that she continued in prostitution.

Now, folks, there is ample evidence that these two had a relationship, the kind of which none of you would wish to be involved in, but the fact is they were engaged in an extraordinarily toxic relationship as boyfriend and girlfriend. If you believe that she was beaten -- and I submit that there is reason to believe that she was not beaten by this man. The only evidence you have is her word. But, if you believe that she was beaten, you have to find that she was beaten for the purpose of engaging in interstate prostitution.

In other words, you have to exclude, beyond a reasonable doubt, that this beating or beatings were not the result of a toxic relationship, otherwise known as domestic violence. Is it possible that these beatings which she described were the result of a toxic relationship which involves domestic violence? The answer is: Absolutely and positively.

Folks, it's important to note, I think, that, of these various injuries that she said she sustained, she indicated that only clearly that one of them occurred, she says, as a result of being involved in the commercial sex

trade. That was the belt to the thigh, because she alleges that she would not have sex with a certain man that had come to be there as a customer. We don't know when that took place. We don't know whether Mr. Ventura was there on the premises, which would be unusual, because no one else said he ever was there on the premises, just to collect money, or whether it happened at home, but she said that she went through with the sex act.

Doesn't that infer, then, that Mr. Ventura was there on the premises at the time and took his belt off and slapped her with it, and then she had to go back in and have sex with this man she didn't want to have sex with? The answer is:

Yes. That's the way it came out. Is that likely? Absolutely not likely at all. Mr. Ventura doesn't hang around the brothel to watch the ladies perform. The testimony is he comes on occasion to pick up money. That's the testimony. So that doesn't make any sense at all.

And then we have the fact that the other injuries, we're told, came about because she refused to put an inanimate object inside of herself, but we were never told who it was that was supposed to put the inanimate object inside of her.

Was it Mr. Ventura? Well, if it was Mr. Ventura, then he wouldn't be trying to commit a commercial sex act with her.

He wasn't going to pay her. It would have nothing to do, in other words, with a commercial sex act.

I don't like it, and you don't like it either, but you have to be analytical in deciding whether or not those injuries which she says were sustained as a result of her being cut, as a result of her hand being slammed in a door -- they didn't happen at the same time, I guess -- and then another injury on her elbow when she was pushed down -- it sounds like they occurred at different times. You were never told -- ever told that those injuries were sustained as a result of her interstate prostitution or her failure to perform, her disobedience to perform. Folks, if those things happened, they happened because of horrific domestic violence. That's a separate crime not charged.

The Government is so desperate to prove to you that Mr. Ventura is the one who actually performed these injuries to Ms. Franco that they bring on Dr. Baker. Dr. Baker was a highly unusual expert witness, because experts usually give you a conclusive response to a conclusive issue. Well, what did Dr. Baker tell you? She told you that she had no opinion about most of the injuries, I think with the exception of one, until Ms. Franco told her what happened.

So she looked at an elbow. It's got a star mark on it, and she said, "Yep, that's a star mark. You fell down."

"Ms. Franco, what happened?"

"Mr. -- Mr. Ventura pushed me down."

"Oh, that's what it is? All right. Mr. Ventura --"

That's not a professional opinion. 1 that's her opinion. 2 That's desperation. That is desperation, and you don't even 3 know why she was pushed down to the ground. It could be because he's a nasty guy, and, if he is a nasty guy and he 4 5 pushed her down, and he did not push her down for the purpose of her engaging in interstate prostitution, she has not made 6 7 out evidence which would convince you beyond a reasonable 8 doubt that the injury occurred as a result of her failure to engage or engaging in interstate prostitution. 9 10 THE COURT: Five minutes. 11 MR. RUTER: Thank you, Judge. 12 You also have to find, folks, it's for pecuniary 13 gain. This is, in fact, where we lawyers get paid to be 14 Ms. Franco told you that she never once saw a dollar 15 exchange hands. I asked her specifically on crossexamination, "Did you ever get paid?" 16 17 "No." 18 "Did you ever see any customer hand money to a 19 doorman?" 20 "No." 21 Now, do you think that money exchanged hands? 22 it could have, but the Government has not offered one piece of 23 evidence that any financial benefit occurred to Mr. Ventura, 24 and they're obligated to do that. They're obligated to dot

their Is and to cross their Ts, and you're obligated to make

25

certain that they do it.

Count 7, folks, is the firearm charge. The firearm charge is tied directly to Count 6. If you find Mr. Ventura not guilty of Count 6, the verdict form which you're going to see tells you, you needn't consider yourself with Count 7. Why? Because Count 7 alleges that the firearm was possessed for the purpose -- the purpose of furthering the sextrafficking crime of Count 6, which only involves Rebeca Franco.

So, if you find him not guilty of Count 6 -- and you should -- then you must find him not guilty of Count 7. And, folks, if you were to find that there were any kinds of threats of violence, if you were to find that he knocked her down and hit her, that doesn't fulfill Count 7. Remember, it's got to be the gun. It's got to be a firearm.

You have to decide: When she viewed that firearm, when she saw that firearm, did that further the sex-trafficking offense as it relates to her and her alone? There is no testimony, once again, that can tell you beyond a reasonable doubt that, when she saw those guns, whenever she saw them -- Lord knows. We don't know when. We don't know under what circumstances. We know nothing. But you have to find that those guns were viewed by her, seen by her for the purpose of furthering the sex crime of Count 6, and the Government has not given you one

piece of evidence to connect those two together. 1 2 What they gave you was all these red herrings about 3 all this violence going on hoping that you all would go back in the jury room and say, "Boy, this is all a lot of violence 4 5 going on here, and I'm going to stamp guilty on this." That's what they're hoping for. If you leave your raw emotions, if 6 7 any, outside that jury room, you will find Mr. Ventura not 8 quilty of Count 3, you will find him not quilty of Count 6, and you will find him not guilty of Count 7. There is no 9 10 question about that. The rest, I leave for your 11 consideration. 12 Folks, thank you so very much for these two weeks 13 together. 14 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ruter. 15 Members of the jury, we're going to take the morning break now. Please remember: Don't discuss the case among 16 17 yourselves or with anyone else. I will call for you at noon. 18 THE CLERK: All rise. This Honorable Court stands 19 in recess. 20 (Jury excused.) 21 (Recess taken, 11:36 a.m. - 11:56 a.m.) 22 THE CLERK: All rise. This Honorable Court now resumes in session. 23 24 THE COURT: Ready for the jury, counsel? 25 MR. MONTEMARANO: Yes, Your Honor.

(Jury enters.)

2 THE COURT: You may be seated.

Members of the jury, Mr. Montemarano has promised to take no more than one hour, so, again, give him your full attention. I will watch the clock.

Mr. Montemarano?

MR. MONTEMARANO: Thank you, Your Honor, counsel.

If I wait another five seconds, I can say good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

When I got up this morning, I thought I was ready to do this. I finished my final draft and edit and redraft of an outline for my closing argument, but, as some famous boxer once said, "Everybody's got a plan until they get hit." So I look outside, and it's raining, so I realize I'm going to wear older shoes that I don't really care about if they get wet, and then of course I heard about the news from Boston.

So I suggest, ladies and gentlemen, when you go back there in about an hour and a half after Mr. Cunningham and I are both done, I want to take a moment to think about the friends and family of the MIT police officer who died in the line of duty last night protecting all of us. It may not sound like much, Campus Police, but he paid the ultimate price in service, and, having spent five years in the south side of Chicago in a not a very nice neighborhood in college, I have a very fond memory of the UC Campus Police.

The officer, whose name I don't even know, died in service of something bigger than me, and, ladies and gentlemen, that's why we're here. This is bigger than us.

It's bigger than these gentlemen. What we have here is a chance for the citizens to be the government, to play the role the Constitution ordained to make decisions beyond a reasonable doubt about people who are charged by the Government with crimes.

I don't want to sound repetitive when I thank you for your attentiveness. I've been very impressed how attentive you've been when this case has dragged a bit, but it's only by doing that that you fulfill your role, by paying attention and thinking and keeping your independent judgment. And I suggest, ladies and gentlemen, it is that independent judgment which is the ultimate protection for Kevin Fuertes.

I'm going to focus on only a few parts of the case. I suggest to you that, if you come to the decision that's the appropriate and correct one on those parts of the case, everything else will fit together, like if you're putting together a puzzle. Once you get most of the pieces in, where the last couple go is very obvious. Also, I want to focus on a few things, because -- let's be honest -- most of this case had nothing to do with Kevin Fuertes.

What would you say? Ten percent of the evidence had something to do with him directly, his various arrests for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

driving offenses, and about 10% had to do with his interactions with Mr. Ventura. Most of this case was all about Mr. Ventura, but this case is not about Mr. Ventura. I'm here to talk to you about Mr. Fuertes. I suggest to you that, at the end of the day, this case is all about Rebeca Dueñas Franco, and I'd like to talk with you briefly about what she had to say in the various times that she spoke with the police. When she first spoke to the police on the 28th and 29th, that night of September of '08, she had been with Mr. Ventura for perhaps a month in her testimony, let's say September -- three, four weeks. She's willing to speak with police. She admits her 13 role in prostitution. She admits his role in prostitution. She knows Detective Hartlove is there to investigate a murder. She's asked about the murder. She says nothing, not a word, does not point a finger at Mr. Ventura, does not point the finger at Mr. Fuertes. Two years and two months later in November of 2010, 19 she's spoken to twice. Those are tape-recorded. Nothing. A month later, three weeks later, she's in front of the Grand Jury. "Do you know anything about El Pelon's murder?" 22 "No."

> Only in May of 2011 does she now have her story about what she said she saw on the night of El Pelon's murder. Fine. And all she can say is, "I saw blood stains on Kevin's

clothing." There is no description of the clothing, no description how that clothing might match with the eyewitness accounts of what the shooter wore, no forensic evidence. And, if she saw stains, how would she know they're blood? It's a good question. Or did she not see anything?

But let's talk about what else she told us,

beginning in September and through her testimony. She says

Kevin Fuertes lived with her at and ...

She tells them, when she met Kevin, she was, without any question, German Ventura's girl. They had a personal relationship. She was different than the other girls.

And we know that, ladies and gentlemen, because we have seen the photographs. They're in Section 26 of the exhibit book. You've seen them, ladies and gentlemen. You've seen all the elements. This is just for you to remember. And these are taken years after she first talks to the police, years after she's first offered assistance by the police, years later. Let's be honest. That's what Kevin Fuertes knew about her relationship with German Ventura.

And you remember her description of how the relationship began: Perfect. Ladies and gentlemen, that word is not equivocated. There is no question of how she felt about the relationship when it began, because she was special, and she was different. This was not a relationship based upon sex in the sense of commercial sex. They were boyfriend and

girlfriend, and she had her job, which doesn't make sense as to why she gave her money to Mr. Ventura. She was different. They pooled their money. It's no different than in suburbia where one family might have one checkbook even if they have two incomes.

You know her testimony about Mr. Ventura and the way the relationship began is true, because she continued in this role with him through 2010 when he was finally arrested. It continued when she testified in this building before the Federal Grand Jury while she was pregnant with his child.

Now, I'm not going to try to pretend in any way, shape, or form this is the perfect relationship. I suggest to you that, if you don't even consider it being a relationship, you would not be wrong. It involved a fair amount of violence, domestic violence, prostitution, conduct which should shock anybody, even an attorney with 25 years of criminal defense experience. You can't understand it, but none of us have ever been Rebeca Franco.

And let's be honest. It's undeniable that some relationships involve yelling, fighting, hitting. It shouldn't happen. It may not be the way you would conduct your life, but we know it happens. It's not unusual. It's not even rare. That's you. That's me. It's not what Rebeca's life involved. Fair enough.

Now, my question then becomes: Don't look at it

from your point of view. Don't look at it from my point of view. Look at it from Kevin Fuertes, who came from Honduras, illegal immigrant, and he sees German Ventura raise his hand to Rebeca, one time. That was Rebeca's testimony. She said that Kevin was present once when she was struck by German.

Must he be condemned for failing to object that one time?

Must he be condemned for saying that one time, "They don't get along as well as they should. German is an abusive lover"?

Does that mean he's buying into sex trafficking by way of force, fraud, coercion? I suggest not, ladies and gentlemen. There is no pattern for him to fit that into.

way of force, fraud, coercion? I suggest not, ladies and gentlemen. There is no pattern for him to fit that into.

It's an outlier. It's Rebeca's testimony that it happened one time, and she and German -- and certainly this happened at some point before March of 2009 when he left Maryland, because Rebeca said it happened in Maryland as I understood her testimony. Your recollection controls.

So let's consider what happened thereafter. This happens no later than March of '09. She continues through the end of 2010 to make those photographs with German. Does that sound like someone who is necessarily oppressed? Now, I'm not saying Rebeca wasn't. I'm not saying Rebeca wasn't abused. I'm saying: What did Kevin see? What did Kevin know? What could he abstract from her remaining?

And that's not even including what we know about Rebeca's conduct when she's blown off an opportunity to be

helped on September 29th, 2008 by the police, who offer to write letters, who end up going to court on her behalf, and she goes back to _______. Does that sound like someone who is being oppressed at that point in time, or does that sound like someone who is hopeful of rescuing, of saving, of continuing a relationship which she considered perfect?

There is no testimony, I suggest to you, that we've heard of violence by Kevin towards anybody. There is no testimony of violence by German towards any other girl. Once again, if German is abusing other women and abuses Rebeca, that's willful blindness. At some point, "What are you doing?" Quote, you know, Admiral Nelson, telescope to the blind eye, "I don't see the signal." That's what willful blindness is, ladies and gentlemen.

No, this is not willful blindness. This is not reckless disregard. Seeing something as plain as the nose on your face and refusing to accept it for what it means, we have nothing like that, because German doesn't do that to anybody else. Think about the testimony you heard consistently from the people involved in prostituting -- Ascencio, Margarita Santiago. She called -- Margarita -- Ventura to get work. She was not solicited. She said, "I'd like to go to work," knowing of course he would know what that meant. Is there any force, coercion, any violence directed towards her? Of course not. Would she come if there was? Of course not.

Other times, we've heard testimony that Ventura would call girls to get them to come work for him. "Come do me a favor." Do you do favors for strangers? Do you do favors for violent individuals, or do you do favors for people who treat you well and compensate you? There is no force there.

We heard the testimony from both Ascencio and from Santiago, if a girl wanted to go, it was no big deal. Even in the middle of the week, leaving the pimp, Mr. Ventura, shorthanded for the rest of the week. The phrase was she would cash out and leave, get paid, hit the bricks. She'd be replaced. Girls come, girls go. The brothel goes on. It is no big deal, because the girls were always paid. Why? Who works for free? At the end of the day, even as much as you may enjoy your job, it's nice to get a paycheck, and I don't suggest that these girls enjoyed their job, so they had to be paid, didn't they?

Both Carlos Ascencio and Margarita used almost the same language to describe that girls were paid, girls were never forced. And, using your common sense, doesn't that figure? After all, there are plenty of Hispanic brothels operating. You heard that -- lots of them. Mr. Ventura operated several, but let's -- I mean, there were other ones and other people. We had Alex. We have all the other pimps. The girls make the rounds from pimp to pimp, operator to

operator, week in, week out.

Do I want to go -- having been paid this week and the week before, do I want to go to someone who I don't think will pay me, who I have any suspicion won't pay me? Does that make any sense, if this is a job, if that's how you're treating it? If somebody gets a reputation for shorting the girls, for cheating the girls, for treating them poorly, for abusing them, for directing physical violence toward them, what do the girls do? Vote with their feet. Common sense, ladies and gentlemen. It's the only way to understand how this works. And where would Ventura be then if they vote with their feet, if he's abusive?

I invite your attention, ladies and gentlemen -- I'm just going to show you one. This is one I believe that

Mr. Cunningham showed you on behalf of Ms. Yasser during her closing argument. This is Exhibit 13b/1, but there is a whole bunch of others. There is 17g, 20l, and 21b. So you understand, the first number refers to where the evidence came from. It's grouped by the Government when it numbers them regarding one address or another address. So those are four different digits I gave you -- 13b/1, 17g, 20l, 21b. They're from different brothels, they're from Ventura's home, they're from Ventura's car, and they're, in every case, a tally sheet.

We understand what they are. It's been explained. The letters of the week in Spanish beginning with "L" for

lunes, which is Monday, as I understand, and I think Tuesday is an "M" for martes like Mardi Gras, which is Fat Tuesday; I know that much. But it's more than that. We had seized from various places the playing cards with the hole punches as a means of accounting, the tokens where people would keep track of how many people they'd service by being given something as a -- I can't think of the word -- an actual sign of the work they've done and therefore should get paid for.

So what's this all about? I'll tell what you it is. It's what it seems to be. It's a means by which to ensure the girls get paid for the work they do. It is kept by the doormen. The girls keep them in their own little notebooks.

You saw those in evidence. Ventura keeps them in his home and in his car. He's keeping track, too. Why? To keep the girls happy, to keep them coming back, because, if they come back, he continues to make money.

I said to you -- excuse me -- two weeks ago this is a case about prostitution, that there was no question that prostitution took place. Never suggested otherwise. But of course the case is not about just prostitution, and that's where you come in, ladies and gentlemen.

In addition to the pay, it was a business without coercion. Let's be honest. Girls didn't have to be coerced. They chose to do this. They called Mr. Ventura. He solicited them. They came, they worked, they left. No ropes, no

1 handcuffs, no flexi cuffs, no cable ties, no means of 2 restraint. No weapons. They weren't beaten, nothing. 3 And that's of a piece of what you heard about Kevin Fuertes. No violent conduct on his part. No violent conduct 4 5 by German Ventura in his presence. Why would he think there is force, fraud, or coercion going on with regard to these 6 7 houses of prostitution? Now, what you heard about 8 German Ventura is threats, promises. German Ventura, as I have written here, the windbag, running his mouth, and I wrote 9 10 this, ladies and gentlemen, not on Thursday night. The first 11 draft I wrote on Wednesday night before Mr. Ventura's 12 performance yesterday. So you know who Mr. Ventura is. 13 I think they say in Texas "all hat and no cattle." 14 He's so big and bad that not only does he not do anything; he 15 calls and has the police try to take care of the competition. Mister big and bad, I don't think so. That's what Kevin saw. 16 17 Of course the Government's going to argue, "Oh, but, 18 Mr. Ventura arranged to have Hector Avila attacked." No 19 doubt. November of 2010, no doubt. That's a year and a half 20 after they tell you Kevin has left the jurisdiction. He's all 21 gone from Maryland. 22 But here is the interesting part. I'm going to take

you out of November 10 of 2010 and take you back to the murder of El Pelon. You heard Ms. Yasser characterize the claims of Sylvia, the girlfriend of El Pelon about the threatening phone

23

24

25

calls, except we know the phone calls took place as much as eight months before. We have no testimony that she answered the phone. It's not her phone is being called. It's El Pelon's. She has no idea what's said during those calls, and she says they were threatening. Fine. There is no question that the phone numbers of these individuals are on El Pelon's phone. Fine. There is no linkage of the two.

But let's talk about what we don't hear. Who here has ever had the following been told to you, "You got a phone call"? Does that do you any good? Normally you get told, "You got a phone call. Here is the number. Here is who called." What am I talking about? The testimony in this trial is that Ventura knew El Pelon for at least five, if not eight years. He's talking to his inamorata, girlfriend, wife -- I'm not sure what the relationship is between him and Sylvia. Would he not say to her, "I got a threat from that jerk, Ventura. I got a threat. It's Ventura's number. Why is he doing that? I thought he was my friend," or, "I'm scared of Ventura," or anything like that? We don't hear that.

So ask yourself, ladies and gentlemen: Does that make sense? "I got a threatening phone call, but I don't happen to --" isn't that the first thing you want to know, is who is threatening you? I mean, just common sense. When I come to court, do I want to bring Mr. Fuertes' file, or my

other clients'? I mean, just commonsensically, why are you going to court for this case? Well, then take your file for that case. Who is threatening you? Not just, "I have seen some sort of inchoate threat, some sort of threat out of the ether."

So the evidence is clear that all Kevin saw and therefore all Kevin could know was that one incident of violence and German Ventura running his mouth about other people and never acting upon it. How is he to abstract from this that this physical violence directed towards Rebeca was for the purpose of keeping her involved in sex trafficking, someone who was involved in sex trafficking before she ever met Ventura, was involved in prostitution before she ever met Ventura?

Remember: You're obligated by your oath to consider these individuals separately and to consider the charges separately. So it's not what Mr. Ventura knew. It's what Mr. Fuertes knew. That's his state of mind, ladies and gentlemen. Do you believe the evidence shows Mr. Ventura's guilt on one or more of the charges? That's your call. Mr. Ruter, my good friend, has done a masterful job with what he had to work with, but I suggest to you the situation for Kevin Fuertes is very, very different.

So let's talk about the charges in particular. Sex trafficking by force, fraud, or coercion. Number one, force,

he's never, in any way, shape, or form, laid his hand on anybody -- Kevin Fuertes. He's never made a false promise or anything like that. You heard Rebeca's testimony. He treated her well. He never took advantage of her. She had every opportunity on the stand when I asked her questions to condemn him, and she did not. He treated her well. He never restrained her. He never threatened her. He never held her against her will.

Where is the coercion? Where is the force? Where is the fraud? He never even lied to her. She had all -- she had two days. She had overnight to think about, "I've got to tell them something more about Kevin, because he was so bad to me." Far from that, ladies and gentlemen. Nothing was done by Kevin with the intention of obtaining that result of keeping her involved in sex trafficking.

More important, you heard no testimony that, in any way, shape, or form, did she ever bring her dissatisfaction with her job, with her role to his attention. I'm not suggesting she had an obligation to do it, but that's a factor, ladies and gentlemen. If she never complained to him, notwithstanding what I tell my kids, I'm not a mind reader, nor are you, nor is he. How would he know if she doesn't complain?

And, remember, even after he leaves the jurisdiction, she's still with German. A year and a half

later, she's in Virginia where he's gone, with German. Is this consistent with his understanding that she's so oppressed? Not that she wasn't, but what did he know? What did he see? What was he able to perceive? There is nothing, ladies and gentlemen, for him to do that. So not only is he not aware; there is nothing for him to be in, to use the legal phrase, reckless disregard of. Reckless disregard is speeding on a wet road. You know it's wet. You know it's dangerous, but do it anyway.

What if you don't know if the road is dangerous?

There is a word for that. Those of you who have driven,

you've heard about it. You hear about it every time we get

bad weather here in Maryland in the winter. It's black ice.

What's black ice? It's ice you can't see, and you hit it, and

you're spinning, you're sliding. You can't do anything about

it, and you wouldn't have done it if you had known about it.

From all indications that were apparent to Kevin, she was involved in this voluntarily, insofar as there is a measure of voluntariness. She wanted to be with German.

That's Rebeca's testimony. Now, at this late date, the Government would like you to view this relationship between German and Rebeca with traits they now claim are obvious so that my client had to be in reckless disregard. I suggest to you they were not even apparent at the time, let alone being obvious now.

So how was Kevin supposed to figure it out? He's seen all these other girls run through the brothels, willing to some degree or another, or maybe they're resigned to their role. Nobody is fighting to get out. Girls who want to leave are let -- permitted to leave, and girls are paid. What's he supposed to know? What's he supposed to figure?

I'm not suggesting this to excuse his conduct. I'm not suggesting this is something you want your kids to get involved in when they grow up. What I'm asking you, ladies and gentlemen, is: In terms of what the law requires, they have not made their case, not even close, because they don't have any evidence to support this claim.

And, just so we're clear, you'll see on the jury form -- the verdict form your having to make a decision regarding sex trafficking, which only involves Rebeca, and was there reckless disregard by Kevin after 23 December 2008? Any

conduct before that, there can be no reckless disregard. The reckless disregard standard was put into the law only basically at Christmas of '08. So he would have to be in reckless disregard only of conduct after Christmas and before

5 March when he left town. Anything before that, that doesn't even meet the legal standard.

I suggest to you that we draw a lot more out of who Rebeca is and how Rebeca felt by other objective evidence.

You heard the testimony she had been even -- she was laughing right at the beginning. "Oh, shoot, shoot, ask away. I've got no problem answering your questions." Does that sound like someone who was scared, who was oppressed, terrified of German Ventura when her child is in DSS custody?

Let me ask you another question. Remember this photograph? This is her arrest in March of '09. Honestly, does she look scared? This is what Kevin knew and what Kevin saw.

I am not suggesting that Rebeca wanted to do this, liked doing this. I am suggesting she did make to an nth degree a choice to do this and a choice to continue. There is plenty of evidence she wanted to get out. I have no question she felt like she wanted to get out. Nobody is obligated to read minds or to act upon that which they do not see. And that, in its essence, ladies and gentlemen, is what the Government is asking you to do. They're asking you to be

objective. They're asking you to be dispassionate, and then they're telling you about this appalling crime.

I only have boys. I cannot imagine if it were my daughter who was subjected to this. Actually, I can imagine. That's why we have guns. That's another different story, ladies and gentlemen. That's not what we have here. This is a shocking and terrible crime. There is no question about it. It's called prostitution. It is not sex trafficking by force, fraud, and coercion. It's not even close.

And then of course they're going to argue to you,

I'm sure -- I probably shouldn't try to do Mr. Cunningham's

job. He'll do a better job than I will, but they'll suggest

that part of the force, fraud, and coercion was the adoption

of the murder of El Pelon, the adoption of Mr. Ventura racking

the gun. You'll never hear any testimony from anyone that

Kevin said, "We did it." That's Ventura's words, because he

needs to be tough.

You know, it's the old joke. "I'm going to kick your butt."

"Yeah, you and what Army?"

So, if you've got an Army, "Me and my Army are going to kick your butt." "We." Make yourself bigger. In urban America, we have a word for that -- fronting. It's what my other clients would call that, but not these guys. It's all about posturing. It's all about making yourself seem tougher,

but, until November, we don't even see that happening. That's

November of 2010 with the assault on Hector Avila. Before

then, it's all talk.

Over and over again, you heard Ms. Franco testify.

Mr. Ruter would say, "Would you like to reconsider your answer? Let me show you your prior inconsistent statement."

"Sí."

She would answer a question, "Sí."

She's awfully suggestible. She's vulnerable. So, when the police come to her again and again and again, "We know he's involved. Why don't you help us out. Why don't you tell us what you know," of course she'll say "yes" eventually. And the police have no reason to disbelieve her, because it's consistent with their theory, and the problem often is that the police will take an hypothesis and find evidence to make or break the hypothesis. That's wrong.

You take evidence -- objective evidence, look at its meaning and create your hypothesis from that. They started with, "We think Ventura is involved," and ignored everything that didn't fit that. You have heard no evidence by way of forensics about the murder. You have heard no evidence by way of witnesses to the murder -- nothing. I don't know what happened, folks. Honestly, neither do you, because we weren't there. We weren't in the room. We've heard about what

happened, and we've heard about what people said. That is not enough to come to a decision beyond a reasonable doubt. We haven't even heard from the survivor of the murder, Nancy Marin Ayala. Has she just vanished? We haven't even heard where she is.

And, last but not least, again, let's go back to common sense. I asked you about common sense. Would El Pelon have said, "I was threatened by 'X,'" if "X" threatened him? Let's accept the Government's theory. I'm German Ventura. I want to take care of not a rival; another rival, Hector Avila. So I have two options. On one odd hand, "I can go and get my trusted prior killer. He whacked Pelon for me two years ago. He's gotten away with it. Two years on the street, nobody's tied this to him or me. I want somebody else killed. I'm going to get him to do it." That's your one option.

Option number two: "I'm going to get three yahoos off the street, Ferman and his running mates." Remember Ferman Martinez Hernandez -- he testified for us -- him and his shotgun? Which way are you going to go, folks? You're a crime boss, the pimp from hell. You want to kill somebody. Are you going to go with your trusted expert?

I'm reminded of the movie *Philadelphia*. When the jury starts deliberating, there is the balding actor -- I think he actually died not so long ago -- who was the foreman of the jury, and he's listening to -- he's explaining the

way -- his understanding of the testimony of the law firm.

"Let's see. I've got this really big, important case. Do I give it to my ace, or do I give it to some yahoo?" That's the exact same situation, ladies and gentlemen. Criminals aren't that dumb, are they? That's what they would have you believe, because that's the only way their story makes sense.

Oh, but Kevin wasn't here. That's right. He was all the way in Virginia, just a phone call away if you accept the phone records. They've never tied those phone numbers to Kevin Fuertes except that he has acknowledged their being his at one point a year before, and then they bring in toll calls from a year later, all these contacts, thousands of calls, that they've never shown one of them actually to have Kevin on the other line, and let's be honest; phones are pretty easily transferable. That you've used a phone at one point doesn't mean that someone else couldn't use it at another time.

That's handing the phones over. No question whatsoever that's how it could happen. We don't know.

And we heard testimony that Kevin came back to
Baltimore from time to time -- I mean, back to Annapolis from
time to time. Once again, it's not so hard to go get your
expert killer if you want the job done right, but their theory
says that's not what was done, and, if that makes sense to
you, that's fine. It's your job to make the call. Does it
really make sense to you?

Of course there is another alternative; they didn't call Kevin because Kevin's no good for violence. That's at least as sensible as the Government's theory, if not a whole lot more. So that's why you don't call Kevin to whack Hector Avila, because Kevin is not into violence, because Kevin's never killed anybody, because Kevin's never had a gun, never undertaken violence towards anybody.

Oh, yeah, one other question, just as a matter of curiosity. I hate to sort of feel like I'm piling on, but that's exactly what it's like -- three or four or five guys onto the pile. Reminds me of my days playing football. At the end of her direct testimony, she was asked two questions I was very happy with, by Ms. Yasser.

Question Number 1: Do you fear Ventura -- German?

I don't recall how she referred to him, Chino, whatever.

Yes.

Question Number 2: Do you fear Kevin, Flaco,
Fuertes, whatever -- whatever way Ms. Yasser posed the
question. Before we get to the answer, remember: This is
someone she believes -- she thinks was involved in a murder,
who allegedly had blood on his clothing, right? Mr. Bad guy,
right? The same guy that German could have called to kill
Avila. And what is Rebeca's answer without hesitation? She
doesn't look at me. She doesn't look at him. She doesn't
look at Ventura. She looks Rachel Yasser right in the eye and

says, "No."

I'm sorry. You think he killed somebody, you think he's involved in a murder, and you don't fear him? Or do you not fear him because he wasn't involved in anything like that, because he's never been anything but decent to you? Like all the rest of her testimony made amply clear. I'm not asking you to like Kevin Fuertes. I'm not asking you to respect him, except maybe his constitutional rights. I'm asking you: Does this hold water? Does this make sense?

Let's talk about Count 2. That was Count 6. That's sex trafficking. How about Count 2, interstate transportation? When did Kevin involve himself in the transport of girls from out of state over state lines? Let's talk about the evidence we saw.

Tickets. I believe that we saw a bus or a train ticket or something at some point, people being picked up at the bus station, like the photograph of Bridgett that

Mr. Ruter referred to and I think the Government even pulled out. I've got a tab back here. There is a whole bucket load of them, but this is the one I like the most, because you can see very clearly her pink shirt. That's the girl in the pink shirt, remember? There is a whole bunch of these photographs.

Oh, and who is picking her up? German Ventura; not Mr. Fuertes. The fact is there is no evidence -- nothing that you can rely upon to suggest that Mr. Fuertes was involved in

any way, shape, or form in interstate transportation of women for purposes of prostitution.

I'll give you the prostitution part. Ms. Yasser went through the elements. There is no question there was prostitution, but was there involvement in the transport? No, not at all. He never paid the rent when they were here. You heard one landlord, the only one who could identify

Mr. Fuertes, say, "He promised to pay, but he never did." All the other landlords -- Guillen, and the Caucasian woman -- I can't remember her name now. I've got it in my notes here.

"I don't know him. He wasn't involved. He didn't sign the lease. He didn't arrange for the rent." You've never seen anything. The most that Kevin did is, when all the girls were here, went out and got them food and went out and got them condoms, and of course he's out passing out cards. Nothing to do with transport.

Now, there is -- let's be honest. You'll hear an instruction from Judge Quarles, and it is the law that there is no obligation for the Government to undertake any particular investigative techniques. You can't hold it against them that they didn't get fingerprints or DNA or anything like that. You can't hold it against them, but, when you look at the sum total of the evidence, you can look at the absence of things that might have persuaded you. So, that there is no evidence of Kevin having been involved in

transport in any way, or any of these other things, where there is a lack of evidence, that is a part of your analysis.

And let's be honest. Margarita Santiago was brought down. She looks at Kevin. What does she say? "I don't know him." Maximilliano Zelaya Repalo: "I don't know him." You heard more people who didn't know Kevin than did. The Caucasian landlord, that was Kim Duvall. They've utterly failed to establish Mr. Garcia -- I mean, Mr. Fuertes' role in any way, shape, or form involved in transportation, which leaves us with Count 1, conspiracy.

The crime of conspiracy does not require action. It requires one act by one of the co-conspirators -- doesn't have to be Mr. Fuertes -- in Maryland in furtherance of the conspiracy. Conspiracy is a crime involved around, surrounding an agreement. If I agree with you to rob a bank, and one of us does one act in furtherance of the bank robbery, gets some ski masks or pantyhose to disguise ourselves, gets a map to sketch out our getaway, goes to the bank to check out the floor plan and the hours, that's a conspiracy to commit bank robbery. See you later, 20 years' federal time.

Is there an agreement to transport women? Maybe.

If you believe that, if you think there is evidence of that, I can live with that. I understand why you might feel that.

I'm arguing to you as Mr. Fuertes' attorney there is not, but that's your call. But let's be honest. There is no evidence

of force, fraud, or coercion. There is no evidence of a conspiracy for the purpose of sex trafficking of Rebeca Dueñas Franco, because there was no way of knowing that's what was happening to her on the part of Kevin Fuertes. He could not have known.

This case is about guilt by association -- two kinds: Guilt by association with Annie (phon).

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, sir?

MR. MONTEMARANO: Mr. Ventura. I'm not going to describe him any further. You're welcome to all the adjectives you like, and I'll join you.

And it's guilt by association with an infamous crime -- not anything we should like, not anything maybe we should even tolerate today, but we have to acknowledge it happens. You've got a poor, uneducated girl. Does she have a lot of other options? I'm not condemning Rebeca for the choice she made, and I give her all the credit in the world that she's moved beyond that, and I regret terribly what happened to her at the hands of Ventura, but it was at the hands of Ventura.

The Government's chosen to bring certain charges against my client and bring them to you with almost an utter lack of evidence and an entire lack of compelling evidence, the kind of evidence on which you can hang your hat. They're hoping that you will, like it or not, convict because of your

revulsion. They're hoping the twelve of you go back there, take turns puking up your breakfast over this case, and hang my client. It doesn't work that way, folks. They have to bring in evidence, and they haven't done it. It's their obligation. You heard from the very outset they have a burden of proof. It's that burden of proof which protects all of us.

The domination, subjugation, the abuse of Rebeca is awful, but it's not the basis for a verdict. What is a basis for a verdict is a lack of evidence -- the fact that no gun was ever seized from Kevin, no ammunition; his lack of relation to interstate transport; his lack of relation to force; his lack of relation to fraud; his lack of relation to coercion; his lack of participation in the interstate transport of anybody, in force; his lack of participation in fraud; his lack of participation in coercion. That's all a basis for a verdict, ladies and gentlemen -- a verdict of not quilty on Count 6, a verdict of not guilty on Count 2.

This is my last chance to speak to you. The Government, with its burden, has another shot at you. So, when they say things that don't quite jive with your recollection and your understanding of the evidence, remember it's your recollection and your understanding which controls, and I hope you will do me the courtesy of asking the questions that you think I might have wanted to ask, that Mr. Ruter might have wanted to ask when Mr. Cunningham says things that

don't quite fly for you.

It's good that we do this today on April 19th. It's a very important day for all of us. Two hundred thirty-eight years ago, some brave men faced the power of one of the most important and powerful countries on the face of this earth -- militiamen, defending their homes and their families on the Green at Lexington. This is the real patriot state, ladies and gentlemen.

What they give to us is for you to protect. I know you take your oath seriously. I know you think very hard about what you're doing. I know that you are sickened as much as anybody in this courtroom at what has happened over the last few years in and around Annapolis. But, if you make your decisions based upon reason and careful consideration and thought, you have to return verdicts of not guilty regarding Kevin Fuertes.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Cunningham?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, and I can assure you that I will spare you a repeat of a lot of the testimony you heard. Certainly Ms. Yasser eloquently and comprehensibly evaluated the evidence that the Government is confident that, as you analyze it and assess it, you will do exactly as the Defendants requested that you do, and that is

to objectively analyze it, conclude what the facts are, and apply the facts to the law. We are equally confident that your verdicts will, unlike what they suggest they should be, be that the Defendants are guilty as charged.

Now, I'm sure, when you reported for jury duty two weeks ago, you didn't expect to learn with such detail the practice of the sex-trafficking trade, especially within the Hispanic community. However, I do think -- and notwithstanding the spin that perhaps Mr. Ventura might try to put on it -- that everyone agrees that this is a rather sordid, seamy, ugly environment, and the notion, any suggestion that the women who worked in this trade did so because of something other than the desperation forced upon them by dire financial circumstances, by an upbringing in a poor environment where they didn't get the education, where they didn't get the life skills and the opportunities that are afforded to many of us growing up -- not all, but many of us -- growing up in the United States, left them with options that were far fewer than most of us have enjoyed in life.

And it's within that milieu that the decisions that they make, however difficult for us to understand and embrace, have to be assessed. It's within that milieu that you have to consider the young woman who does, in fact, become subjected to the control, the exploitation, the manipulation, the physical and emotional violence of German Ventura.

German Ventura's desire to control was shown in a number of ways. As Ms. Yasser began during her opening statement, not only did he engage in the sex trafficking business, but there was a sector that he wanted to control, at least within the Annapolis community. Perhaps he fancied himself as another Raudel or Alex, carve out my area of influence, and that's where I'm going to assert control.

Now, it's kind of surprising. You didn't hear much of it in terms of counsel embracing or sponsoring the Defendant -- I mean Defendant Ventura's loathsomeness and loathsome regard for the Government, for law enforcement, and particularly for Special Agent Kelly, and I hope you would acknowledge, as the Government suggested in one comment to the Court, that it's -- not only is it utterly reprehensible and audacious to make such an allegation; it just flies in the face of a man who has, the evidence is, spent a commendable, long years of service to the United States providing the same kind of defense of our rights, freedom, and liberty as Mr. Montemarano suggested was done by the security officer at MIT.

So I appreciate that neither Mr. Ruter nor
Mr. Montemarano embraced or suggested that there was any merit
whatsoever to those outrageous condemnations, but let me take
that as a point of departure for one overarching proposition.
It's a lot easier to stand here and talk to you. Of course

it's one-sided. We don't have the luxury of dialogue where you might get to ask me, Mr. Ruter, Ms. Yasser, or Mr. Montemarano questions and engage in a back and forth, but I can assure you that trying to elicit a response from Ventura yesterday was one of the most unimaginably challenging things I've ever done as a lawyer of standing longer than Mr. Montemarano, of all things.

It was that control that he wanted to exercise in this courtroom that was so palpably obvious. I would submit also that it was his prevarication, his attempt to manipulate, his unwillingness to respond to a question that should suggest to you that it was utterly without any credibility, and that you should virtually flush anything he said -- the denial about being involved in prostitution, the denial of knowing anyone, not even willing to acknowledge that he knew people who came -- the only person I think that he acknowledged that he knew was Rebeca Dueñas. Otherwise, it was this wholehearted denial.

What it tells you: Not only that you should disregard the denials, but that someone who is willing to go that far, willing to say those kinds of things, is doing it for a big reason, and that's because the truth incriminates him. The truth is what makes him guilty.

Now, let me try to address, in the time that I have, just a couple of the things that were mentioned by counsel,

and, with all due respect to Mr. Ruter, because, again, harkening back to yesterday, I think you can appreciate, as the Government does, the challenge of his responsibility, which he undertook with honor and dispatched as he was supposed to, as our Constitution requires him to do, and as the Defendant, who will probably never understand, enjoyed a right and a representation that our Constitution says he deserves, and he got it, but the Constitution doesn't say a guilty man gets acquitted.

There was a suggestion the Government introduced the idea of the death of El Pelon as some sort of red herring.

Well, first of all, ladies and gentlemen, I would submit that, were you to be considering whether these men are guilty of the death of Pelon, that would certainly be something you could take back and consider. You wouldn't have to scrub it from the get-go, but this case was not about the murder of El Pelon. You should not speculate about what's going on outside this courtroom, this environment, and what your considerations are.

The death of El Pelon and Ventura's ownership of it was introduced for two reasons. First of all, we have an obligation to prove that these men are involved in the conspiracy to engage in the prostitution business, and I distinguish prostitution business from sex trafficking. The conspiracy count, as Mr. Montemarano acknowledged, doesn't

require the force, fraud, and coercion. It's just the sex 1 2 traffic. Excuse me. It's just the prostitution angle of it. 3 But think about it. If you're making threats about murdering or killing or injuring the competition, if you're 4 5 owning the death of a competitor, what's that tell you? You're involved in the business. That's part of what we had 6 7 to prove. But, secondarily, and much more significantly for 8 the purpose of considering Rebeca Dueñas --9 **DEFENDANT VENTURA:** Why didn't you charge me for 10 murder, then? Why did you charge me for prostitution, Mr. --11 THE COURT: Mr. Ventura, please be quiet. Now, 12 you've been well behaved so far. Please continue that. 13 **DEFENDANT VENTURA:** This man's been lying a lot. THE COURT: Quiet, sir. 14 15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Like I suggested, ladies and 16 gentlemen, you shouldn't think about what happens outside this courtroom. Rebeca Dueñas, there is a lot of talk about 17 18 Rebeca Dueñas, and it was in that context that the discussions 19 about, the ownership about the El Pelon murder also factors in 20 to the attitude, the emotions, the shackles -- the mental 21 shackles -- not physical shackles, but the mental and 22 emotional shackles by which she was constrained by that man, 23 compelled, coerced --24 **DEFENDANT VENTURA:** That's why she came and 25 testified about me, because you gave her --

THE COURT:

1

Mr. Ventura, if you continue, I will

2 have you removed from the courtroom. 3 **DEFENDANT VENTURA:** Why is he working it like you want to kill me or something? 4 THE COURT: Mr. Ventura, if you continue, I will 5 have you removed from the courtroom. 6 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't want Mr. Ventura to be 7 8 I just want you to do justice. If there was any killed. suggestion -- any question at all as to the utter 9 10 ridiculousness of certain things, it was, during testimony, 11 Mr. Ventura called Maria Theresa Baker that lying doctor. 12 Now, Dr. Baker came in for a very limited purpose, 13 and that was to provide her expert -- her expertise, training 14 and experience to you for the single purpose of saying, "The 15 observations I made were consistent with the account of Rebeca Dueñas." She didn't go farther to say, "This is how it 16 17 happened." She didn't say who did it. It was for a limited 18 purpose, and the Government didn't try to sponsor it for 19 anything more than that, but the idea that it was bought and 20 paid for is utterly ridiculous and, again, comes back to the 21 notion that the desperation of a quilty man would -- it was 22 palpably obvious during his testimony. 23 Now, with regard to Rebeca Dueñas, indeed she did 24 acknowledge that there were differences in her account or the 25 progression of her account to law enforcement over the course

of more than a year -- more than a year and a half of providing information, but, as Ms. Yasser pointed out, this was not an evasive person on the stand. It was someone certainly who was traumatized, and I invite you, as you evaluate her testimony, to think about the trauma in her life.

There is no doubt that she probably had difficulty figuring out with some specificity, when did this occur?

Well, most of us enjoy landmarks in our life history that help us to set dates: good things, positive things; sometimes sad things -- the death of a family member; the birth of a child. You know, "Oh, I had a great vacation here." When you think about those kind of mnemonic devices, we, most of our lives, are filled with those kinds of helpful things, but think of what the life must be for a woman who, from week to week, travels from one strange place to another, spends five or six days in a shabby, seedy little residence where she sleeps on the same stained, sheetless mattress on a floor on which she's supposed to lay down with ten, fifteen, twenty strangers a day to debase herself by having sex for money, when at least half of the money goes to men like that.

It's no wonder that trying to figure out exactly when something happened in a life like that -- it's not like for us where I can say, "Oh, yes, I took my son off to some camp," or, "We took a wonderful family vacation," "Oh, I remember that Christmas." She didn't have those kinds of

landmarks. So don't hold her responsible for some failures.

But, secondarily, her tongue was tied up, was constrained by the man sitting over there, by the fear of that man. When he got out -- or, frankly, he wasn't even incarcerated, because he -- he wasn't arrested when she was first arrested. He didn't get arrested until November, and the fear of what he would do to her, this fear born of what he threatened to do to other people, what he claimed responsibility for doing to other people -- Cassandra, she saw him with another prostitute. She saw what he did to another prostitute when she thought or -- excuse me -- when he thought that prostitute was responsible for a robbery of one of his brothels.

And, if she was really going to come in here and fabricate completely, to really put it to both of these guys, don't you think it would have been a whole lot more condemning than it was? What did she say? One time, there was an account of him using violence because of her unwillingness to engage in a sex act -- one time.

Now, think about it. If she was really going to make this up and, since, after all, it's largely her word versus his -- well, actually, it's just her word. She would have said a whole lot more than that, but it only takes that one time. It was that one time. It was that environment. It was that climate of fear in which Defendant Fuentes

participated, and that's why he's absorbed.

Counsel are wise to concede the conspiracy.

Mr. Ruter's wise to concede the counts which are so obvious from the evidence and testimony, but they don't want to concede the force, fraud, and coercion, because there is at least an opportunity here, if you challenge Rebeca Dueñas, to attack. Well, you must evaluate her testimony carefully, and I submit that, when you do, you'll conclude that that young woman came in here, promised to tell the truth, and, through the four hours-plus, did tell you the truth, and the evidence that she presented does indeed support Count 6 as to both Defendants.

I think I have a few minutes, and I just want to make sure there is nothing that I -- Mr. Ventura -- excuse me. Mr. Montemarano wanted to isolate Fuertes from this act by using those dates. The one thing Ms. Dueñas did say was this act of violence, the striking, occurred at the

we're able by virtue of Mr. Kim's testimony, however difficult it was, again, to elicit information across the language barrier there, to essentially isolate that time frame to after Fuertes' March '09 arrest, so we know he is still working with Ventura, and it's here in Maryland, and it was before he went to Virginia.

Now, this whole business about the assault on Hector Avila, talk about red herrings. I mean, Fuertes left

Maryland because he was under -- finally came to be under the auspices of ICE. Now, as a momentary aside, whatever else you might think about the Immigration policies of the United States, there is no doubt that we've got some problems. We have some problems in enforcement and what have you, but the fact of the matter is that that's independent of this case from the standpoint of the enforcement action, but Fuertes had come under the umbrella of ICE enforcement, and the easiest thing for him to do was to abscond, to leave the jurisdiction and make sure that his presence was relatively limited; maybe Sunday afternoons, come up from Virginia, return on Monday. We saw that traffic.

But here is a guy who is still involved. Look at the telephone information. You're going to have that frequency chart to take back with you and evaluate it.

I wish I was as eloquent and adept as my colleague in presenting all of the evidence to you, and I wouldn't take exception with one thing that she said, except for one tiny thing she said, and that was you're going to have the organizational chart to take back with you. Actually, we use that as a demonstrative aid. You won't have that organizational chart when you go back into your deliberations, but I'm going to show it to you once more.

This is the demonstrative chart that the Government prepared based on the anticipated testimony. And I say

"anticipated," because I showed you this during opening statement, and I told you that this is what the evidence was going to show you, was going to prove to you, and that is that German Ventura was the top dog, that he had a couple of lieutenants. Fuertes was one of them. How many times Fuertes was arrested? At least twice in brothels: Once over at -- once at _____, and once at _____.

And the other guy is El Colmillo. How many people talked about him? A number of people. Ventura operated these brothels. All the people here at the bottom, at various times, in various police operations, were arrested.

Ventura wanted to carve out that niche. That's the rough organization that he created, using the fear, the threats, the effort to control things that we saw part of in this courtroom. It's interesting Mr. Montemarano started his statement with the account -- sad account in Boston and then concluded with reference to Patriots Day. Actually, another small correction. Patriots Day is actually celebrated -- was -- in fact, the first Patriots Day was April 19th. It's always celebrated -- it's a State holiday in Massachusetts, always celebrated on the third Monday of the month of April, and, sadly, it was this Monday, April 15th, when we were sitting, that the tragic events occurred in that city during the marathon, and we are appropriately reminded of our responsibilities as citizens to operate within the law, to

function as a nation of laws, and we afford people in this country, citizens or not, constitutional rights to a fair trial by individuals like you, who will objectively, dispassionately consider the evidence and render verdicts.

Those are important rights, not just to the individuals who are sitting as defendants, but they're important rights to all of us. We're confident that, when you do that, you will also respect the rights of the citizenry, hold the Government to the standard we bear in every single criminal case tried in this country -- proof beyond a reasonable doubt. It's part of what makes it a great country. We have no doubt whatsoever that, when you do that, you'll help keep it a great country and a safer country, and convict these men of these crimes.

Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cunningham.

Members of the jury, we're going to take a shorter lunch break than usual. That's okay, because we're delivering your lunch to you, so it should work out.

We will resume at 2:00 p.m. with my instructions, and then the case will be given to you for your decision. As I said, the instructions should take around about an hour, so I would expect to give the case to you to begin your deliberations sometime around 3 o'clock or so.

We are in recess.

THE CLERK: All rise. This Honorable Court stands 1 2 in recess until 2:00 p.m. 3 (Jury excused.) (Luncheon recess -- 1:09 p.m.) 4 (Afternoon session -- 1:59 p.m.) 5 THE CLERK: All rise. This Honorable Court now 6 7 resumes in session. 8 **THE COURT:** Yes, Mr. Montemarano? MR. MONTEMARANO: Yes, Your Honor. Ms. Kies 9 10 provided me when I came in today a revised version of the 11 verdict sheet, superseding the one provided last evening via 12 e-mail, for which I thank her. That being said, it is my 13 understanding that the present version will permit a finding of involvement in Count 6, alternatively, by reckless 14 disregard for after the 23rd of December --15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 17 MR. MONTEMARANO: -- but only after the 23rd of 18 December is -- but I'm -- Maryann explained it to me, and I'd 19 like to be clear on the Court's understanding of the change, 20 because I'm not sure I'm following it. My view is that they 21 can only find reckless disregard after the 23rd, and that, 22 absent that, they have to acquit on that prong, because there 23 is only one instance of conduct, so they cannot find on either 24 side of the 23rd. It's got to be the one or the other.

THE COURT: What was the date of the conduct that's

25

```
relied upon?
 1
 2
                 MR. MONTEMARANO: Well, the Government's argument is
       that it's in April.
 3
                 THE COURT: I understand it's argument. So your
 4
 5
       question?
                 MR. MONTEMARANO: The Court's indulgence.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: I'll tell you what. Why don't you look
       for that while I'm reading my instructions.
 8
                 MR. MONTEMARANO: I'm --
 9
10
                 THE COURT: It will give you something to do other
11
       than listening to the instructions. Believe me, if I had
12
       something to do other than listening to the instructions, I'd
       do it.
13
14
                 Ready for the jury, counsel?
15
                 MR. MONTEMARANO: Yes, Your Honor.
16
                 THE COURT: Would you get them?
17
                 (Jury enters.)
18
                 THE COURT: Please be seated.
19
                 Ladies and gentlemen, you are now about to enter
20
       your final duty, which is to decide the fact issues in this
21
       case. Before you do that, I will instruct you on the law.
22
                 Now, as Ms. Yasser has told you, I am going to give
23
       you copies of my instructions, so don't think that you have to
24
       make a stenographic record of what I'm saying to you. I will
25
       give you several copies of the instructions along with several
```

copies of the verdict sheet that you'll take back with you in addition to the evidence. Now, if you want to take notes about anything that strikes you during the instructions, feel free to do that, but don't feel you're under any obligation to have to record the instructions. You're not. You'll get copies of them.

I ask you to pay close attention to me now. I will go slowly, and be as clear as possible. It is evident to me that you followed the testimony with close attention, so I'm going to ask you to give me that same careful attention as I instruct you about the law. You have heard all the evidence in the case, as well as the final arguments of the lawyers for the parties. My duty at this point is to instruct you about the law. It is your duty to accept these instructions of law and apply them to the facts as you determine those facts.

On these legal matters, you must take the law as I give it to you. You should not single out any instruction as alone stating the law, but you should consider my instructions as a whole when you retire to deliberate in the jury room. You should not, any of you, be concerned about the wisdom of any rule that I state. Regardless of any opinion that you may have as to what the law may be -- or ought to be -- you must base your verdict on the law that I will give you.

You, the members of the jury, on the other hand, are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts. You pass upon the

weight of the evidence. You determine the credibility, which is to say believability, of the witnesses, and you draw whatever reasonable inferences you decide to draw from the facts as you determined them.

In determining the facts, you must rely on your own recollection of the evidence. What the lawyers have said in their opening statements, and their closing arguments, and in their objections or in their questions is not evidence. Nor is anything I may have said during the trial or may say during these instructions evidence.

The evidence before you consists of the answers given by the witnesses -- the testimony they gave as you recall it -- and the exhibits that were received into evidence. You may also consider stipulations, or agreements, if any, as evidence.

Because you are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts, I do not mean to indicate any opinion as to the facts or what your verdict should be. It is the duty of the attorney for each side of the case to object when the other side offers testimony or other evidence which the attorney believes is not properly admissible. The lawyers also have the right and duty to ask me to make rulings of law and to request conferences out of your hearing. All these questions of law have to be decided by me. You should not show any prejudice against some attorney or his or her client because

that attorney objected to the admissibility of evidence or asked for a conference out of your hearing or asked me to make

 \parallel a ruling of law.

As I have already said, my rulings on the admissibility of evidence do not indicate any opinions that I hold about the weight or effect of the evidence. You are the sole judges of the believability of all the witnesses and the weight and effect of all the evidence.

You are to perform the duty of finding facts without bias or prejudice against or sympathy for any party. You are to perform your final duty in an attitude of complete fairness and impartiality.

Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence developed at trial or the lack of evidence.

It would be improper for you to consider, in reaching your decision as to whether the Government met its burden of proof, any personal feelings you may have about a defendant's race, religion, national origin, sex, or age. All persons are entitled to the presumption of innocence, and the Government has the burden of proof, as I will discuss in a moment.

It would be equally wrong for you to allow any feelings you might have about the nature of the crimes charged to interfere with your decision-making process.

To repeat, your verdict must be based only on the

evidence or lack of evidence in the case.

The case is important to the Government, because the enforcement of criminal law is a matter of prime concern to the community. Equally and obviously, the case is important to the Defendants, who are charged with serious crimes.

The fact that this prosecution is brought in the name of the United States of America entitles the Government to no greater consideration than that would be given to any other party in the lawsuit. By the same token, the Government is entitled to no less consideration. All parties, whether the Government or individuals, stand as equals at the bar of justice.

Your verdicts should be based upon the facts as found by you from the evidence and the law contained in these instructions.

Although the Defendants have been indicted, you must remember that an indictment is only an accusation; it is not evidence. Each defendant has pled not guilty to the Indictment. As a result of these pleas of not guilty, the burden is on the prosecution to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. This burden never shifts to a defendant for the simple reason that the law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden or duty of calling any witness or producing any evidence.

The law presumes a defendant to be innocent of all

the charges against him. I therefore instruct you that the Defendant, each defendant, is to be presumed by you to be innocent throughout your deliberations until such time, if ever, that you, as a jury, are satisfied that the Government has proven that defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Each defendant began the trial with a clean slate. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to acquit a defendant unless you, as jurors, are unanimously convinced beyond a reasonable doubt of his guilt after a careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. If the Government fails to sustain its burden, you must find the Defendant not guilty.

The presumption of innocence was with each defendant when the trial began, remains with each now, even as I speak to you, and will continue with each defendant into your deliberations unless and until you are convinced that the Government has proven his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

One defendant chose not to testify in this case.

Under our Constitution, a defendant has no obligation to testify or to present any evidence, because it is the Government's burden to prove a defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. A defendant is never required to prove that he is innocent.

Therefore, you must not attach any significance to the fact that a given defendant did not testify. No adverse

inference against a defendant may be drawn by you because he did not take the witness stand, and you may not consider it in any way in your deliberations in the jury room.

In a criminal case, the Defendant cannot be required to testify, but, if either one of the defendants here chooses to testify, he is of course permitted to take the witness stand on his own behalf. In this case, a defendant decided to testify. You should examine and evaluate his testimony just as you would the testimony of any witness with an interest in the outcome of the case.

There are two types of evidence which you may properly use in deciding whether a defendant is guilty or not guilty.

One type of evidence is called direct evidence.

Direct evidence is where a witness testifies about what he saw or heard or observed. In other words, when a witness testifies about what is known to him of his own knowledge by virtue of his own senses -- what he sees, feels, touches, or hears -- that is called direct evidence.

Circumstantial evidence is evidence which tends to prove a disputed fact by proof of other facts. You infer on the basis of reason and experience and common sense from an established fact the existence or nonexistence of some other fact.

Circumstantial evidence is of no less value than

direct evidence. As a general rule, the law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence, but simply requires that, before convicting a defendant, the jury must be satisfied of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt from all the evidence in the case.

During the trial, you have heard the attorneys use the term "inference," and, in their arguments, they have asked you to infer on the basis of your reason, experience, and common sense, from one or more established facts, the existence of some other fact.

An inference is not a suspicion or a guess. It is a reasoned, logical decision to conclude that a disputed fact does exist on the basis of another fact which you know does exist.

There are times when different inferences may be drawn from facts, whether proved by direct or circumstantial evidence. The Government may ask you to draw one set of inferences, while the Defense may ask you to draw another. It is for you, and you alone, to decide what inferences you will draw.

The process of drawing inferences from facts in evidence is not a matter of guesswork or speculation. An inference is a deduction or conclusion which you, the jury, may -- but you are not required to draw -- from the facts which have been established by either direct or circumstantial

evidence. In drawing inferences, you should exercise your common sense.

So, while you are considering the evidence presented to you, you are permitted to draw, from the facts which you find to be proven, such reasonable inferences as would be justified in light of your experience.

Here again, let me remind you that, whether based upon direct or circumstantial evidence, or upon the logical, reasonable inferences drawn from such evidence, you must be satisfied of the guilt of a defendant beyond a reasonable doubt before you may convict him.

The evidence in this case consists of the sworn testimony of witnesses, the exhibits that were -- and the exhibits that were received in evidence.

Exhibits which have been marked for identification but not received into evidence may not be considered by you as evidence. Only those exhibits received into evidence may be considered as evidence. And we will be sending the evidence back to you, so, if there is something that was mentioned at trial that you don't have, that's because it was not admitted into evidence, but everything that was admitted into evidence, you will have access to.

You are to disregard any testimony when I have ordered it stricken. As I indicated before, only the witnesses' answers are evidence, and you are not to consider a

question as evidence. Also, statements made by the lawyers are not evidence.

You should consider the evidence in light of your own common sense and experience, and you may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence.

Of course anything you may have seen or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be entirely disregarded.

The Government has presented exhibits in the form of charts and summaries. I decided to admit these charts and summaries in place of the underlying documents that they represent in order to save time and unnecessary inconvenience. You should consider these charts and summaries as you would any other evidence.

Any person who testifies, including a party, is a witness. You are the sole judges of whether testimony should be believed. In making this decision, you may apply your own common sense and everyday experiences. In determining whether a witness should be believed, you should carefully judge all the testimony and evidence and the circumstances under which each witness has testified.

You should consider such things as the witness' behavior on the stand and way of testifying, the witness' opportunity to see or hear the things about which testimony was given, the accuracy of the witness' memory, did the

witness have a motive not to tell the truth, does the witness have an interest in the outcome of the case, was the witness' testimony consistent, was the witness' testimony supported or contradicted by other evidence, and whether and the extent to which the witness' testimony in court was different from any statement made by the witness on any previous occasion.

You need not believe any witness, even though the testimony is not contradicted. You may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness.

In this case, you have heard the testimony of various law enforcement officials. The fact that a witness may be employed by a government as a law enforcement official does not mean his testimony is necessarily deserving of more or less consideration or greater or lesser weight than that of an ordinary witness.

At the same time, it is quite legitimate for the Defense attorneys to try to attack the believability of a law enforcement witness on the grounds that his testimony may be colored by a personal or professional interest in the outcome of the case.

It is your decision, after reviewing all the evidence, whether to accept the testimony of the law enforcement witness and to give that testimony whatever weight, if any, you find it deserves.

In this case, I permitted a witness, Dr. Mary

Theresa Baker, to express her opinion about matters that are in issue. A witness may be permitted to testify to an opinion on those matters about which he or she has special knowledge, skill, experience, and training. Such testimony is presented to you on the theory that someone who is experienced and knowledgeable in a field can assist you in understanding the evidence or in reaching an independent decision on the facts.

In weighing this opinion testimony, you may consider Dr. Baker's qualifications, her opinions, the reasons for testifying, as well as all of the other considerations that ordinarily apply when you are deciding whether or not to believe a witness' testimony. You may give her opinion testimony whatever weight, if any, you find it deserves in light of all the evidence in the case. You should not, however, accept her expert opinion testimony merely because I allowed her to testify about her opinion, nor should you substitute it for your own reason, judgment, and common sense. I remind you that the determination of the facts in this case rests solely with you.

The Government has been permitted to hand out typed documents, which it prepared, containing the Government's interpretation of what appears on recordings which have been received as evidence. Those were given to you as an aid or guide to assist you in listening to them; however, they are not in and of themselves evidence. So, when the recordings

were played, I advised you to listen carefully to the recordings themselves.

Now, there is one difference here. That's when the recordings are in another language. In that case, the actual interpretation on which you received on the transcript was evidence.

You alone make your interpretation at what appears in the evidence based on what you've heard or, in the case of the testimony in foreign language, what the official interpretation was. Again, you are the sole judges of the facts.

Languages other than English have been used for some evidence during this trial. When a witness testified in another language, the witness did so through an official court reporter -- sorry -- through an official court interpreter.

When recorded evidence was presented in another language, there was an official court translation of the recording.

The evidence you are to consider and on which you must base your decision is only the English-language interpretation or translation provided through the official court interpreters and translators. Although some of you may know the non-English languages used, you must disregard any meaning of the non-English words that differ from the official interpretation or translation.

You must not make any assumptions about a witness or

a party based solely on the use of an interpreter to assess that witness or party.

You have heard evidence that a witness made a statement on an earlier occasion which counsel argues is inconsistent with the witness' trial testimony. Evidence of a prior inconsistent statement is not to be considered by you as affirmative evidence bearing on a defendant's guilt. Evidence of the prior inconsistent statement was placed before you for the more limited purpose of helping you decide whether to believe the trial testimony of the witness who contradicted himself or herself. If you find that the witness made an earlier statement that conflicts with his trial testimony, you may consider that fact in deciding how much of his trial testimony, if any, to believe.

In making this determination, you may consider whether the witness purposely made a false statement, or whether it was an innocent mistake; whether the inconsistency concerns an important fact, or whether it had to do with a small detail; whether the witness had an explanation for the inconsistency, and whether that explanation appealed to your common sense.

It is exclusively your duty, based upon all the evidence and your own good judgment, to determine whether the prior statement was inconsistent, and, if so, how much, if any, weight to be given to the inconsistent statement in

determining whether to believe all or part of the witness' testimony at trial.

During the trial, you have heard testimony of witnesses and argument by counsel that the Government did not use specific investigative techniques. You may consider these facts in deciding whether the Government has met its burden of proof, because, as I told you, you should look to all of the evidence or lack of evidence in deciding whether a defendant is guilty; however, you are instructed that there is no legal requirement that the Government use any specific investigative technique to prove its case. There is no requirement, for example, to offer recordings in evidence. Law enforcement techniques are not your concern.

Your concern, as I have said, is to determine whether or not, on the evidence or lack of evidence, a defendant's guilt has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

This Indictment contains a total of seven counts.

Each count charges the Defendants with a different crime. You must consider each count separately and return a separate verdict of guilty or not guilty for each, and, as was noted by Mr. Montemarano in his argument, his client is not charged in all seven counts. So that will be clear during the instructions and from the verdict sheet.

Whether you find a defendant guilty or not guilty as to one offense should not affect your verdict as to any other

offense charged.

With these preliminary instructions in mind, let's turn to the charges against the Defendants as contained in the Indictment. I remind you that an indictment is not evidence; it merely describes the charges against the Defendants. It is an accusation. It may not be considered by you as any evidence of the guilt of any defendant.

In reaching your determination whether the Government has proven any defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, you may consider only the evidence introduced or lack of evidence against that defendant.

While we're on the subject of the elements of the offenses, I draw your attention to the fact that it does not matter if the Indictment charges that a specific act occurred on or about a certain date and the evidence indicates that, in fact, it was on another date. The law only requires a substantial similarity between the dates alleged in the Indictment and the date established by testimony or exhibits.

We turn now to Count 1, conspiracy relating to interstate prostitution.

Count 1 of the charging document charges the

Defendants with conspiracy related to interstate commercial

sex activity. The charging document reads, in relevant part,

that the Grand Jury charged that, at all times relevant to the

charging document, Defendant German de Jesus Ventura, also

known as Chino, also known as Chalo, also known as Pancho, also known as Chaco, was a native and citizen of El Salvador and an illegal alien residing in Capitol Heights, Maryland.

The document charges that Defendant Kevin Garcia Fuertes, also known as Kerlin Esau Esquivel Fuentes, also known as Flaco, was a native and citizen of Honduras and an illegal alien residing in Annapolis, Maryland, and Richmond, Virginia.

The document then goes on to describe the criminal charge.

Beginning at least in or about March 2008 through in or about November 2010, in Maryland and elsewhere, the Defendants did knowingly and voluntarily conspire, to agree, and agree with themselves and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to knowingly transport any individual in interstate commerce with the intent that such individuals engage in prostitution and sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense in violation of the law, and to knowingly persuade, induce, entice, and coerce any individual to travel in interstate commerce to engage in prostitution and sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense in violation of the law.

The manner and means by which the conspiracy was sought to be accomplished included, among other things, the following: It was part of the conspiracy that the Defendants

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was further a part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury advertised their places of prostitution using business cards.

It was a further part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others advertised, managed, and operated the places of prostitution.

It was further a part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others purchased mattresses, K-Y Jelly, condoms, paper towels, and rubbing alcohol, and other materials for use in their places of prostitution.

It was further a part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others used cellular telephones and other means of communication to arrange for the interstate transport of women with the intent that these women engage in prostitution in Maryland and elsewhere.

It was further a part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others arranged for vans and other vehicles to transport women and transported women themselves to various locations outside Maryland to Maryland, typically on Monday mornings, with the intent that these women engage in prostitution.

It was further part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others arranged for vans and other vehicles to transport prostitutes and transported the prostitutes themselves from Maryland to locations outside Maryland, typically on Sunday evenings.

It was further part of the conspiracy that the Defendants purchased or leased vehicles used to transport prostitutes to and from Maryland.

It was further part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others traveled from destinations within and outside of Maryland to facilitate the prostitution business.

It was further part of the conspiracy that the Defendants and others collected and shared the cash proceeds of the prostitution business.

It was further part of the conspiracy that the Defendant German de Jesus Ventura reported criminal activity by individuals allegedly engaged in prostitution activities in order to divert the attention of law enforcement and facilitate his own prostitution activities.

It was further part of the conspiracy that both defendants threatened to use and used violence against those also engaged in prostitution activities within Maryland.

It was further part of the conspiracy that the Defendants threatened to use and used violence against certain female prostitutes to coerce their continued participation in the prostitution enterprise.

And it was further part of the conspiracy that

Defendant Ventura claimed responsibility for the murder of
multiple competitor pimps in order to intimidate competitor
pimps and his own employees and female prostitutes.

The Grand Jury charged that, in the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects of the conspiracy, one or more of the co-conspirators committed or caused to be committed at least one of the following acts, among others, in the District of Maryland and elsewhere:

It is charged that, on or about September 25, 2008, the Defendants and others operated a place of prostitution at Annapolis, Maryland.

Between October 2008 through April 2009, the

Defendants physically assaulted a female illegal alien for refusing to engage in prostitution and other sex acts and held her against her will.

On or about March 25th, 2009, the Defendants operated a place of prostitution at

reported a kidnapping and rape to the police in order to 1 2 falsely implicate another individual with the crimes. On or about April 3rd, 2010, Defendant Ventura 3 placed a threatening phone call to a person believed to be an 4 individual competing for prostitution business in Prince 5 George's County, Maryland. 6 On or about Monday, April 5th, 2010, German de Jesus 7 8 Ventura and others transported two prostitutes to his place of 9 prostitution at , Annapolis, Maryland, and 10 purchased condoms and paper towels for the operation of the 11 prostitution house. 12 On or about May 8, 2010, Defendant Ventura delivered 13 a mattress to , Easton, Maryland, in order to 14 establish a place of prostitution. On or about July 6 and 7, 2010, Defendant Ventura 15 and others operated places of prostitution at 16 , Easton, Maryland, and at 17 Annapolis, 18 Maryland. 19 On or about July 12, 2010, Defendant Ventura 20 transported a Hispanic female from Langley Park, Maryland, to 21 Portsmouth, Virginia for the purpose of engaging in 22 prostitution. 23 On or about Monday, August 2, 2010, Defendant 24 Ventura and others transported Hispanic females within 25 Maryland and from Hyattsville, Maryland, to Portsmouth,

Virginia, for the purpose of engaging in prostitution.

On or about August 3rd, 2010, Defendant Ventura used a cellular telephone to send three threatening multimedia messages depicting a semi-automatic pistol, the magazine for the pistol, and an angel of death statue to an individual competing for prostitution business in Maryland.

On or about Monday, September 13, 2010,

Defendant Ventura and others transported multiple Hispanic

females within the state of Maryland for the purpose of

engaging in prostitution.

On or about Sunday, September 19th, 2010, to Monday, September 20, an employee of Defendant Ventura transported multiple Hispanic females to various places of prostitution within Maryland for the purpose of engaging in prostitution.

On or about October 18, 2010, an employee of Defendant Ventura purchased minutes on a telephone, which was subsequently used to facilitate the prostitution enterprise on October 28, November 2, and November 3 in 2010.

On or about that November 3, 2010, Defendant Ventura arranged for the assault of an individual competing for prostitution in Maryland, for prostitution business, with a pistol-grip shotgun.

On or about November 15, 2010, Defendant Ventura and co-conspirators transported Hispanic women within Maryland and across state lines for the purpose of engaging in

prostitution.

The law makes such conduct illegal.

In this case, each defendant is accused of having been a member of a conspiracy relating to transportation and enticement for interstate prostitution. A conspiracy is a kind of criminal partnership or a combination or agreement of two or more persons to join together to accomplish some unlawful purpose.

The crime of conspiracy to violate a federal law is an independent offense. It is separate and distinct from the actual violation of any specific federal law, which the law refers to as substantive crimes.

Indeed, you may find a defendant guilty of the crime of conspiracy to commit an offense against the United States even though the substantive crime which was the object of the conspiracy was not actually committed. Moreover, you may find a defendant guilty of conspiracy despite the fact that he himself was incapable of committing the substantive crime.

Congress has deemed it appropriate to make conspiracy, standing alone, a separate crime even if the conspiracy is not successful. This is because collective criminal activity poses a greater threat to the public safety and welfare than individual conduct and increases the likelihood of success of a particular criminal venture.

In order to satisfy its burden of proof, the

Government must prove each of the following things beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that two or more persons entered into at least one of the unlawful agreements charged in the charging document; second, that the Defendant knowingly and willfully became a member of the conspiracy; and, third, that one member of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one overt act in Maryland during the conspiracy to further some objective of the conspiracy.

The first thing the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt to establish the offense of conspiracy is that two or more persons entered the unlawful agreement charged in the charging document.

In order for the Government to satisfy this element, you need not find that the alleged members of the conspiracy met together and entered into any express or formal agreement. Also, you need not find that the alleged conspirator stated, in words or writing, what the scheme was -- its object or purpose -- or every precise detail of the scheme, or the means by which its object or purpose was to be accomplished. What the Government must prove is that there was a mutual understanding, either spoken or unspoken, between two or more people to cooperate with each other to accomplish an unlawful act.

You may, of course, find that the existence of an agreement to disobey or disregard the law has been established

by direct proof. However, since conspiracy is, by its very nature, characterized by secrecy, you may also infer its existence from the circumstances of the case and the conduct of the parties involved.

In a very real sense, in the context of conspiracy cases, actions often speak louder than words. In this regard, you may, in determining whether an agreement existed here, consider the actions and statements of all of those you find to be participants as proof that a common design existed on the part of the persons charged to act together to accomplish an unlawful act.

The second thing that the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt to establish the offense of conspiracy is that a defendant knowingly, willfully, and voluntarily became a member of the conspiracy.

If you are satisfied that the conspiracy charged in the charging document existed, you must next ask yourself who the members of that conspiracy were. In deciding whether a defendant was, in fact, a member of the conspiracy, you should consider whether the Defendant knowingly and willfully joined the conspiracy. Did he participate in it with knowledge of its unlawful purpose and with the specific intention of furthering its business or objective as an associate or worker?

In that regard, it has been said that, in order for

a defendant to be deemed a participant in the conspiracy, he must have had a stake in the venture or its outcome. You are instructed that, while proof of a financial interest in the outcome of the scheme is not essential, if you find that a defendant had such an interest, it is a factor which you may properly consider in determining whether or not a defendant was a member of the conspiracy charged in the Indictment.

As I mentioned before, before a defendant can be found to have been a conspirator, you must first find that he knowingly joined in the unlawful agreement or plan. The key question, therefore, is whether a defendant joined the conspiracy with an awareness of at least some of the basic aims and purposes of the unlawful agreement.

It is important for you to note that a defendant's participation in the conspiracy must be established by independent evidence of his own acts or statements, as well as those of the other alleged co-conspirators, and the reasonable inferences which may be drawn from them.

A defendant's knowledge is a matter of inference from the facts proved. In that connection, I instruct you that, to become a member of the conspiracy, a defendant need not have known the identities of each and every other member, nor need he have been apprised of all of their activities.

Moreover, a defendant need not have been fully informed as to all of the details or the scope of the conspiracy in order to

justify an inference of knowledge on his part. Furthermore, the Defendant need not have joined in all of the conspiracy's unlawful objectives.

The extent of a defendant's participation has no bearing on the issue of a defendant's guilt. A conspirator's liability is not measured by the extent or duration of his participation. Indeed, each member may perform separate and distinct acts and may perform them at different times. Some conspirators play major roles, while others play minor parts in the scheme. An equal role is not what the law requires. In fact, even a single act may be sufficient to draw the Defendant within the ambit of the conspiracy.

Thus, a defendant may be convicted of conspiracy without full knowledge of all of the conspiracy's details. If he joins the conspiracy with an understanding of the unlawful nature thereof, and willfully joins in the plan on at least one occasion, even though he may not have participated before, might not participate again, and played only a minor role.

I want to caution you, however, that a defendant's mere presence at the scene of an alleged crime does not by itself make him a member of the conspiracy. Also, mere association with one or more members of the conspiracy does not automatically make a defendant a member. A person may know or be friendly with a criminal without being a criminal himself. Mere similarity of conduct or the fact that they may

have assembled together and discussed common aims and interests does not necessarily establish proof of the existence of a conspiracy.

I also want to caution you that mere knowledge or acquiescence without participation in the unlawful plan is not sufficient. Moreover, the fact that the acts of a defendant without knowledge merely happened to further the purposes or objectives of the conspiracy does not make the Defendant a member. More is required under the law. What is necessary is that the Defendant must have participated with knowledge of at least some of the purposes or objectives of the conspiracy and with the intention of aiding in the accomplishment of those unlawful ends.

In sum, a defendant, with an understanding of the unlawful character of the conspiracy, must have intentionally engaged, advised, or assisted in it for the purpose of furthering the illegal undertaking. He thereby becomes a willing and knowing participant in the unlawful agreement; that is to say, a conspirator.

Finally, the Indictment alleges that the conspiracy began in or about March 2008 and continued until in or about November of 2010. You need not find that the starting date of the conspiracy coincides with the starting date alleged in the Indictment in order to render a guilty verdict. Rather, you must find that the starting date of a conspiracy began anytime

in the window alleged in the Indictment.

The third thing which the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt to establish the offense of conspiracy is that at least one overt act was knowingly committed by at least one of the conspirators in the course of the conspiracy.

In order for the Government to satisfy this element, it is only required that the Government prove one of the overt acts charged in the Superseding Indictment.

Also, you need not find that the Defendant under consideration committed the overt act. It is sufficient for the Government to show that one of the co-conspirators knowingly committed an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy, since such an act becomes, in the eyes of the law, the act of all the members of the conspiracy.

The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one overt act was knowingly and willfully done, by at least one conspirator, in furtherance of some object or purpose of the unlawful agreement. In this regard, you must bear in mind that the overt act, standing alone, may be an innocent, lawful act. Frequently, however, an apparently innocent act sheds its harmless character if it is a step in carrying out, promoting, aiding, or assisting the conspiratorial scheme. You are therefore instructed that the over act does not have to be an act which, in and of itself,

is criminal or constitutes an objective of the conspiracy.

You will recall that I have admitted into evidence against the Defendants the acts and statements of other persons because the Government charges that these acts and statements were committed by persons who are also confederates or co-conspirators of the defendants on trial.

The reason for allowing this evidence to be received against a defendant has to do with the nature of the crime of conspiracy. A conspiracy is often referred to as a partnership in crime. Thus, as in other types of partnerships, when people enter into a conspiracy to accomplish an unlawful end, each and every member becomes an agent for the other conspirators in carrying out the conspiracy.

Accordingly, the reasonably foreseeable acts, declarations, statements, and omissions of any member of the conspiracy, which are done in furtherance of the common purpose of the conspiracy, are deemed, under the law, to be acts of all the members, and all of the members are responsible for such acts, declarations, statements, and omissions.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that a defendant was a member of the conspiracy charged in the Indictment, then any acts done or statements made in furtherance of the conspiracy by persons also found by you to

have been members of that conspiracy may be considered against the Defendant. This is so even if the acts were done and the statements were made in the Defendant's absence and without his knowledge.

However, before you may consider the statements or acts of a co-conspirator in deciding the issue of a defendant's guilt, you must first determine that the acts and statements were made during the existence and in furtherance of the unlawful scheme. If the acts were done or the statements made by someone whom you do not find to have been a member of the conspiracy, or if they were not done or said in furtherance of the conspiracy, they may be considered by you as evidence only against the member who did or said them.

Counts 2, 4, and 5 of the Indictment charge interstate transportation for prostitution.

In Count 2, both defendants are charged with that crime. Interstate transportation of an individual with the intent that that individual engage in prostitution or sexual activity for which someone could be charged with a criminal offense in violation of the law. This happened from in or about September 2008 through in or about March 2009 as charged.

Count 2 reads that the Grand Jury charges that the allegations stated in Paragraphs 1 and 2 and 4 through 20 of Count 1 -- in other words, that long description of the

conspiracy that I read before -- are incorporated by reference into this count.

From in or about September 2008 through in or about March 2009, in Maryland and elsewhere, the Defendants did knowingly and intentionally transport individuals in interstate and foreign commerce, with the intent that those individuals engage in prostitution and sexual activity for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense.

In Counts 4 and 5, Defendant Ventura alone is charged with the same crime, on or about August 2, 2010 and on or about November 15, 2010 respectively. Count 4 reads that the Grand Jury charged Defendant Ventura first with all of the allegations set forth in the first count that are incorporated by reference here.

The Grand Jury charged that, on August 2nd, 2010, Defendant Ventura did knowingly and intentionally transport individuals in interstate and foreign commerce with the intent that those individuals engage in prostitution and sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense.

Count 5 of the Indictment charges that the allegations set forth in that long list of things I read before are incorporated by reference in the count, and, on or about November 15th, 2010, in Maryland and elsewhere,

Defendant Ventura did knowingly and intentionally transport

individuals in interstate and foreign commerce with the intent that those individuals engage in prostitution and sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense.

In order to prove a defendant guilty of this crime,

the Government must prove each of the following things beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the Defendant knowingly transported individuals in interstate commerce as alleged in the Indictment; second, that the Defendant transported said individuals with the intent that those individuals would engage in prostitution or illegal sexual activity.

I instruct you that prostitution means engaging in sexual activity on account of which anything of value is given to or received by any person. An unlawful sexual activity includes anything that would be a crime under Maryland law that forbids engaging in prostitution.

Counts 2, 4, and 5, interstate transportation for prostitution, the first element, as I said, is transport in interstate commerce.

The first thing that the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in Counts 2, 4, and 5 is that a defendant knowingly transported an individual in interstate commerce.

"Interstate commerce" simply means movement between one state and another.

The Government does not have to prove that the Defendant personally transported an individual across a state line. It is sufficient to satisfy this element if the Defendant was actively engaged in the making of travel arrangements, such as by purchasing tickets for the individuals to travel as planned.

The Defendant must have knowingly transported, as I have defined that term, the individual in interstate commerce. This means that the Government must prove that the Defendant knew both that he was transporting the individual and that he was transporting the individual in interstate commerce. To act knowingly means to act voluntarily and intentionally, not because of accident, mistake, or other innocent reason.

To find that the Government has proven this element beyond a reasonable doubt, all members of the jury must agree when the particular individual was transported in interstate commerce. You need not determine the identity of the particular individual transported.

You have been instructed that, in order to sustain its burden of proof, the Government must prove that the Defendant acted knowingly. A person acts knowingly if he acts intentionally and voluntarily, and not because of ignorance, mistake, accident, or carelessness. Whether the Defendant acted knowingly may be proven by the Defendant's conduct and by all of the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Counts 2, 4, and 5 require the Government to prove an intent to engage in prostitution.

The direct proof of a person's intent is almost never available. It would be a rare case where it could be shown that a person wrote or stated that, as of a given time, he committed an act with a particular intent. Such direct proof is not required. The ultimate fact of intent, though subjective, may be established by circumstantial evidence based upon the Defendant's outward manifestations -- his words, his conduct, his acts -- and all the surrounding circumstances disclosed by the evidence, and the rational or logical inferences that may be drawn from them.

In order to establish this element, it is not necessary for the Government to prove that engaging in prostitution was the sole purpose for crossing the state line. A person may have several different purposes or motives for such travel, and each may prompt in varying degrees the act of making the journey. The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt, however, that a significant or motivating purpose of the travel across a state line was that said individual would engage in prostitution; in other words, that illegal activity must not have been merely incidental to the trip.

Knowledge, willfulness, and intent involve the state of a person's mind. This is a fact you are called upon to

decide.

Medical science has not yet devised an instrument which can record what was in one's mind in the distant past.

Rarely is direct proof available to establish the state of one's mind. This may be inferred by what one says or does -- his words, actions, and conduct -- as of the time of the occurrence of certain events.

The intent with which an act is done is often more clearly and conclusively shown by the act itself or by a series of acts than by words or explanations of the act uttered long after its occurrence. Accordingly, intent, willfulness and knowledge are usually established by surrounding facts and circumstances as of the time the acts in question occurred or the events took place, and the reasonable inferences to be drawn from them.

Count 3 charges enticing interstate travel for prostitution.

The Grand Jury charged that, first by incorporating all of the long list of things I read in the first count, that, in or about September 2009, in Maryland and elsewhere, Defendant Ventura did knowingly persuade, induce, entice, and coerce any individual to travel in interstate and foreign commerce to engage in prostitution and sexual activity for which any person can be charged with a criminal offense.

The Indictment charges a violation of the United

States law that forbids such activity.

In order to find the Defendant guilty of persuading or enticing or inducing or coercing an individual to travel for the purpose of prostitution or engaging in illegal sexual activity, the Government must prove each of the following things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the Defendant knowingly persuaded or induced or enticed or coerced an individual to travel in interstate commerce as alleged in the Indictment. To "induce" can be defined as to lead or move by influence or persuasion, to prevail upon, or, alternatively, to stimulate the occurrence of, to cause.

The second thing that must be proven is that that individual traveled in interstate commerce.

Third, that the Defendant acted with the intent that that individual would engage in prostitution or illegal sexual activity.

Count 3, again, involving enticing interstate travel for prostitution, requires persuasion to travel in interstate commerce.

The first thing that the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant knowingly persuaded or induced or enticed or coerced an individual to travel in interstate commerce as alleged in the Indictment.

As I earlier instructed you, an act is done "knowingly" if

it's done voluntarily and intentionally, and, as I also instructed, "interstate commerce" is movement between one state and another.

The second thing that must be proven to prove enticement of interstate transportation for prostitution is travel in interstate commerce, as alleged in the Indictment.

The third thing which the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant acted with the intent that the individual would engage in prostitution or illegal sexual activity.

As I earlier instructed you, "prostitution" is sexual activity on account of which anything of value was given to or received by any person. An "unlawful sexual activity" means, for example, engaging in prostitution in violation of the law of Maryland.

Count 6 of the charging document charges the Defendants with sex trafficking.

The Grand Jury, first of all, alleged and incorporated all of the long list of things I read in the first count, then charged that, from in or about September 2008 through in or about November 2010, in Maryland and elsewhere, the Defendants did knowingly, in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce, recruit, entice, harbor, transport, provide, and obtain by any means a person — namely, "R.D.F." — and, as you'll recall, this is Rebeca

Dueñas Franco -- and did benefit financially and by receiving anything of value from participation in the venture engaged in such acts, knowing that force, fraud, and coercion could be used to cause "R.D.F." to engage in a commercial sex act.

The Indictment charges violations of federal law.

In order to prove a defendant guilty of sex trafficking, the Government must prove each of the following things beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the Defendant knowingly recruited or enticed or harbored or transported or provided or obtained Esmirna Rebeca Dueñas Franco by any means, or benefited, financially or by receiving anything of value, from participation in such venture; second, that the Defendant knew that force, fraud, or coercion would be used with respect to this person; third, that the Defendant knew that this person would be engaged in a commercial sexual act — and I will define that term for you; and, fourth, that the Defendant's conduct was in or affecting interstate commerce.

The first thing that must be proven is recruiting, enticing, transporting, or harboring.

The first thing the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant knowingly transported or recruited or enticed or harbored or provided or obtained Esmirna Rebeca Dueñas Franco, by any means, or benefited, financially or by receiving anything of value, from

participation in such a venture.

To "harbor" someone simply means to provide shelter to that person. As I said before, an act is done knowingly when it's done purposely and intentionally as opposed to mistakenly or inadvertently.

The second element of this offense which the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant knew that force, fraud, or coercion would be used with respect to Esmirna Rebeca Dueñas Franco.

Fraud, as I just used that term, means that the Defendant knowingly made a misstatement or omission of a material fact to entice Ms. Franco. A material fact is one which would reasonably be expected to be of concern to a reasonable person in relying upon the representation or statement in making a decision.

Coercion, as I have used that term, means a threat of serious or physical restraint against a person. A threat is a serious statement expressing an intention to inflict harm, at once or in the future, as distinguished from idle or careless talk, exaggeration, or something said in a joking manner. A statement is a threat if it was made under such circumstances that a reasonable person hearing the statement would understand it as a serious expression of intent to cause harm, or a reasonable person making the statement would foresee that the recipient would understand it as a serious

expression of intent to cause harm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The term "serious harm" includes both physical and non-physical types of harm, including psychological, financial, or reputational harm. That is sufficient under all the surrounding circumstances to compel a reasonable person of the same background and in the same circumstances to perform or to continue performing commercial sexual activity in order to avoid incurring that harm. In determining whether the Defendant made a threat of serious harm that could reasonably be believed by Ms. Franco, you should consider her particular station in life, physical and mental condition, age, education, training, experience, and intelligence. A threat of serious harm must be of a sufficient kind -- must of sufficient in kind or degree to completely overcome the will of an ordinary person having the same general station in life as that of Ms. Franco, causing a reasonable belief that there was no reasonable choice except to engage in the commercial sexual act as directed by the Defendant.

Coercion, as I have used the term, also means that the Defendant engaged in a course of behavior intended to cause Ms. Franco to believe that, if she did not engage in a commercial sex act, as directed by the Defendant, that she or, for example, her family would suffer serious harm.

To satisfy this element, the Government must prove that force, fraud, or coercion, as I have just defined those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

terms, was used, and also that the Defendant knew it would be used against the victim.

As I first instructed you, the second element of sex trafficking by force, fraud, and coercion is that the Defendant knew that force, fraud, or coercion would be used with respect to Ms. Franco. If you find that a defendant transported or recruited or enticed or harbored or provided or obtained Ms. Franco by any means, or benefited, financially or by receiving anything of value, from participation in such a venture after December 23rd, 2008, you need not find that that defendant had actual knowledge that force, fraud, or coercion would be used against her. Instead, this element is satisfied if you find that the Government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant acted with reckless disregard of the facts concerning the use of coercion. The phrase "reckless disregard of the facts" means deliberate indifference to facts which, if considered and weighed in a reasonable manner, indicate the highest probability that Ms. Franco was coerced to engage in a commercial sex act.

The third thing which the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant knew that Ms. Franco would be engaged in a commercial sex act.

A "commercial sex act" is any sex act on account of which anything of value is given to or received by any person.

The fourth thing which the Government must prove

beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant's conduct was in or affecting interstate commerce.

Interstate commerce simply means the movement of goods, services, money, and individuals between any two or more states or between one state and the District of Columbia.

And that definition holds throughout the instructions about the District of Columbia is considered a state for purposes of interstate commerce.

Transporting a person across state lines for a commercial purpose is conduct "in interstate commerce." In addition, acts and transactions which are economic in nature and affect the flow of money in the stream of commerce to any degree, however minimal, also "affect" interstate commerce. For example, the use of hotels that service interstate travelers or the use of condoms that travel in interstate commerce is conduct that "affects" interstate commerce.

To satisfy this element, the Government must prove that the Defendant's conduct affected interstate commerce in any way, no matter how minimal. You do not have to find that Defendant's conduct actually affected interstate commerce if you find that the Defendant's conduct would have affected interstate commerce if the Defendant had successfully and fully completed his actions. Finally, the Government is not required to prove that the Defendant knew he was affecting interstate commerce.

Count 7 of the Indictment charges possession of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence. The count charges that on or -- in or about November 2010, Defendant Ventura did knowingly possess a firearm in furtherance of or used and carried a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence; that is, sex trafficking.

The Grand Jury, of course, first realleged all of the statements that I made in Count 1 and incorporate it here, and further charged that, beginning at least in or about September 2008 through in or about November 2010, in the District of Maryland, Defendant Ventura did knowingly possess a firearm in furtherance of and used and carried a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States, and, in so doing, did brandish a firearm in violation of federal law.

To satisfy its burden as to Count 7, the Government must prove each of the following things beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the Defendant committed the crime of violence charged in Count 6 of the Indictment, which is a crime for which he might be prosecuted in a court of the United States; and, second, that the Defendant knowingly possessed a firearm in furtherance of or used and carried the firearm in relation to the crime charged in Count 6.

The first thing the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant committed a crime of

violence for which he may be prosecuted in a court of the United States.

A crime of violence under this section includes the offense of sex trafficking. I've already instructed you that the offense of sex trafficking is a crime of violence.

If, during your deliberations, you determine that the Government has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty as to the crime of violence -- that is, sex trafficking -- as charged in Count 6, then you will proceed no further with Count 7.

In reaching your verdict, you are to consider evidence of the crime of violence only for the purpose of determining whether this first element has been satisfied.

The second thing the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the Defendant knowingly possessed a firearm in furtherance of or knowingly used or carried a firearm during and in relation to the commission of a crime of violence.

To prove that the Defendant possessed the firearm in furtherance of the crime of violence, the Government must prove that the Defendant had possession of the firearm and that such possession was in furtherance of the crime.

Possession also means that the Defendant either had physical possession of the firearm or on his person, or that he had dominion and control over the place where the firearm was

located and the intention to exercise control over the firearm. To possess a firearm in furtherance of the crime means that the firearm helped forward, advance, or promote the commission of the crime. The mere possession of the firearm at the scene of the crime is not sufficient under this definition. The firearm must have played some part in furthering the crime in order for this element to be satisfied.

You must also find that the Defendant possessed the firearm knowingly. This means that he possessed it purposely and voluntarily, and not by accident or mistake. It also means that he knew the weapon was a firearm as we commonly use the word. However, the Government is not required to prove that the Defendant knew that he was breaking the law.

A "firearm" is any weapon which will, or is designed to, or may be readily converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive.

In order to prove that the Defendant used the firearm, the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt an active employment of the firearm by the Defendant during and in relation to the commission of the crime of violence. This does not mean that the Defendant must actually fire or attempt to fire the weapon, although those would obviously constitute use of the weapon. Brandishing, displaying, or even referring to the weapon so that others present knew that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Defendant had the firearm available, if needed, all constitute the use of the firearm. However, the mere possession of a firearm at or near the site of the crime without active employment, as I have just described it, is not sufficient to constitute the use of the firearm.

In order to prove that the Defendant carried the firearm, the Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant had the weapon within his control in such a way that could further the commission of the crime of violence, or was an integral part of the commission of the The Defendant did not necessarily have to hold the firearm physically; that is, have actual possession of it on his person. If you find that the Defendant had dominion and control over the place where the firearm was located and had the power and intention to exercise control over the firearm in such a way that it furthered the commission of the crime of violence, you may find that the Government has proven that the Defendant carried the weapon. If applicable: It is not sufficient to prove carrying if all the Government has proven is that the firearm was transported in a vehicle in which the Defendant was riding. There must be proof that the Defendant knew of the weapon's presence and had the power and intention to exercise control of the weapon so that it was available for his use in the commission of the crime if the need arose.

To satisfy this element, you must also find that the

Defendant carried or used the firearm knowingly. This means that he carried the firearm purposely and voluntarily, and not by accident or mistake. It also means that he knew the weapon was a firearm as we commonly use the word. However, the Government is not required that he knew that he was breaking the law.

In this case, you may find the Defendant guilty of possessing a firearm if you find beyond a reasonable doubt either, one, that he was a member of a conspiracy, and the crime of possessing a firearm was committed by others in furtherance of that conspiracy, or, two, that he aided or abetted the possession of a firearm.

The question of possible punishment of a defendant is of no concern to you, and should not, in any sense, enter into or influence your deliberations. The duty of imposing sentence rests entirely upon me. Your function is to weigh the evidence in the case and determine whether or not each defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, solely upon the basis of that evidence. Under your oath as jurors, you cannot allow a consideration of the punishment which may be imposed upon a defendant, if he is convicted, to influence your verdict in any way, or in any sense enter into your deliberations.

You will be pleased to know I've now reached the last part of these instructions, relating to the mechanics and

procedures for your deliberations.

When you retire to the jury room, you will select one of yourselves to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and be your spokesperson here in court.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note by the Bailiff, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me by any means other than a signed writing, and I will never communicate with the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case other than in writing or here orally in open court.

You will note from the oath about to be taken by the Bailiff that he and all other persons are forbidden to communicate in any way with any member of the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case. Now, the Bailiff can ask you if the room temperature is okay, if you have enough note pads or pens, that sort of thing, but he cannot ask you about or get involved in your deliberations at all.

Bear in mind also that you are never to reveal to any person -- that includes me -- how you stand numerically or otherwise until you've reached a unanimous verdict. I never need to know that there are so many of you for one position or so many of you for another. I cannot use that information.

Please do not communicate that information to me.

These verdict forms have been prepared for your convenience, and, as you will see, there is a form for each of the Defendants, and, as was noted, not all of the Defendants are in each count, but the verdict forms will show you which count each defendant is in, and you can use that as sort of a guide not only to your deliberations, but to the instructions themselves and which instructions pertain to each individual defendant.

You will take these forms to the jury room, and, when you have reached a unanimous verdict, you will have your foreperson fill the verdict forms in, and then date and sign them. When you have reached a unanimous verdict, please tell the Bailiff.

You will then be brought back into the courtroom, and the Clerk will take roll and then your verdict. She'll ask you if you have agreed upon your verdict, and, if you have, you'll say, "We have."

She will ask, "Who will speak for you?"

And you will reply, "Our foreperson."

The Clerk will then ask the foreperson for the verdict.

The verdict must represent the considered judgment of each of you. To return a verdict, it is necessary that each juror agree to it. Your verdict must be unanimous.

It is your duty, as jurors, to consult with one 1 2 another and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement, if you can do so without offense to your individual 3 judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but 4 do so only after an impartial consideration of the evidence in 5 the case with your fellow jurors. During your deliberations, 6 7 do not hesitate to reexamine your own views, and change your 8 opinion, if you are convinced it is wrong. But do not surrender your honest conviction about the weight or effect of 9 10 the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow 11 jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict. 12 Remember at all times: You are not partisans, you 13 are judges -- judges of the facts of this case. 14 Counsel, please approach. 15 (Whereupon, the following discussion occurred at the bench.) 16 17 THE COURT: There is a Okay. 18 MR. MONTEMARANO: Subject to the prior objections 19 and whining put on the record by the Defense, I don't think 20 there is any objections from the -- as to the instructions as 21 read to the jury. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. And I consider all of your 23 previous objections and statements fully restated here. 24 MR. RUTER: Please. Your Honor, thank you. 25 On Page 89 -- and this could be just my lack of

intellect -- I'm trying to figure out whether or not the last 1 2 paragraph of Page 89, which is Count 7, is an active statement of the law given the fact that this particular possession must 3 revert back to Count 6. Count 6, of course, has more of an 4 element than just a conspiracy that deals with -- it deals 5 with sex trafficking. It has nothing to do with conspiracy. 6 7 It has to do with sex trafficking, force, coercion, and so on. 8 So I'm just not clear --9 THE COURT: What's your proposal? 10 MR. RUTER: That there be language, Your Honor --11 **THE COURT:** What's your language? 12 MR. RUTER: I don't have my -- specifically at this 13 time, Your Honor. THE COURT: Propose language that you want me to 14 15 consider. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, Your Honor, coincidentally, 16 we noted that we don't think that the first clause of that 17 18 would be applicable in this case. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So it would be the -- the 20 co-conspirator conduct? 21 MR. MONTEMARANO: Yes. 22 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Correct, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. What about the aiding and 24 abetting theory? You're actually charging him with actual, 25 aren't you?

T-IX-1871 MR. CUNNINGHAM: We're charging with actual, so --1 2 THE COURT: So we can just strike the last 3 paragraph? MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Belinda, may I have the mic back, please. 6 7 (Whereupon, the bench conference was concluded.) 8 THE COURT: Members of the jury, on Page 89 of the instructions, there is a last paragraph that talked about 9 10 other theories for finding someone guilty of possessing a 11 firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence. 12 By agreement, that last paragraph should not be 13 14 case is that the Defendant Ventura himself actually possessed 15 the gun and used it in furtherance, or possessed it in furtherance of the crime. So, therefore, the other things 16 17 18

considered by you. That's not the theory. The theory in this about a co-conspirator possessing or about aiding and abetting possessing are not at issue here. The Government's theory is that Mr. Ventura himself personally possessed the weapon in furtherance of a crime.

So that will not be in the instructions that you receive, but, for those of you who have very good memories and remember what I read, I want you to know that that's not a part of your deliberations.

Thank you.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bailiff. 1 2 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand. 3 (Oath administered.) THE CLERK: Will you state your name for the record, 4 5 please. CSO: Dennis Hooper. 6 7 THE CLERK: Thank you, sir. All rise --8 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Alternates Number 1, 2, and 3, I want to thank you very much for your service. You've 9 10 been my insurance policy the past two weeks, and, as you see, 11 we did actually need one of the alternates to fill in to the 12 jury. However, the jury is intact, and we'll not be needing 13 your services further. 14 Yes, sir? 15 JUROR 12: Can I come talk to you? THE COURT: Okay. Come up. 16 17 Counsel? 18 (Whereupon, the following discussion occurred at the 19 bench.) 20 THE COURT: Yes, Number 12? 21 JUROR: I really can't handle this, because I don't 22 think my education is -- because I never did graduate, and I 23 only went to the ninth grade, so I don't think I can handle 24 this, and I don't think I'd be fair. 25 THE COURT: Well, we all chose you because we had

faith in your ability to be fair, but are you asking to be --1 2 are you asking to be excused from further service? JUROR: I surely am, because, when you first called 3 us up there for the first time when we started, I didn't know 4 what this was all about when you called everybody, when 5 everybody was up here. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you to step back, if you would, just to the rail of the box. Don't go back into 8 the box. If you would step back. 9 10 JUROR: Okay. 11 THE COURT: He's afraid of making a mistake. 12 MR. MONTEMARANO: I never want anybody on a jury who 13 doesn't want to be there, for obvious reasons. 14 MR. RUTER: Your Honor, if I could step back and 15 speak to Mr. Ventura? THE COURT: Yes. Please consult. 16 17 MR. RUTER: Thank you. 18 (Whereupon, the bench conference was concluded.) 19 (Counsel conferring with the Defendants.) 20 MR. RUTER: May we approach, Your Honor? 21 THE COURT: Please. 22 (Whereupon, the following conference was held at the 23 bench.) 24 MR. RUTER: Your Honor, Mr. Ventura indicated that, 25 since he was not represented properly, it makes no difference,

and, therefore, I will assert my judgment and agree to his 1 2 being stricken. 3 MR. MONTEMARANO: Likewise for Mr. --MR. CUNNINGHAM: Agreed, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Do you want us to remain? 6 7 THE COURT: Please. 8 Number 12, we have talked, and we have decided that we are going to grant your request. We all think that you're 9 10 not giving yourself enough credit. 11 JUROR: Absolutely. 12 THE COURT: We all felt complete comfort with you 13 involved in the decision-making process here. We all feel 14 comfortable in your judgment and in your intelligence, but 15 this is your decision, and we're not going to try to convince you to stay. I do want to thank you for your service. It's 16 17 been two weeks out of your life, and I appreciate that. 18 JUROR: Yeah, but, if I had the education to 19 complete, you know --20 THE COURT: You don't have to apologize, sir. We 21 understand, and we believe you are acting in good faith. 22 Yep. Thank you. JUROR: 23 THE COURT: Anyway, if you will go back and sit 24 beside the Bailiff, that would be great, for a moment, because 25 we have a certificate for you.

MR. RUTER: Okay.

(Whereupon, the bench conference was concluded.)

THE COURT: Alternate Juror Number 1, please take
Seat Number 12. You are now Juror Number 12.

Alternates, former Number 12, I want to thank you again for your service. There will be a small token of our appreciation in the form of a certificate about that service. You've provided a necessary and important assistance to us, and we do appreciate that. So you will have a certificate, and you are excused.

You are excused, sir.

Members of the jury, you may use your notes during the course of your deliberations. Now, again, remember: The notes are not evidence, but the notes can help you recall what the evidence was, so feel free to use them during your deliberations. We're going to take some time to organize ourselves in terms of collecting the evidence to be brought back to you, and perhaps you can take that time as well to organize yourself and your deliberative process.

We will be here at your call. You may begin your deliberations.

(Jury excused.)

THE COURT: Counsel, please examine all of the evidence that goes back. Please actually touch it, make sure that nothing goes back that should not go back, and we will

1	await the return of the jury.			
2	We're in recess.			
3	THE CLERK: All rise. This Honorable Court stands			
4	in recess until return of the jury.			
5	(Proceedings adjourned.)			
6				
7				
8	I, Martin J. Giordano, Registered Merit Reporter and Certified			
9	Realtime Reporter, certify that the foregoing is a correct			
10	transcript from the record of proceedings in the			
11	above-entitled matter.			
12				
13				
14	Martin J. Giordano, RMR, CRR Date			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	INDEX	
2	UNITED STATES v. GERMAN de JESUS VENTURA, et al.	
3	TRIAL - VOL VIII - APRIL 18, 2013	
4		
5		
6 7	PAGE COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS	
	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT	
8	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT VENTURA 1754	
9	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY DEFENDANT FUERTES 1777	
10	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT	
11	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY	
12 13	PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-		

\$	2	3
\$30 [1] - 1715:9	2 _[26] - 1723:16, 1723:18, 1724:17, 1725:25, 1732:22, 1740:4, 1759:23,	3 _[16] - 1733:22, 1734:6, 1736:21, 1740:3, 1760:22, 1763:12, 1763:17,
·	1759:25, 1760:2, 1760:20, 1798:17, 1799:10, 1799:11, 1803:17, 1839:23, 1840:18, 1849:14, 1849:16, 1849:23,	1765:13, 1765:20, 1776:8, 1816:24, 1840:18, 1840:19, 1854:16, 1855:18, 1872:9
'08 [4] - 1760:2, 1765:24, 1779:10, 1793:3	1849:24, 1850:10, 1851:17, 1851:21, 1853:1, 1872:8	3,000 [1] - 1730:5 30 [2] - 1715:8, 1738:18
'09 [5] - 1730:14, 1760:3, 1782:18, 1793:15, 1813:21	20 [3] - 1801:20, 1840:12, 1849:24 2008 [18] - 1716:25, 1727:1, 1743:18,	3124 [3] - 1718:24, 1737:15, 1738:3 315 [1] - 1729:23
0	1746:6, 1748:19, 1769:25, 1770:9, 1783:1, 1792:25, 1834:11, 1837:17, 1837:20, 1846:21, 1849:21, 1850:3,	36b [1] - 1727:25 36d [1] - 1727:21 36h [1] - 1728:3
09 [1] - 1728:3 0903 [2] - 1720:10, 1728:4	1856:21, 1860:10, 1862:10 2009 [22] - 1720:25, 1731:4, 1731:11, 1734:9, 1734:25, 1735:14, 1746:7,	39b/2 [1] - 1751:24 3:32 [1] - 1711:9 3rd [4] - 1719:4, 1721:9, 1839:3, 1840:2
1	1746:8, 1760:9, 1763:17, 1763:18, 1763:24, 1782:14, 1837:20, 1837:24,	4
1 _[22] - 1723:16, 1723:20, 1725:23, 1740:3, 1759:11, 1759:16, 1759:17, 1759:19, 1759:22, 1798:14, 1801:10, 1833:19, 1833:21, 1838:2, 1838:7, 1838:20, 1849:24, 1849:25, 1862:8, 1872:8, 1875:3 10 _[1] - 1787:23 10% _[1] - 1779:1 101 _[1] - 1776:21 11:56 _[1] - 1776:21	1838:2, 1838:7, 1838:11, 1838:14, 1849:21, 1850:4, 1854:20 2010 [45] - 1718:24, 1719:5, 1721:9, 1732:10, 1733:9, 1736:24, 1737:24, 1750:1, 1751:11, 1751:23, 1761:4, 1762:18, 1765:25, 1770:1, 1770:2, 1779:18, 1781:8, 1782:19, 1787:19, 1787:23, 1795:2, 1834:12, 1838:20, 1838:23, 1839:3, 1839:7, 1839:12, 1839:15, 1839:19, 1839:23, 1840:2, 1840:7, 1840:11, 1840:15, 1840:18, 1840:19, 1840:23, 1846:22, 1850:10,	4 _[17] - 1733:22, 1736:22, 1736:23, 1738:23, 1740:3, 1759:23, 1760:21, 1760:23, 1761:9, 1762:12, 1849:14, 1849:24, 1850:9, 1850:11, 1851:17, 1851:21, 1853:1 40f/1 _[1] - 1733:1 410-962-4504 _[1] - 1712:25 4168 _[1] - 1733:2 45 _[1] - 1754:17
12 _[7] - 1839:19, 1872:15, 1872:20, 1874:8, 1875:4, 1875:5	1850:11, 1850:15, 1850:24, 1856:21, 1862:3, 1862:10	5
13 [2] - 1838:23, 1840:7 13b/1 [2] - 1785:16, 1785:21 15 [3] - 1715:9, 1840:23, 1850:11 15th [5] - 1737:24, 1762:18, 1770:1, 1815:22, 1850:24 16 [1] - 1734:18 1713 [1] - 1877:6 1714 [1] - 1877:7 1754 [1] - 1877:8 1777 [1] - 1877:9 17g [2] - 1785:17, 1785:21	2011 [4] - 1770:3, 1770:4, 1770:5, 1779:23 2012 [1] - 1770:6 2013 [3] - 1711:9, 1713:1, 1877:3 201 [2] - 1785:17, 1785:21 21201 [1] - 1712:24 21b [2] - 1785:17, 1785:21 23 [1] - 1792:25 23rd [5] - 1817:15, 1817:17, 1817:21, 1817:24, 1860:10 24th [4] - 1730:14, 1735:14, 1838:11,	5 [15] - 1733:22, 1736:22, 1737:20, 1738:23, 1759:23, 1760:21, 1762:13, 1762:18, 1763:11, 1849:14, 1850:9, 1850:21, 1851:17, 1851:21, 1853:1 500 [1] - 1727:5 5015 [1] - 1727:25 5515 [1] - 1712:23 5c/7 [1] - 1718:16 5th [1] - 1839:7
18 [2] - 1840:15, 1877:3	1838:14	6
1804 [1] - 1877:10 1818 [1] - 1877:11 1876 [1] - 1877:12 19 [3] - 1711:9, 1713:1, 1740:18 19a/6 [1] - 1718:21 19th [4] - 1770:4, 1804:2, 1815:19, 1840:11 1:09 [1] - 1817:4 1:35 [3] - 1751:23, 1751:24, 1751:25 1:59 [1] - 1817:5	25 [2] - 1781:16, 1837:17 25th [2] - 1770:5, 1837:24 26 [1] - 1780:13 27th [1] - 1770:6 28 [2] - 1769:25, 1840:18 28th [2] - 1770:8, 1779:9 29th [2] - 1779:9, 1783:1 2:00 [2] - 1816:20, 1817:2 2nd [4] - 1736:24, 1761:3, 1770:3, 1850:15	6 [25] - 1738:24, 1739:5, 1739:11, 1739:12, 1740:13, 1744:17, 1745:24, 1765:21, 1775:3, 1775:4, 1775:8, 1775:10, 1775:25, 1776:8, 1799:10, 1803:17, 1813:11, 1817:14, 1839:15, 1856:16, 1862:19, 1862:23, 1863:9, 1870:4 6th [1] - 1751:23
		7 _[18] - 1739:10, 1740:7, 1740:8,

1740:10, 1746:4, 1748:23, 1750:8, 1775:2, 1775:5, 1775:6, 1775:11, 1775:14, 1776:9, 1839:15, 1862:1, 1862:16, 1863:10, 1870:2 **7th** [1] - 1770:2

8

8 [2] - 1760:9, 1839:12 8168 [1] - 1729:17 8198 [1] - 1729:17 83 [1] - 1729:24

89 [3] - 1869:25, 1870:2, 1871:8

9

 [1] - 1714:17 [1] - 1730:4 [1] - 1755:3 **9:34** [1] - 1711:9

Α

A.D [1] - 1755:3 a.m [5] - 1751:23, 1751:24, 1751:25, 1776:21 abetted [1] - 1866:12 abetting [2] - 1870:24, 1871:17 abiding [2] - 1719:19, 1753:1 ability [2] - 1770:24, 1873:1 able [3] - 1717:12, 1791:4, 1813:18 **ABOVE** [1] - 1711:10 **above-entitled** [1] - 1876:11 **ABOVE-ENTITLED** [1] - 1711:10 abscond [1] - 1814:9 absence [2] - 1800:24, 1849:3 absent [1] - 1817:22 absolutely [3] - 1771:20, 1772:13, 1874.11 absorbed [1] - 1813:1 abstract [2] - 1782:23, 1789:9 **absurd** [1] - 1742:15 abuse [4] - 1722:9, 1746:2, 1747:23, 1803:7

1829:15 access [1] - 1826:22 accident [4] - 1852:13, 1852:23, 1864:11, 1866:3

accept [8] - 1718:4, 1766:12, 1783:17,

1796:9, 1797:8, 1819:14, 1828:22,

abused [1] - 1782:21

abuses [2] - 1741:13, 1783:10

abusing [2] - 1783:10, 1785:8

abusive [2] - 1782:8, 1785:12

accomplish [4] - 1841:7, 1842:22, 1843:10, 1848:12

accomplished [2] - 1834:24, 1842:19 accomplishment [1] - 1846:12

according [1] - 1750:21 accordingly [2] - 1848:15, 1854:11 account [11] - 1742:19, 1746:21, 1810:15, 1810:24, 1810:25, 1812:18, 1815:16, 1851:13, 1856:12, 1860:23 accounting [1] - 1786:5 accounts [2] - 1735:12, 1780:3 accuracy [1] - 1827:25 accusation [2] - 1822:17, 1833:6 accused [1] - 1841:3 ace [1] - 1797:3 achievements [1] - 1718:6 acknowledge [4] - 1802:14, 1806:13, 1807:15, 1810:24 acknowledged [3] - 1797:10, 1807:16, 1808:25 acquiescence [1] - 1846:5

acquit [2] - 1817:22, 1823:7
acquitted [1] - 1808:9
act [51] - 1724:4, 1724:10, 1739:22,
1747:22, 1762:13, 1768:14, 1768:24,
1772:8, 1772:23, 1772:25, 1793:23,
1801:12, 1801:16, 1812:19, 1813:15,
1813:17, 1833:14, 1842:7, 1842:23,
1843:10, 1843:11, 1845:11, 1847:4,
1847:11, 1847:13, 1847:14, 1847:15,
1847:17, 1847:20, 1847:21, 1847:22,
1847:25, 1852:12, 1853:6, 1853:17,
1854:8, 1854:9, 1854:10, 1855:25,
1857:4, 1857:16, 1858:3, 1859:18,
1859:22, 1860:19, 1860:22, 1860:23,
1867:3

acted [6] - 1734:14, 1852:21, 1852:24, 1855:15, 1856:8, 1860:14 acting [2] - 1789:9, 1874:21 action [4] - 1724:6, 1801:11, 1814:7,

1864:17 actions [5] - 1744:14, 1843:6, 1843:8,

1854:6, 1861:23 active [3] - 1864:20, 1865:4, 1870:2 actively [1] - 1852:4

activities [5] - 1756:18, 1836:23, 1836:25, 1837:3, 1844:23

activity [23] - 1715:2, 1724:22, 1833:23, 1834:17, 1834:21, 1836:22, 1841:22, 1849:19, 1850:7, 1850:19, 1851:3, 1851:11, 1851:13, 1851:14, 1853:22, 1854:23, 1855:1, 1855:5, 1855:17, 1856:10, 1856:12, 1856:14, 1859:7 actor [1] - 1796:23

acts [31] - 1724:7, 1745:14, 1745:21, 1746:1, 1748:3, 1750:15, 1750:18, 1750:20, 1837:15, 1837:22, 1844:16, 1845:8, 1846:6, 1847:9, 1848:3, 1848:4, 1848:15, 1848:19, 1848:20, 1848:24, 1849:2, 1849:6, 1849:7, 1849:9, 1852:21, 1853:10, 1854:10, 1854:13, 1857:3, 1861:11

actual [17] - 1716:9, 1722:9, 1723:19, 1724:17, 1725:18, 1725:19, 1733:20,

1759:25, 1760:18, 1760:23, 1786:7, 1830:4, 1841:11, 1860:11, 1865:12, 1870:24, 1871:1

Adams [3] - 1757:5, 1757:6, 1757:14 addition [6] - 1726:13, 1726:19, 1739:1, 1786:22, 1819:2, 1861:11 address [8] - 1727:17, 1727:18, 1727:21, 1728:9, 1752:4, 1785:20,

addresses [2] - 1728:8, 1751:21

adept [1] - 1814:16 adequate [1] - 1762:9 adjectives [1] - 1802:11 adjourned [1] - 1876:5

ADJOURNED.....[1] -

1877:12

1807:24

administered [1] - 1872:3 Admiral [1] - 1783:12 admissibility [2] - 1821:1, 1821:5 admissible [1] - 1820:21 admit [2] - 1745:16, 1827:10 admits [2] - 1779:12, 1779:13 admitted [5] - 1727:23, 1745:16, 1826:20, 1826:21, 1848:2

adoption [2] - 1794:13, 1794:14 advance [1] - 1864:3 advanced [1] - 1759:4 advantage [1] - 1790:4

advantage [1] - 1790:4 adverse [1] - 1823:25 advertised [2] - 1835:13, 1835:15

advised [2] - 1830:1, 1846:16 affairs [1] - 1728:9 affect [3] - 1832:25, 1861:12, 1861:13

affected [3] - 1861:18, 1861:20, 1861:21 **affecting** [5] - 1739:23, 1856:22, 1857:17, 1861:2, 1861:24

affection [1] - 1768:3 affects [1] - 1861:16 afford [1] - 1816:1 afforded [1] - 1805:17

afraid [3] - 1750:5, 1770:19, 1873:11 **Afternoon** [1] - 1817:5

afternoon [3] - 1777:9, 1804:20, 1816:15

afternoons [1] - 1814:11 **age** [5] - 1734:18, 1740:20, 1769:8, 1821:18, 1859:11

agent [1] - 1848:13

Agent [6] - 1712:3, 1723:5, 1743:5, 1751:14, 1761:6, 1806:12

agents [1] - 1737:7

ago [7] - 1755:4, 1757:1, 1786:17, 1796:12, 1796:24, 1804:4, 1805:6 **agree** [8] - 1724:9, 1724:12, 1801:15,

agree [8] - 1724:9, 1724:12, 1801:15, 1834:13, 1834:14, 1852:15, 1868:25, 1874:1

agreed [3] - 1720:5, 1868:17, 1874:4 **agreement** [15] - 1723:25, 1735:10, 1801:15, 1801:21, 1841:6, 1842:11,

1842:15, 1842:25, 1843:7, 1844:10, amounts [1] - 1738:20 1804:2, 1815:19, 1815:21, 1815:22, 1844:13, 1846:18, 1847:19, 1869:3, 1818:3, 1837:20, 1839:3, 1839:7 Amparo [5] - 1727:8, 1727:11, 1727:20, 1728:7, 1728:12 area [5] - 1716:22, 1726:9, 1726:10, agreements [3] - 1723:23, 1820:14, 1749:6, 1806:6 ample [1] - 1771:6 1842:3 argue [2] - 1787:17, 1794:10 amply [1] - 1799:6 agrees [1] - 1805:10 argues [1] - 1831:4 analysis [1] - 1801:2 aid [2] - 1814:21, 1829:23 analytical [2] - 1761:15, 1773:2 arguing [1] - 1801:24 aided [1] - 1866:11 analyze [2] - 1804:24, 1805:1 ARGUMENT [4] - 1877:7, 1877:8, aiding [4] - 1846:12, 1847:23, 1870:23, 1877:9, 1877:10 anchor [1] - 1757:9 1871:17 angel [2] - 1718:20, 1840:5 argument [10] - 1713:19, 1714:13, aims [2] - 1844:13, 1846:1 1741:22, 1753:24, 1777:12, 1785:16, angered [2] - 1721:5, 1758:16 ain't [1] - 1759:20 1818:2, 1818:4, 1832:4, 1832:21 angle [1] - 1809:2 arguments [3] - 1819:12, 1820:7, air [1] - 1715:12 ankle [3] - 1746:25, 1747:16, 1747:21 al [1] - 1877:2 1825:7 Anna [1] - 1740:24 Army [3] - 1794:20, 1794:21 albeit [1] - 1752:1 Annapolis [20] - 1716:6, 1716:15, arose [1] - 1865:24 Alcivar [6] - 1736:23, 1737:1, 1737:4, 1717:2, 1718:3, 1726:10, 1792:6, arrange [3] - 1726:1, 1800:12, 1835:23 1737:10, 1737:17, 1760:24 1797:20, 1804:13, 1806:5, 1834:7, alcohol [3] - 1715:12, 1760:13, 1835:19 arranged [6] - 1743:9, 1787:18, 1836:2, 1837:19, 1838:1, 1838:4, 1838:6, 1836:8, 1838:21, 1840:20 Alex [12] - 1731:14, 1742:25, 1743:2, 1838:13, 1838:17, 1838:21, 1838:25, 1743:6, 1743:8, 1744:1, 1769:9, 1839:9, 1839:17 arrangement [1] - 1724:17 1769:10, 1769:11, 1769:13, 1784:24, arrangements [1] - 1852:5 Anne [2] - 1770:13, 1770:21 1806:6 arrest [10] - 1721:2, 1727:1, 1730:10, Annie [1] - 1802:7 Alex's [7] - 1731:12, 1731:15, 1731:22, answer [8] - 1742:9, 1765:18, 1771:20, 1730:11, 1731:3, 1731:11, 1735:14, 1743:20, 1744:3, 1766:18, 1766:21 1737:24, 1793:15, 1813:21 1772:12, 1795:5, 1795:7, 1798:19, aliases [1] - 1728:8 1798:23 arrested [38] - 1718:23, 1720:24, alien [3] - 1834:3, 1834:7, 1837:21 answered [3] - 1742:9, 1742:11, 1788:2 1726:15, 1727:4, 1727:10, 1727:17, aliens [1] - 1835:10 1727:23, 1727:25, 1728:2, 1728:10, answering [1] - 1793:11 1728:17, 1729:11, 1729:23, 1730:12, all-too-common [1] - 1741:8 answers [2] - 1820:11, 1826:25 1730:14, 1730:22, 1731:1, 1731:2, allegation [1] - 1806:15 anticipated [2] - 1814:25, 1815:1 1734:5, 1736:5, 1738:3, 1738:15, allegations [3] - 1849:24, 1850:13, anytime [1] - 1846:25 1748:14. 1749:25. 1750:1. 1751:10. 1850.22 anyway [2] - 1791:9, 1874:23 1762:1. 1762:2. 1762:20. 1762:23. alleged [12] - 1833:17, 1842:14, apartment [1] - 1720:1 1769:13. 1781:8. 1812:5. 1812:6. 1842:16, 1844:17, 1845:20, 1846:23, apartments [3] - 1715:4, 1722:7, 1835:5 1815:6, 1815:11, 1838:10 1847:1, 1851:8, 1855:9, 1855:24, apologize [3] - 1726:5, 1741:18, arrests [2] - 1737:11, 1778:25 1856:6, 1856:18 1874:20 arrival [1] - 1735:5 allegedly [3] - 1756:20, 1798:21, appalling [1] - 1794:2 arrived [3] - 1741:4, 1752:6 1836:23 apparent [2] - 1791:17, 1791:24 Arundel [2] - 1770:13, 1770:21 alleges [3] - 1772:1, 1775:6, 1846:20 appeal [1] - 1752:3 Ascencio [21] - 1718:3, 1718:11, allow [5] - 1750:16, 1750:25, 1758:5, appealed [1] - 1831:20 1721:1, 1731:9, 1731:10, 1731:13, 1821:22, 1866:20 appear [1] - 1731:6 1731:16, 1731:17, 1731:20, 1731:23, allowed [1] - 1829:16 appearance [2] - 1731:5, 1731:6 1732:11, 1732:14, 1733:5, 1736:7, allowing [1] - 1848:7 appeared [4] - 1755:21, 1757:20, 1743:3, 1744:1, 1749:3, 1783:20, almost [5] - 1720:11, 1769:9, 1784:18, 1770:1, 1770:5 1784:7, 1784:18 1802:22, 1853:3 applicable [2] - 1865:18, 1870:18 Ascencio's [1] - 1733:3 alone [11] - 1745:23, 1763:8, 1775:19, application [1] - 1753:7 aside [2] - 1758:7, 1814:2 1791:24, 1819:18, 1823:7, 1825:19, apply [5] - 1723:13, 1805:2, 1819:15, assault [8] - 1719:8, 1719:10, 1735:23, 1830:7, 1841:20, 1847:20, 1850:9 1827:17, 1829:11 1746:9, 1759:3, 1795:2, 1813:24, Alternate [1] - 1875:3 applying [1] - 1753:11 1840:20 alternates [3] - 1872:8, 1872:11, 1875:5 appreciate [4] - 1806:21, 1808:2, assaulted [4] - 1719:5, 1721:8, 1735:22, alternative [1] - 1798:1 1874:17, 1875:9 1837:21 alternatively [2] - 1817:14, 1855:11 appreciation [1] - 1875:7 Altima [2] - 1727:2, 1728:18 assaults [2] - 1749:7, 1756:23 apprised [1] - 1844:23 assembled [1] - 1846:1 **AM**[1] - 1711:9 approach [3] - 1753:17, 1869:14, assert [2] - 1806:7, 1874:1 ambit [1] - 1845:12 1873:20 assess [2] - 1804:24, 1831:1 America [4] - 1756:8, 1792:2, 1794:23, appropriate [3] - 1750:14, 1778:18, assessed [1] - 1805:22 1841:19 assessing [2] - 1747:25, 1748:21 AMERICA [1] - 1711:4 appropriately [1] - 1815:24 assigned [1] - 1717:23 **ammunition** [1] - 1803:10 **APRIL** [2] - 1713:1, 1877:3 assist [2] - 1829:6, 1829:24 amount [5] - 1730:14, 1738:8, 1741:24, April [11] - 1711:9, 1716:25, 1746:8, assistance [2] - 1780:17, 1875:8 1744:5, 1781:14

Assistant [2] - 1711:14, 1711:15 badly [1] - 1735:22 behind [4] - 1728:23, 1730:22, 1758:3, assisted [1] - 1846:16 bag [1] - 1729:7 1758:4 assisting [1] - 1847:23 bags [1] - 1737:5 beings [2] - 1752:20 bailiff [1] - 1872:1 associate [1] - 1843:23 **belief**[3] - 1748:1, 1748:18, 1859:16 Bailiff [5] - 1867:7, 1867:15, 1867:17, associated [2] - 1728:10, 1759:24 believability [3] - 1820:2, 1821:7, 1828:17 association [5] - 1733:2, 1802:6, 1868:14, 1874:24 1802:7, 1802:12, 1845:22 Baker [8] - 1747:3, 1747:9, 1773:15, believes [2] - 1798:20, 1820:21 assume [3] - 1745:10, 1754:8, 1761:18 1773:18, 1810:11, 1810:12, 1829:1 Belinda [1] - 1871:5 Baker's [1] - 1829:9 belonged [1] - 1731:2 assumptions [1] - 1830:25 assure [2] - 1804:21, 1807:4 balding [1] - 1796:23 belongings [1] - 1729:4 Baltimore [4] - 1711:8, 1712:24, 1745:6, attach [1] - 1823:24 belt [6] - 1745:8, 1745:18, 1746:22, attack [2] - 1813:7, 1828:17 1797:20 1747:11, 1772:1, 1772:10 bank [4] - 1801:15, 1801:16, 1801:18, bench [8] - 1753:20, 1754:15, 1869:16, attacked [1] - 1787:18 1801:20 1871:7, 1872:19, 1873:18, 1873:23, attempt [3] - 1807:10, 1864:23, 1867:9 attempts [1] - 1756:23 bar [1] - 1822:11 1875:2 bare [2] - 1715:3, 1715:10 benefit [4] - 1739:4, 1746:19, 1774:23, attention [13] - 1714:16, 1714:18, 1857:1 1723:7, 1754:19, 1777:5, 1778:13, barrier [1] - 1813:20 benefited [5] - 1739:15, 1746:1, 1785:13, 1790:18, 1819:7, 1819:9, base [2] - 1819:23, 1830:19 1857:11, 1857:24, 1860:8 1819:10, 1833:13, 1836:24 based [15] - 1726:21, 1745:10, 1748:18, 1768:16, 1780:24, 1804:14, 1814:25, Benites [1] - 1728:1 attentive [1] - 1778:11 Beretta [1] - 1717:5 attentiveness [1] - 1778:10 1821:13, 1821:25, 1822:13, 1826:7, beside [1] - 1874:24 attitude [2] - 1809:20, 1821:11 1830:8, 1831:1, 1831:22, 1853:9 basement [5] - 1728:23, 1730:23, better [3] - 1743:23, 1756:17, 1794:12 Attorney [2] - 1711:14, 1711:15 1738:19, 1751:5, 1752:14 between [29] - 1726:24, 1727:14, attorney [6] - 1781:16, 1801:24, 1728:15, 1730:1, 1730:2, 1730:3, basements [1] - 1715:3 1820:19, 1820:20, 1820:25, 1821:1 1733:12, 1733:18, 1735:10, 1735:13, basic [1] - 1844:12 attorneys [2] - 1825:6, 1828:17 1738:4, 1745:22, 1751:16, 1756:8, basis [8] - 1732:5, 1803:8, 1803:16, attributable [1] - 1743:6 1756:22, 1760:2, 1760:9, 1768:19, attribute [1] - 1747:6 1824:22, 1825:8, 1825:13, 1866:19 1788:15, 1791:21, 1825:2, 1833:17, bathroom [2] - 1753:21, 1753:23 audacious [1] - 1806:15 1837:20, 1842:21, 1851:24, 1856:2, battery [1] - 1741:18 August [7] - 1732:10, 1736:24, 1761:3, Beach [1] - 1768:21 1861:4, 1861:5 1839:23, 1840:2, 1850:10, 1850:15 beyond [55] - 1739:12, 1740:11, 1753:6, bear [4] - 1757:18, 1816:9, 1847:20, aunt [1] - 1743:9 1759:15, 1760:5, 1760:10, 1761:24, 1867:21 auspices [1] - 1814:2 1762:4, 1762:9, 1763:3, 1767:6, bearing [2] - 1831:7, 1845:5 authority [1] - 1756:9 beat [1] - 1769:10 1768:12, 1771:15, 1774:7, 1775:20, automatic [3] - 1718:19, 1749:20, 1778:6, 1796:2, 1802:18, 1816:10, beaten [6] - 1745:1, 1771:10, 1771:11, 1840:4 1822:20, 1823:5, 1823:9, 1823:17, 1771:13, 1771:14, 1787:2 automatically [1] - 1845:23 1823:21, 1825:4, 1826:10, 1832:16, beating [4] - 1745:18, 1745:19, 1748:6, available [4] - 1853:4, 1854:4, 1865:1, 1833:9, 1842:1, 1842:9, 1843:13, 1771:16 1847:3, 1847:16, 1848:22, 1851:6, Avila [19] - 1718:10, 1718:18, 1718:21, beatings [4] - 1745:1, 1748:3, 1771:16, 1851:21, 1852:15, 1853:18, 1855:6, 1718:22, 1719:5, 1719:8, 1721:7, 1771:18 1855:22, 1856:8, 1857:8, 1857:21, 1721:8, 1721:16, 1721:20, 1721:21, beautiful [2] - 1731:22, 1744:4 1858:7, 1860:13, 1860:21, 1861:1, became [5] - 1717:22, 1750:24, 1721:23, 1759:3, 1787:18, 1795:2, 1862:17, 1862:24, 1863:7, 1863:14, 1767:21, 1842:5, 1843:15 1796:10, 1798:5, 1798:23, 1813:25 1864:19, 1865:7, 1866:8, 1866:18 become [3] - 1714:4, 1805:23, 1844:21 avoid [1] - 1859:8 BG&E [1] - 1728:11 becomes [6] - 1763:20, 1781:25, await [1] - 1876:1 bias [3] - 1757:2, 1763:9, 1821:10 1846:17, 1847:14, 1848:12, 1867:6 aware [3] - 1755:18, 1769:2, 1791:6 **big** [9] - 1767:23, 1767:24, 1768:3, **BEFORE** [1] - 1711:11 awareness [1] - 1844:12 1784:8, 1784:13, 1787:14, 1787:16, awful [1] - 1803:8 beg [1] - 1761:14 1797:2, 1807:22 awfully [1] - 1795:10 began [11] - 1731:10, 1731:23, 1780:21, bigger [4] - 1778:2, 1778:3, 1778:4, Ayala [1] - 1796:4 1780:23, 1781:7, 1806:2, 1823:6, 1794:22 1823:14, 1846:21, 1846:25 **bill** [1] - 1751:4 begin [5] - 1713:18, 1715:16, 1758:3, В bills [1] - 1728:11 1816:23, 1875:20 birth [2] - 1727:3, 1811:10 beginning [5] - 1780:7, 1785:25, **bit** [9] - 1725:22, 1731:19, 1748:12, baby [1] - 1752:1 1793:10, 1834:11, 1862:9 1755:3, 1755:4, 1760:7, 1761:15, background [1] - 1859:6 behalf [6] - 1711:13, 1711:16, 1711:18,

1783:2, 1785:15, 1824:7

behavior [2] - 1827:23, 1859:20

behaved [1] - 1809:12

1763:16, 1778:11

1791:14

black [4] - 1717:4, 1749:20, 1791:13,

bad [9] - 1731:14, 1743:1, 1743:3,

1791:13, 1798:21

1764:3, 1787:14, 1787:16, 1790:12,

Black [1] - 1745:9 bladders [1] - 1713:22 blankets [1] - 1715:4 blind [2] - 1746:11, 1783:13 blindness [3] - 1783:11, 1783:14, 1783:15 blocked [1] - 1721:14 blood [3] - 1779:25, 1780:4, 1798:21 blown [1] - 1782:25 BLUMBERG [1] - 1741:17 bodies [1] - 1752:19 body [2] - 1745:2, 1747:7 Bonita [3] - 1737:22, 1738:8, 1762:17 book [1] - 1780:14 born [2] - 1751:13, 1812:7 borrow [1] - 1720:7 boss [1] - 1796:20 Boston [3] - 1732:1, 1777:16, 1815:16 bottom [3] - 1725:15, 1748:13, 1815:10 bought [1] - 1810:19 bound [1] - 1758:19 **box** [3] - 1744:24, 1873:8, 1873:9 boxer[1] - 1777:12 boy [1] - 1776:4 boyfriend [2] - 1771:9, 1780:25 boys [1] - 1794:3 brandish [1] - 1862:15 brandishing [1] - 1864:24 brave [1] - 1804:4 **Brazil** [1] - 1721:12 break [8] - 1713:18, 1753:21, 1753:22, 1753:23, 1754:7, 1776:16, 1795:17, 1816:18 breakfast [1] - 1803:2 breaking [2] - 1864:14, 1866:5 bricks [1] - 1784:11 Bridgett [4] - 1736:23, 1737:4, 1760:24, 1799:17 brief [2] - 1742:21, 1754:11 briefly [2] - 1713:8, 1779:7 bring [11] - 1735:20, 1744:4, 1744:8, 1766:19, 1773:15, 1788:25, 1790:17, 1797:11, 1802:21, 1802:22, 1803:4 bringing [2] - 1732:10, 1732:18 brothel [26] - 1727:9, 1728:10, 1728:11, 1729:2, 1730:1, 1731:12, 1731:15, 1732:5, 1732:6, 1732:9, 1732:20, 1733:1, 1735:17, 1735:20, 1737:10, 1743:5, 1744:3, 1745:12, 1746:5, 1752:6, 1766:18, 1766:21, 1772:15, 1784:12 brothels [22] - 1716:22, 1726:8, 1726:13, 1726:14, 1726:25, 1730:21, 1732:20, 1733:7, 1734:5, 1735:16, 1748:9, 1748:14, 1749:6, 1751:22, 1766:23, 1784:21, 1785:22, 1792:11, 1812:13, 1815:6, 1815:10

brought [8] - 1738:6, 1755:11, 1757:18,

1761:1, 1801:3, 1822:6, 1868:15,

1875:17 bucket [1] - 1799:19 build [1] - 1744:11 building [1] - 1781:9 built [1] - 1768:5 bunch [3] - 1763:15, 1785:17, 1799:22 burden [14] - 1803:5, 1803:6, 1803:19, 1821:17, 1821:20, 1822:20, 1822:21, 1822:23, 1823:11, 1823:21, 1832:6, 1841:25, 1852:20, 1862:16 bus [8] - 1732:3, 1735:4, 1764:23, 1764:24, 1765:4, 1799:15, 1799:17 business [39] - 1716:19, 1716:20, 1716:21, 1725:4, 1726:12, 1727:6, 1727:16, 1729:16, 1730:1, 1730:6, 1730:8, 1730:13, 1730:19, 1732:7, 1738:9, 1746:15, 1749:6, 1752:25, 1756:15, 1756:21, 1756:22, 1757:22, 1759:14, 1769:9, 1786:22, 1806:3, 1808:23, 1808:24, 1809:6, 1813:24, 1835:13, 1836:17, 1836:20, 1838:25, 1839:5, 1840:6, 1840:21, 1843:23 butt [2] - 1794:19, 1794:22 buying [1] - 1782:9 BY [4] - 1877:7, 1877:8, 1877:9, 1877:10

C

cable [1] - 1787:1 calendar [1] - 1738:2 camp [1] - 1811:24 campaign [2] - 1716:8, 1716:10 Campos [9] - 1716:13, 1716:14, 1716:18, 1716:24, 1717:4, 1717:6, 1717:20, 1749:3, 1749:21 Campus [2] - 1777:22, 1777:25 cannot [9] - 1758:5, 1758:20, 1762:8, 1794:3, 1817:23, 1824:4, 1866:19, 1867:19, 1867:25 Capitol [1] - 1834:3 captioned [1] - 1765:21 captive [1] - 1734:20 car [14] - 1717:1, 1717:5, 1720:18, 1721:13, 1721:14, 1727:4, 1727:5, 1730:10, 1737:5, 1737:6, 1738:13, 1747:7, 1785:23, 1786:14 card [1] - 1727:16 cards [7] - 1716:21, 1726:12, 1727:6, 1738:10, 1786:4, 1800:15, 1835:13 care [7] - 1743:10, 1743:23, 1744:12, 1770:12, 1777:15, 1787:15, 1796:10 cared [3] - 1735:20, 1768:6 careful [3] - 1804:14, 1819:10, 1823:9 carefully [3] - 1813:7, 1827:19, 1830:1 careless [1] - 1858:20 carelessness [1] - 1852:23 cares [1] - 1727:12 Carlos [23] - 1716:13, 1716:18, 1716:24,

1718:3, 1718:11, 1721:1, 1731:9, 1731:10, 1731:13, 1731:16, 1731:17, 1731:20, 1731:23, 1732:11, 1732:14, 1736:7, 1743:3, 1743:25, 1749:3, 1749:21, 1784:18 Carraballo [1] - 1770:10 carried [9] - 1740:15, 1862:5, 1862:12, 1862:22, 1863:16, 1865:6, 1865:18, 1866:1, 1866:2 carry [1] - 1763:8 carrying [3] - 1847:23, 1848:13, 1865:19 cars [1] - 1755:24 carta [1] - 1757:13 carve [2] - 1806:6, 1815:12 case [85] - 1714:22, 1715:24, 1725:3, 1730:7, 1732:4, 1733:8, 1743:2, 1743:25, 1747:2, 1752:18, 1752:23, 1753:10, 1753:12, 1755:14, 1756:11, 1756:18, 1757:18, 1758:21, 1759:2, 1759:3, 1759:7, 1762:19, 1766:16, 1768:2, 1770:25, 1776:16, 1778:11, 1778:16, 1778:18, 1778:22, 1779:2, 1779:3, 1779:6, 1785:23, 1786:18, 1786:20, 1789:2, 1789:3, 1792:20, 1797:2, 1802:6, 1803:2, 1808:16, 1814:6, 1816:10, 1816:21, 1816:23, 1818:21, 1819:12, 1820:19, 1822:1, 1822:2, 1822:4, 1822:23, 1823:10, 1823:18, 1824:4, 1824:7, 1824:10, 1825:5, 1826:12, 1827:7, 1828:2, 1828:10, 1828:20, 1828:25, 1829:14, 1829:18, 1830:4, 1830:8, 1832:11, 1841:3, 1843:3, 1852:25, 1853:4, 1866:7, 1866:17, 1867:12, 1867:17, 1869:4, 1869:6, 1869:13, 1870:18, 1871:14 cases [2] - 1743:13, 1843:6 cash [6] - 1730:15, 1730:17, 1732:18, 1784:11, 1836:19, 1838:17 Cassandra [2] - 1748:7, 1812:9 categories [1] - 1757:3 cattle [2] - 1715:15, 1787:13 Caucasian [2] - 1800:9, 1801:7 caught [1] - 1734:4 caused [2] - 1747:7, 1837:15 causing [1] - 1859:16 caution [3] - 1767:22, 1845:19, 1846:4 cautious [1] - 1769:6 celebrated [3] - 1815:18, 1815:20, 1815:21 celebrating [1] - 1717:15 cell [3] - 1756:2, 1838:18 cellular [3] - 1835:22, 1838:8, 1840:3 centuries [2] - 1755:15, 1755:16 century [1] - 1755:15 certain [11] - 1726:21, 1733:25, 1756:9, 1758:21, 1772:2, 1775:1, 1802:21, 1810:10, 1833:15, 1837:5, 1854:7 certainly [5] - 1767:3, 1782:13, 1804:22,

1808:14, 1811:4 chosen [1] - 1802:21 certificate [4] - 1727:4, 1874:25, Christmas [3] - 1793:3, 1793:4, 1811:25 1875:7, 1875:9 circuit [2] - 1725:6, 1726:17 Certified [1] - 1876:8 circumstances [12] - 1750:10, 1752:21, certify [1] - 1876:9 1775:22, 1805:14, 1827:20, 1843:3, cetera [1] - 1765:18 1852:25, 1853:11, 1854:13, 1858:22, Chaco [1] - 1834:2 1859:5, 1859:6 challenge [2] - 1808:3, 1813:6 circumstantial [7] - 1824:20, 1824:25, challenging [1] - 1807:5 1825:2, 1825:16, 1825:25, 1826:8, Chalo [1] - 1834:1 1853.8 circumstantially [2] - 1763:6, 1763:7 chance [2] - 1778:5, 1803:18 citizen [2] - 1834:2, 1834:6 change [3] - 1713:8, 1817:19, 1869:7 citizenry [1] - 1816:8 changed [4] - 1728:7, 1755:4, 1769:20, citizens [4] - 1719:19, 1778:5, 1815:25, 1770.7 1816:2 character [2] - 1846:15, 1847:22 city [1] - 1815:23 characterize [1] - 1787:24 City [1] - 1745:6 characterized [1] - 1843:2 charge [9] - 1723:21, 1724:11, 1734:16, claim [2] - 1791:22, 1792:21 1775:2, 1775:3, 1809:9, 1809:10, claimed [2] - 1812:8, 1837:9 claims [1] - 1787:24 1834:10, 1849:14 charged [42] - 1722:15, 1723:23, classic [1] - 1759:6 1724:7, 1736:15, 1740:8, 1765:13, clause [1] - 1870:17 1773:12, 1778:7, 1805:4, 1821:23, clean [1] - 1823:6 1822:5, 1832:21, 1833:1, 1833:24, cleaning [2] - 1719:23, 1754:4 1834:18, 1834:22, 1837:12, 1837:17, clear [8] - 1741:23, 1789:6, 1792:22, 1842:3, 1842:12, 1843:10, 1843:16, 1799:6, 1817:19, 1819:8, 1832:22, 1844:7, 1847:9, 1848:23, 1849:16, 1870:8 1849:19, 1849:22, 1850:8, 1850:10, clearly [6] - 1765:15, 1765:18, 1768:11, 1850:12, 1850:15, 1850:19, 1851:3, 1771:24, 1799:21, 1854:9 1854:18, 1854:24, 1856:20, 1862:9, CLERK [9] - 1713:2, 1776:18, 1776:22, 1862:19, 1862:23, 1863:9 1817:1, 1817:6, 1872:2, 1872:4, charges [29] - 1722:22, 1722:23, 1872:7, 1876:3 1723:4, 1723:9, 1724:25, 1725:12, Clerk [2] - 1868:16, 1868:21 1725:15, 1725:21, 1753:5, 1789:16, cleverly [1] - 1764:14 1789:20, 1789:24, 1802:21, 1823:1, client [5] - 1791:23, 1802:22, 1803:3, 1832:18, 1833:3, 1833:5, 1833:14, 1820:25, 1832:21 1833:21, 1834:4, 1848:4, 1849:23, clients [2] - 1751:2, 1794:24 1850:21, 1854:16, 1854:25, 1856:16, clients' [1] - 1789:1 1857:5, 1862:1, 1862:3 climate [2] - 1748:5, 1812:25 charging [9] - 1833:21, 1833:23, clock [3] - 1714:18, 1754:18, 1777:5 1833:25, 1842:4, 1842:12, 1843:17, close [5] - 1714:17, 1792:20, 1794:9, 1856:16, 1870:24, 1871:1 1819:7, 1819:9 chart [6] - 1733:2, 1748:13, 1814:15, closed [1] - 1730:23 1814:20, 1814:22, 1814:24 CLOSING 131 - 1877:7, 1877:8, 1877:9 charts [3] - 1827:10, 1827:13 closing [4] - 1713:18, 1777:12, 1785:16, cheating [1] - 1785:7 1820:7 check [1] - 1801:18 clothing [4] - 1780:1, 1780:2, 1798:21 checkbook [1] - 1781:4 co [11] - 1724:4, 1738:21, 1801:12, Chicago [1] - 1777:24 1837:14, 1840:24, 1844:17, 1847:12, child [7] - 1741:7, 1750:24, 1767:2, 1848:6, 1849:6, 1870:20, 1871:17 1770:10, 1781:10, 1793:13, 1811:10 co-conspirator [3] - 1849:6, 1870:20, children [4] - 1734:20, 1734:24, 1736:3, 1871:17 1767:1 co-conspirators [8] - 1724:4, 1738:21, Chino [2] - 1798:15, 1834:1 1801:12, 1837:14, 1840:24, 1844:17, chocolates [1] - 1744:24 1847:12, 1848:6 choice [7] - 1750:17, 1750:19, 1770:18, Coast [1] - 1715:1 1793:20. 1802:17. 1859:17 coerce [5] - 1721:25, 1765:10, 1834:19, choose [1] - 1766:9 1837:6. 1854:22 chooses [3] - 1750:21, 1824:5

chose [3] - 1786:24, 1823:18, 1872:25

1855:23, 1860:18 coercing [1] - 1855:3 Coercion [1] - 1765:22 coercion [29] - 1722:21, 1738:25, 1739:20, 1740:6, 1740:14, 1782:10, 1783:24, 1786:23, 1787:6, 1789:25, 1790:9, 1794:9, 1794:13, 1802:1, 1803:13, 1803:15, 1809:1, 1813:5, 1857:3, 1857:13, 1858:8, 1858:16, 1859:19, 1859:25, 1860:4, 1860:5, 1860:11, 1860:15, 1870:7 coincidentally [1] - 1870:16 coincides [1] - 1846:23 colleague [2] - 1723:2, 1814:16 collect [1] - 1772:6 collected [1] - 1836:19 collecting [2] - 1726:13, 1875:17 collective [1] - 1841:21 college [1] - 1777:24 Colmillo [4] - 1748:11, 1748:13, 1748:15, 1815:8 color [1] - 1749:22 colored [1] - 1828:19 Columbia [2] - 1861:5, 1861:7 combative [1] - 1742:13 combination [1] - 1841:6 combine [1] - 1748:5 comfort [1] - 1874:12 comfortable [1] - 1874:14 coming [2] - 1737:4, 1786:15 **COMMENCEMENT** [1] - 1877:6 **commendable** [1] - 1806:16 comment [1] - 1806:13 commerce [40] - 1715:21, 1724:18, 1724:21, 1725:17, 1734:13, 1739:24, 1768:14, 1834:16, 1834:20, 1850:6, 1850:17, 1851:1, 1851:8, 1851:19, 1851:23, 1851:24, 1852:8, 1852:11, 1852:17, 1854:23, 1855:9, 1855:14, 1855:20, 1855:24, 1856:2, 1856:6, 1856:23, 1857:18, 1861:2, 1861:3, 1861:8, 1861:10, 1861:12, 1861:13, 1861:16, 1861:18, 1861:20, 1861:22, 1861:25 commercial [21] - 1715:2, 1739:22, 1745:14, 1745:20, 1750:17, 1768:14, 1768:24, 1771:25, 1772:23, 1772:25, 1780:25, 1833:22, 1857:4, 1857:15, 1859:7, 1859:17, 1859:22, 1860:19, 1860:22, 1860:23, 1861:10 commission [7] - 1863:17, 1864:4, 1864:21, 1865:9, 1865:10, 1865:16, 1865:24 commit [5] - 1725:13, 1763:13, 1772:23, 1801:19, 1841:14 committed [14] - 1724:4, 1740:12, 1837:14. 1837:15. 1841:16. 1842:6. 1847:5. 1847:11. 1847:13. 1848:5. 1853:6, 1862:18, 1862:25, 1866:10 coerced [9] - 1734:12, 1739:2, 1765:18, 1771:2, 1786:23, 1809:23, 1855:8, committing [1] - 1841:18

common [18] - 1733:6, 1741:8, 1749:12, 1784:20, 1785:9, 1788:24, 1796:7, 1824:22, 1825:9, 1826:2, 1827:4, 1827:18, 1829:17, 1831:21, 1843:9, 1846:1, 1848:17 commonly [3] - 1729:9, 1864:12, 1866:4 commonsensically [1] - 1789:1 communicate [5] - 1867:7, 1867:10, 1867:11, 1867:16, 1868:1 communication [2] - 1715:13, 1835:23 community [6] - 1719:20, 1745:15, 1753:2, 1805:8, 1806:5, 1822:4 company [1] - 1767:4 compel [4] - 1721:25, 1743:15, 1745:25, 1859:5 compelled [1] - 1809:23 compelling [2] - 1769:2, 1802:23 compensate [1] - 1784:5 competing [4] - 1838:24, 1839:5, 1840:6, 1840:20 competition [6] - 1716:9, 1721:6, 1721:9, 1753:1, 1787:15, 1809:4 competitor [4] - 1748:17, 1809:5, competitors [2] - 1721:9, 1769:12 complain [1] - 1790:23 complained [1] - 1790:20 complete [7] - 1715:25, 1716:1, 1742:13, 1752:9, 1821:11, 1874:12, 1874.19 completed [1] - 1861:23 completely [3] - 1752:13, 1812:15, 1859:14 compose [2] - 1713:20, 1742:8 comprehensibly [1] - 1804:23 concede [4] - 1768:16, 1813:2, 1813:3, 1813:5 concentrating [1] - 1713:22 concern [6] - 1758:22, 1822:3, 1832:13, 1832:14, 1858:13, 1866:14 concerned [2] - 1770:19, 1819:20 concerning [2] - 1763:21, 1860:15 concerns [1] - 1831:18 conclude [3] - 1805:1, 1813:8, 1825:12 concluded [5] - 1754:15, 1815:17, 1871:7, 1873:18, 1875:2 conclusion [2] - 1733:15, 1825:23 conclusive [2] - 1773:17 conclusively [1] - 1854:9 condemn [1] - 1790:5 condemnations [1] - 1806:23 condemned [2] - 1782:6, 1782:7 condemning [2] - 1802:16, 1812:16 condition [2] - 1750:13, 1859:11 condom [1] - 1767:23 condoms [17] - 1715:11, 1727:7, 1729:7, 1729:8, 1738:9, 1739:25, 1744:24, 1759:9, 1760:14, 1762:21, 1768:1, 1800:15, 1835:19, 1839:10,

1861:15 conduct [29] - 1722:14, 1728:9, 1739:23, 1766:25, 1781:15, 1781:21, 1782:25, 1787:4, 1792:16, 1793:1, 1793:4, 1817:23, 1817:25, 1841:2, 1841:23, 1843:3, 1845:25, 1852:24, 1853:10. 1854:6. 1857:17. 1861:1. 1861:10, 1861:16, 1861:18, 1861:20, 1861:21, 1870:20 conducted [1] - 1737:18 confederates [1] - 1848:5 conference [6] - 1754:15, 1821:2, 1871:7, 1873:18, 1873:22, 1875:2 conferences [1] - 1820:23 conferring [1] - 1873:19 confident [4] - 1758:25, 1804:24, 1805:2, 1816:7 confirmed [4] - 1730:13, 1737:9, 1743:5, 1751:19 conflicts [1] - 1831:12 confronting [1] - 1742:1 confusion [1] - 1742:18 Congress [1] - 1841:19 conjunction [1] - 1750:15 connect [1] - 1776:1 connected [1] - 1733:8 connection [9] - 1714:3, 1714:4, 1723:3, 1727:14, 1729:25, 1739:8, 1740:9, 1750:9, 1844:20 connections [5] - 1726:24, 1728:15, 1732:25, 1733:10, 1733:13 consensual [2] - 1737:10, 1755:25 consider [33] - 1750:14, 1759:19, 1775:5, 1781:13, 1782:17, 1789:15, 1789:16, 1805:23, 1808:15, 1816:4, 1819:18, 1820:14, 1821:15, 1824:2, 1826:25, 1827:3, 1827:13, 1827:22, 1829:8, 1830:18, 1831:13, 1831:15, 1832:5, 1832:19, 1833:10, 1843:8, 1843:20, 1844:6, 1849:5, 1859:10, 1863:11, 1869:22, 1870:15 consideration [10] - 1750:11, 1776:11, 1804:14, 1822:8, 1822:10, 1823:10, 1828:14, 1847:11, 1866:20, 1869:5 considerations [2] - 1808:19, 1829:10 considered [11] - 1783:6, 1826:16, 1826:18, 1831:6, 1833:6, 1849:1, 1849:12, 1860:17, 1861:7, 1868:23, 1871:13 considering [3] - 1808:13, 1809:8, 1826:3 consistent [10] - 1747:11, 1747:14, 1747:16, 1747:18, 1749:21, 1752:5, 1791:2, 1795:15, 1810:15, 1828:3 consistently [1] - 1783:19 consists [2] - 1820:11, 1826:12 conspiracy [108] - 1722:20, 1723:17, 1723:20, 1723:21, 1724:2, 1724:3, 1724:5, 1724:8, 1724:10, 1724:14,

1725:18, 1725:24, 1726:21, 1729:19,

1730:4, 1733:14, 1733:18, 1801:10, 1801:11, 1801:14, 1801:19, 1802:2, 1808:23, 1808:25, 1813:2, 1833:19, 1833:22, 1834:23, 1834:25, 1835:3, 1835:7, 1835:11, 1835:14, 1835:17, 1835:21, 1836:1, 1836:7, 1836:12, 1836:15, 1836:18, 1836:21, 1837:1, 1837:4. 1837:8. 1837:13. 1837:14. 1841:4, 1841:5, 1841:9, 1841:14, 1841:16, 1841:17, 1841:20, 1841:21, 1842:5, 1842:6, 1842:7, 1842:8, 1842:10, 1842:14, 1843:1, 1843:5, 1843:14, 1843:15, 1843:16, 1843:18, 1843:19, 1843:21, 1844:1, 1844:7, 1844:12, 1844:15, 1844:21, 1844:25, 1845:12, 1845:13, 1845:15, 1845:21, 1845:22, 1846:3, 1846:8, 1846:11, 1846:15, 1846:20, 1846:23, 1846:25, 1847:4, 1847:6, 1847:14, 1847:15, 1848:1, 1848:9, 1848:11, 1848:14, 1848:17, 1848:18, 1848:23, 1848:25, 1849:1, 1849:11, 1849:12, 1850:1, 1866:9, 1866:11, 1870:5, 1870:6 conspiracy's [2] - 1845:2, 1845:14 conspirator [7] - 1842:16, 1844:9, 1846:19, 1847:18, 1849:6, 1870:20, 1871:17 conspirator's [1] - 1845:5 conspiratorial [1] - 1847:24 conspirators [11] - 1724:4, 1738:21, 1801:12, 1837:14, 1840:24, 1844:17, 1845:9, 1847:5, 1847:12, 1848:6, 1848:13 conspire [2] - 1725:16, 1834:13 constantly [1] - 1749:10 constitute [3] - 1864:24, 1865:2, 1865:5 constitutes [2] - 1732:21, 1848:1 constitution [1] - 1757:11 Constitution [5] - 1778:6, 1808:5, 1808:7, 1808:8, 1823:19 constitutional [3] - 1766:15, 1799:8, 1816.2 constrained [2] - 1809:22, 1812:3 consult [2] - 1869:1, 1873:16 CONT [1] - 1712:1 contacts [7] - 1729:20, 1729:23, 1729:24, 1730:2, 1735:13, 1738:4, 1797:12 contained [2] - 1822:14, 1833:3 containing [1] - 1829:21 contains [1] - 1832:17 context [3] - 1730:7, 1809:18, 1843:5 continue [9] - 1750:17, 1750:19, 1755:16, 1793:20, 1809:12, 1810:1, 1810:5, 1823:15, 1859:7 continued [5] - 1771:5, 1781:7, 1781:9, 1837:6, 1846:21 **CONTINUED** [1] - 1711:10 continues [2] - 1782:18, 1786:16 continuing [3] - 1755:1, 1770:17,

```
1783:5
                                             1849:16, 1849:23, 1849:25, 1850:11,
                                                                                         1808:18, 1809:17, 1810:2, 1810:6,
contradicted [3] - 1828:4, 1828:8,
                                             1850:21, 1854:16, 1855:18, 1856:16,
                                                                                         1815:15, 1827:7, 1868:15
                                             1862:1, 1862:8, 1862:16, 1862:19,
                                                                                       cousins [4] - 1734:22, 1734:23, 1764:8,
 1831:10
                                             1862:23, 1863:9, 1863:10, 1870:2,
                                                                                         1765:3
contrast [1] - 1742:14
\boldsymbol{control}\,{}_{[23]}\,\boldsymbol{-}\,1715{:}22,\,1716{:}2,\,1716{:}5,
                                             1870:4
                                                                                       covering [1] - 1715:4
 1717:13, 1718:2, 1721:7, 1722:10,
                                            countries [1] - 1804:5
                                                                                       coverings [1] - 1738:19
                                            country [15] - 1714:24, 1729:8, 1734:18,
 1723:12, 1741:20, 1744:14, 1752:25,
                                                                                       coyote [1] - 1741:8
                                             1734:21, 1740:18, 1756:17, 1764:5,
 1805:24, 1806:1, 1806:4, 1806:7,
                                                                                       cream [2] - 1749:22, 1749:23
                                             1764:10, 1769:8, 1816:2, 1816:10,
 1807:8, 1815:14, 1863:25, 1864:1,
                                                                                       create [2] - 1748:5, 1795:19
 1865:8, 1865:14, 1865:15, 1865:23
                                             1816:11, 1816:13
                                                                                       created [1] - 1815:13
                                            counts [10] - 1722:19, 1726:4, 1733:15,
controls [2] - 1782:16, 1803:22
                                                                                       credibility [2] - 1807:12, 1820:1
convenience [1] - 1868:3
                                             1733:23, 1740:17, 1753:13, 1759:24,
                                                                                       credible [1] - 1742:19
converted [1] - 1864:16
                                             1813:3, 1832:17, 1832:22
                                                                                       credit [3] - 1718:5, 1802:17, 1874:10
convict [3] - 1802:25, 1816:13, 1826:11
                                            Counts [9] - 1723:16, 1733:22, 1736:22,
                                                                                       crime [53] - 1740:9, 1740:12, 1740:16,
                                             1738:23, 1849:14, 1850:9, 1851:17,
convicted [2] - 1845:13, 1866:21
                                                                                         1773:12, 1775:8, 1775:25, 1794:2,
                                             1851:21, 1853:1
convicting [1] - 1825:3
                                                                                         1794:7, 1796:20, 1801:11, 1801:14,
conviction [1] - 1869:9
                                            County [3] - 1770:13, 1770:21, 1839:6
                                                                                         1802:13, 1832:18, 1841:9, 1841:13,
                                            couple [10] - 1713:19, 1726:16, 1757:3,
convince [3] - 1767:6, 1774:7, 1874:15
                                                                                         1841:15, 1841:18, 1841:20, 1845:20,
                                             1757:5, 1758:13, 1759:12, 1767:10,
convinced [6] - 1759:15, 1760:5,
                                                                                         1848:8, 1848:10, 1849:17, 1850:10,
                                             1778:21, 1807:25, 1815:4
 1762:9, 1823:8, 1823:16, 1869:8
                                                                                         1851:5, 1851:15, 1862:2, 1862:5,
                                            course [40] - 1716:4, 1717:6, 1718:1,
cooperate [1] - 1842:22
                                                                                         1862:13, 1862:18, 1862:20, 1862:23,
                                             1718:25, 1726:16, 1726:23, 1730:19,
cooperating [1] - 1755:21
                                                                                         1862:25, 1863:3, 1863:5, 1863:8,
                                             1734:10, 1739:6, 1745:11, 1746:5,
copies [4] - 1818:23, 1818:25, 1819:1,
                                                                                         1863:12, 1863:17, 1863:20, 1863:22,
                                             1748:23, 1748:25, 1749:4, 1758:12,
                                                                                         1864:2, 1864:4, 1864:5, 1864:7,
                                             1758:24, 1759:6, 1763:1, 1764:20,
                                                                                         1864:21, 1865:3, 1865:9, 1865:11,
correct [3] - 1778:18, 1870:22, 1876:9
                                             1777:16, 1783:23, 1783:24, 1783:25,
                                                                                         1865:16, 1865:24, 1866:10, 1871:11,
correction [1] - 1815:18
                                             1786:20, 1787:17, 1794:10, 1795:13,
                                                                                         1871:16, 1871:20
correctly [1] - 1760:25
                                             1798:1, 1800:15, 1806:25, 1810:25,
                                                                                       crimes [7] - 1736:4, 1778:8, 1816:14,
correspond [1] - 1730:20
                                             1824:6, 1827:6, 1837:12, 1842:24,
                                                                                         1821:23, 1822:5, 1839:2, 1841:12
corroborate [3] - 1747:20, 1766:25,
                                             1847:5, 1859:20, 1862:7, 1870:4,
                                                                                       criminal [23] - 1715:7, 1755:14, 1763:2,
 1767:4
                                             1875:13
                                                                                         1781:17, 1816:10, 1822:3, 1822:23,
corroborated [4] - 1732:24, 1735:12,
                                            COURT [62] - 1711:1, 1713:5, 1713:10,
                                                                                         1824:4, 1834:9, 1834:18, 1834:22,
 1742:20, 1743:25
                                             1713:12, 1713:20, 1713:23, 1714:2,
                                                                                         1836:22, 1841:6, 1841:22, 1841:24,
corroboration [1] - 1746:20
                                             1714:7, 1714:9, 1714:11, 1722:18,
                                                                                         1845:24, 1848:1, 1849:19, 1850:8,
counsel [14] - 1713:6, 1742:5, 1776:24,
                                             1741:22, 1753:16, 1753:18, 1753:24,
                                                                                         1850:19, 1851:3, 1854:24
 1777:7, 1806:9, 1807:25, 1813:2,
                                             1754:2, 1754:8, 1754:11, 1754:16,
                                                                                       Criminal [1] - 1711:5
 1818:14, 1831:4, 1832:4, 1869:14,
                                             1774:10, 1776:14, 1776:24, 1777:2,
                                                                                       criminalized [1] - 1725:20
 1872:17, 1873:19, 1875:23
                                             1804:18, 1809:11, 1809:14, 1810:1,
                                                                                       criminals [2] - 1749:16, 1797:4
count [21] - 1722:15, 1734:9, 1737:20,
                                             1810:5, 1816:16, 1817:8, 1817:16,
                                                                                       cross [5] - 1742:4, 1769:22, 1770:7,
 1759:7, 1759:12, 1760:22, 1762:17,
                                             1817:25, 1818:4, 1818:7, 1818:10,
                                                                                        1774:15, 1774:25
 1764:1, 1767:16, 1808:25, 1832:18,
                                             1818:16, 1818:18, 1869:17, 1869:22,
                                                                                       cross-examination [2] - 1769:22,
 1832:19, 1850:2, 1850:13, 1850:23,
                                             1870:9, 1870:11, 1870:14, 1870:19,
                                                                                        1770:7
 1854:19, 1856:20, 1862:2, 1868:5,
                                             1870:23, 1871:2, 1871:5, 1871:8,
                                                                                       crossing [2] - 1737:16, 1853:15
 1868:6
                                             1872:8, 1872:16, 1872:20, 1872:25,
                                                                                       Crown [2] - 1727:7, 1729:7
Count [89] - 1723:16, 1723:18, 1723:20,
                                             1873:7, 1873:11, 1873:16, 1873:21,
                                                                                       CRR [2] - 1712:23, 1876:14
 1724:17, 1725:23, 1725:25, 1732:22,
                                             1874:5, 1874:7, 1874:12, 1874:20,
                                                                                       crude [1] - 1767:24
 1734:6, 1736:21, 1736:23, 1737:20,
                                             1874:23, 1875:3, 1875:23
                                                                                       CSO [1] - 1872:6
 1738:24, 1739:5, 1739:10, 1739:11,
                                            court [13] - 1783:2, 1788:25, 1789:2,
                                                                                       cuffs [1] - 1787:1
 1739:12, 1740:7, 1740:8, 1740:10,
                                             1828:5, 1830:14, 1830:15, 1830:17,
                                                                                       Cunningham [9] - 1711:14, 1723:2,
 1740:13, 1744:17, 1745:24, 1746:4,
                                             1830:21, 1862:14, 1862:20, 1863:1,
 1748:23, 1750:8, 1759:11, 1759:16,
                                                                                         1751:24, 1769:22, 1777:18, 1785:15,
                                             1867:5, 1867:13
 1759:17, 1759:19, 1759:22, 1759:23,
                                                                                         1803:25, 1804:18, 1816:16
                                            Court [11] - 1713:3, 1714:21, 1723:8,
 1759:25, 1760:2, 1760:20, 1760:21,
                                                                                       CUNNINGHAM [9] - 1804:19, 1809:15,
                                             1723:14, 1755:12, 1776:18, 1776:22,
 1760:22, 1760:23, 1761:9, 1762:12,
                                                                                        1810:7, 1870:16, 1870:22, 1871:1,
                                             1806:14, 1817:1, 1817:6, 1876:3
 1762:13, 1762:18, 1763:11, 1763:12,
                                                                                         1871:4, 1874:4, 1874:6
                                            Court's [2] - 1817:19, 1818:6
 1763:17, 1765:13, 1765:20, 1765:21,
                                                                                       Cunningham's [1] - 1794:11
                                            courtesy [1] - 1803:23
 1775:2, 1775:3, 1775:4, 1775:5,
                                                                                       curiosity [1] - 1798:9
                                            Courthouse [1] - 1712:23
 1775:6, 1775:8, 1775:10, 1775:11,
                                                                                       custody [1] - 1793:13
                                            courtroom [17] - 1732:13, 1733:17,
 1775:14, 1775:25, 1776:8, 1776:9,
                                                                                       customer [5] - 1731:16, 1735:24,
                                             1741:10, 1749:1, 1754:6, 1758:24,
 1799:10, 1799:11, 1801:10, 1803:17,
                                                                                         1743:20, 1772:3, 1774:18
                                             1768:2, 1770:6, 1804:12, 1807:9,
 1813:11, 1817:14, 1833:19, 1833:21,
                                                                                       customers [3] - 1725:7, 1735:19,
```

1735:23 cut [2] - 1747:16, 1773:4 cutaneous [1] - 1747:3

D

D.C [16] - 1731:19, 1731:24, 1732:3, 1735:4, 1735:7, 1736:8, 1738:11, 1738:13, 1743:6, 1762:22, 1762:25, 1764:25, 1765:2, 1765:16, 1766:18, 1768:19

dangerous [2] - 1791:8, 1791:10

dare [1] - 1760:4

dark [2] - 1715:4, 1738:19

date [13] - 1736:10, 1761:4, 1762:11, 1762:18, 1791:20, 1817:25, 1833:15, 1833:16, 1833:18, 1846:22, 1846:23, 1846:25, 1868:12

Date [1] - 1876:14

dated [1] - 1767:22

dates [7] - 1733:25, 1734:1, 1759:24, 1767:8, 1811:9, 1813:16, 1833:17

daughter [6] - 1743:10, 1743:11, 1743:23, 1744:13, 1770:20, 1794:4

days [6] - 1715:14, 1760:4, 1770:11, 1790:11, 1798:11, 1811:16 **de** [13] - 1711:6, 1711:16, 1715:19,

1727:8, 1727:11, 1727:20, 1728:7, 1728:12, 1729:12, 1833:25, 1836:22, 1839:7, 1877:2

deal [7] - 1763:15, 1767:23, 1767:24, 1768:3, 1768:4, 1784:8, 1784:13

deals [4] - 1762:15, 1763:12, 1870:5 death [9] - 1718:20, 1728:6, 1808:11,

1808:14, 1808:20, 1809:5, 1811:10, 1838:8, 1840:5

debase [1] - 1811:19

debasing [1] - 1752:22

December [5] - 1770:2, 1792:25, 1817:15, 1817:18, 1860:10

decent [1] - 1799:5

decide [11] - 1760:17, 1767:5, 1768:22, 1769:1, 1775:17, 1818:20, 1820:3, 1825:19, 1831:9, 1854:1, 1869:4

decided [5] - 1731:18, 1820:24, 1824:7, 1827:10, 1874:8

deciding [8] - 1773:2, 1824:12, 1829:11, 1831:13, 1832:6, 1832:8, 1843:18, 1849:6

decision [15] - 1755:13, 1778:17, 1792:23, 1796:2, 1816:21, 1821:16, 1821:24, 1825:12, 1827:17, 1828:21, 1829:7, 1830:19, 1858:15, 1874:13, 1874.15

decision-making [2] - 1821:24, 1874:13 decisions [3] - 1778:6, 1804:14, 1805:20

declarations [2] - 1848:16, 1848:20 deduction [1] - 1825:23

deductions [1] - 1715:10 deemed [3] - 1841:19, 1844:1, 1848:18 defacement [1] - 1743:24

defendant [55] - 1722:11, 1742:14, 1822:18, 1822:21, 1822:22, 1822:25, 1823:2, 1823:5, 1823:6, 1823:8, 1823:13, 1823:15, 1823:18, 1823:19, 1823:21, 1823:22, 1823:25, 1824:1, 1824:7, 1824:12, 1825:3, 1826:10, 1832:8, 1832:24, 1833:7, 1833:9, 1833:11, 1841:3, 1841:13, 1841:17, 1843:14, 1843:19, 1844:1, 1844:5, 1844:6, 1844:8, 1844:11, 1844:21, 1844:24, 1845:13, 1845:23, 1846:6, 1846:14, 1848:8, 1848:23, 1851:5, 1851:22, 1857:6, 1860:6, 1860:11, 1866:13, 1866:18, 1866:21, 1868:6, 1868:9

DEFENDANT [7] - 1741:16, 1809:9, 1809:13, 1809:24, 1810:3, 1877:8,

Defendant [118] - 1711:16, 1711:18, 1715:18, 1715:20, 1721:14, 1724:1, 1726:8, 1732:13, 1734:11, 1734:14, 1736:20, 1738:22, 1739:9, 1740:8, 1740:12, 1740:14, 1745:18, 1745:22, 1747:6, 1747:24, 1751:17, 1806:10, 1808:6, 1812:25, 1823:2, 1823:12, 1824:4, 1833:25, 1834:4, 1836:22, 1837:9, 1838:2, 1838:7, 1838:11, 1838:14, 1838:20, 1838:23, 1839:3, 1839:12, 1839:15, 1839:19, 1839:23, 1840:2, 1840:8, 1840:12, 1840:16, 1840:19, 1840:23, 1842:4, 1843:20, 1845:2, 1845:12, 1846:8, 1846:10, 1847:10, 1849:2, 1850:9, 1850:12, 1850:16, 1850:25, 1851:7, 1851:9, 1852:2, 1852:4, 1852:7, 1852:9, 1852:21, 1852:23, 1854:21, 1855:2, 1855:7, 1855:15, 1855:22, 1856:8, 1857:8, 1857:13, 1857:14, 1857:22, 1858:8, 1858:11, 1859:9, 1859:18, 1859:20, 1859:22, 1860:1, 1860:5, 1860:14, 1860:21, 1861:22, 1861:24, 1862:3, 1862:11, 1862:18, 1862:21, 1862:25, 1863:8, 1863:15, 1863:19, 1863:21, 1863:23, 1864:9, 1864:14, 1864:18, 1864:20, 1864:22, 1865:1, 1865:6, 1865:8, 1865:11, 1865:13, 1865:18, 1865:21, 1866:1, 1866:7, 1871:14

defendant's [10] - 1715:25, 1821:18, 1831:7, 1832:16, 1844:14, 1844:19, 1845:4, 1845:5, 1845:19, 1849:7

Defendant's [9] - 1751:16, 1849:3, 1852:24, 1853:9, 1857:17, 1861:1, 1861:18, 1861:20, 1861:21

Defendants [49] - 1711:7, 1715:1, 1715:18, 1724:3, 1724:20, 1724:21, 1725:12, 1725:24, 1726:1, 1739:13, 1739:19, 1739:21, 1740:5, 1741:15, 1745:24, 1753:8, 1804:25, 1805:4, 1813:12, 1822:5, 1822:16, 1832:18, 1833:3, 1833:5, 1833:22, 1834:13, 1834:25, 1835:4, 1835:8, 1835:12, 1835:15, 1835:18, 1835:22, 1836:2, 1836:8, 1836:13, 1836:16, 1836:19, 1837:5. 1837:18. 1837:21. 1837:24. 1848:3, 1850:4, 1856:17, 1856:22, 1868:4, 1873:19

defendants [9] - 1727:15, 1728:15, 1738:24, 1753:14, 1816:6, 1824:5, 1837:2, 1848:6, 1849:16

Defendants' [1] - 1739:23

defending [1] - 1804:6

Defense [7] - 1724:25, 1725:10, 1742:12, 1759:18, 1825:18, 1828:17, 1869:19

defense [3] - 1742:5, 1781:17, 1806:18

defensive [1] - 1742:12

define [1] - 1857:16

defined [5] - 1744:18, 1744:19, 1852:8, 1855:10, 1859:25

definition [2] - 1861:6, 1864:6

degrade [1] - 1792:4 degrading [1] - 1752:22

degree [5] - 1768:17, 1792:12, 1793:20,

1859:14, 1861:13

degrees [1] - 1853:17 deliberate [3] - 1819:19, 1860:16, 1869:2

deliberating [1] - 1796:23

deliberations [19] - 1758:3, 1814:22, 1816:24, 1823:3, 1823:16, 1824:3, 1863:6, 1866:15, 1866:23, 1867:1, 1867:4, 1867:6, 1867:20, 1868:7, 1869:6, 1871:24, 1875:13, 1875:16, 1875:21

deliberative [1] - 1875:19

deliver [1] - 1735:9

delivered [1] - 1839:12

delivering [1] - 1816:18

demands [1] - 1752:13 demean [1] - 1792:4

demonstrated [1] - 1715:23

demonstrative [2] - 1814:21, 1814:24

denial [3] - 1807:13, 1807:14, 1807:18

denials [1] - 1807:20 Dennis [1] - 1872:6

Department [3] - 1770:14, 1770:21, 1792:6

department [1] - 1770:16

departure [1] - 1806:24

dependent [2] - 1744:12, 1752:15

depicted [1] - 1719:2

depicting [1] - 1840:4

deportation [2] - 1731:4, 1736:2

derogatory [1] - 1757:21

describe [3] - 1784:19, 1802:10, 1834:9

described [4] - 1735:24, 1738:15,

1771:19, 1865:4 describes [1] - 1833:5 description [4] - 1780:1, 1780:2, 1780:20. 1849:25 deserve [2] - 1753:9, 1753:10 deserves [3] - 1808:8, 1828:24, 1829:13 deserving [1] - 1828:13 design [1] - 1843:9 designed [1] - 1864:15 desire [2] - 1716:2, 1806:1 desperate [3] - 1752:1, 1752:21, 1773:13 desperately [1] - 1792:2 desperation [4] - 1774:2, 1805:13, 1810:21 **despite** [3] - 1751:8, 1751:9, 1841:17 **destinations** [1] - 1836:16 detail [3] - 1805:6, 1831:19, 1842:18 details [2] - 1844:25, 1845:14 **Detective** [3] - 1717:23, 1770:9, 1779:14 determination [3] - 1829:18, 1831:15, 1833:8 determine [8] - 1819:15, 1820:1, 1831:23, 1832:14, 1849:7, 1852:17, 1863:6, 1866:17 determined [1] - 1820:4 determining [7] - 1820:5, 1827:18, 1832:1, 1843:7, 1844:6, 1859:8, 1863:13 developed [1] - 1821:14 devices [2] - 1756:2, 1811:12 devised [1] - 1854:2 diagrams [1] - 1759:8 dialogue [1] - 1807:1 died [3] - 1777:20, 1778:1, 1796:24 differ [2] - 1761:14, 1830:23 difference [2] - 1830:3, 1873:25 differences [1] - 1810:24 different [21] - 1749:19, 1755:6, 1759:24, 1760:14, 1760:22, 1768:15, 1768:21, 1773:7, 1780:11, 1780:24, 1781:2, 1781:3, 1785:21, 1785:22, 1789:23, 1794:5, 1825:15, 1828:5, 1832:18, 1845:8, 1853:16 differentiation [1] - 1764:18 difficult [3] - 1738:1, 1805:21, 1813:19 difficulty [1] - 1811:6 digits [1] - 1785:21 dilapidated [1] - 1722:7 dinner[1] - 1743:9 dire [1] - 1805:14 direct [18] - 1719:17, 1742:12, 1744:14, 1769:16, 1769:21, 1798:12, 1824:14, 1824:15, 1824:19, 1825:1, 1825:2, 1825:16, 1825:25, 1826:8, 1843:1, 1853:3, 1853:6, 1854:4 directed [7] - 1732:2, 1745:21, 1750:18, 1783:24, 1789:10, 1859:18, 1859:22 directing [1] - 1785:8

direction [1] - 1736:12 directly [3] - 1747:25, 1775:3, 1778:25 dirty [3] - 1715:3, 1738:19, 1746:15 disbelieve [1] - 1795:14 disclosed [1] - 1853:11 discuss [2] - 1776:16, 1821:20 discussed [1] - 1846:1 discussion [4] - 1723:16, 1753:19, 1869:15, 1872:18 discussions [1] - 1809:18 disguise [1] - 1801:17 dismiss [1] - 1742:16 disobedience [1] - 1773:10 disobey [1] - 1842:25 dispassionate [2] - 1753:7, 1794:1 dispassionately [1] - 1816:4 dispatched [1] - 1808:4 displayed [1] - 1728:21 displaying [1] - 1864:24 disputed [2] - 1824:21, 1825:12 disputing [1] - 1725:1 disregard [21] - 1715:25, 1716:1, 1766:7, 1766:14, 1768:10, 1783:16, 1791:7, 1791:23, 1792:25, 1793:1, 1793:2, 1793:4, 1807:20, 1817:15, 1817:21, 1826:23, 1830:22, 1842:25, 1860:14, 1860:16 disregarded [1] - 1827:8 dissatisfaction [1] - 1790:17 distant [1] - 1854:3 distasteful [1] - 1747:22 distinct [2] - 1841:10, 1845:8 distinction [1] - 1825:2 distinguish [1] - 1808:24 distinguished [1] - 1858:19 distributing [1] - 1716:21 District [6] - 1713:2, 1713:3, 1837:16, 1861:5, 1861:7, 1862:11 DISTRICT [2] - 1711:1, 1711:1 districts [1] - 1755:6 divert [1] - 1836:24 **DIVISION** [1] - 1711:2 Division [1] - 1770:13 DNA [1] - 1800:21 Docket [1] - 1711:5 doctor [2] - 1747:2, 1810:11 document [9] - 1833:21, 1833:23, 1833:25, 1834:4, 1834:9, 1842:4, 1842:12, 1843:17, 1856:16 documents [4] - 1769:18, 1769:19, 1827:11, 1829:21 dog [1] - 1815:4 dollar [1] - 1774:14 domestic [4] - 1771:17, 1771:20, 1773:11, 1781:15 domination [1] - 1803:7 Dominican [1] - 1718:13 dominion [2] - 1863:25, 1865:13 done [24] - 1732:18, 1746:2, 1750:15,

1777:19, 1786:8, 1789:21, 1790:13, 1791:16, 1797:22, 1797:23, 1803:4, 1806:19, 1807:6, 1847:17, 1848:17, 1848:24, 1849:2, 1849:9, 1849:11, 1854:8, 1855:25, 1856:1, 1858:3, door [4] - 1747:8, 1758:3, 1763:10, 1773:4 doorman [1] - 1774:19 doormen [1] - 1786:12 doors [3] - 1719:20, 1728:23, 1730:23 doorway [1] - 1720:17 dot[1] - 1774:24 doubt [59] - 1739:13, 1740:11, 1753:6, 1759:16, 1760:6, 1760:10, 1761:24, 1762:5, 1762:9, 1763:3, 1767:6, 1768:13, 1771:16, 1774:8, 1775:20, 1778:7, 1787:19, 1796:2, 1811:6, 1814:4, 1816:11, 1816:12, 1822:21, 1823:5, 1823:9, 1823:17, 1823:22, 1825:4, 1826:11, 1832:16, 1833:10, 1842:2, 1842:10, 1843:13, 1847:3, 1847:16, 1848:22, 1851:7, 1851:21, 1852:15, 1853:19, 1855:6, 1855:22, 1856:8, 1857:8, 1857:22, 1858:7, 1860:14. 1860:21. 1861:1. 1862:18. 1862:25, 1863:7, 1863:15, 1864:19, 1865:7, 1866:8, 1866:18 down [19] - 1714:25, 1719:11, 1736:24, 1737:17, 1746:24, 1747:5, 1747:14, 1767:11, 1767:13, 1767:18, 1773:6, 1773:22, 1773:24, 1774:3, 1774:5, 1775:14, 1801:4, 1811:18 downstairs [1] - 1720:1 **Dr** [8] - 1747:3, 1747:9, 1773:15, 1773:18, 1810:12, 1828:25, 1829:9 draft [2] - 1777:11, 1787:11 dragged [1] - 1778:11 draw [11] - 1793:7, 1820:2, 1820:3, 1825:17, 1825:18, 1825:20, 1825:24, 1826:4, 1827:4, 1833:13, 1845:11 drawing [2] - 1825:21, 1826:1 drawn [6] - 1824:1, 1825:16, 1826:9, 1844:18, 1853:12, 1854:15 **drive** [2] - 1764:23, 1764:24 driven [1] - 1791:11 driver's [1] - 1838:18 driving [3] - 1718:14, 1727:2, 1779:1 dropping [1] - 1732:19 drove [1] - 1765:3 DSS [2] - 1770:21, 1793:13 due [1] - 1808:1 **Dueñas** [53] - 1717:13, 1722:1, 1722:3, 1722:12, 1728:25, 1739:6, 1740:4, 1740:6, 1740:10, 1740:14, 1740:17, 1741:2, 1741:4, 1741:10, 1741:23, 1742:7, 1742:24, 1743:8, 1743:17, 1743:19, 1744:7, 1745:2, 1745:13, 1746:5, 1746:7, 1747:5, 1747:6, 1747:25, 1748:5, 1748:24, 1748:25,

1749:18, 1750:16, 1750:18, 1750:23, 1751:12, 1751:18, 1753:3, 1766:11, 1779:6, 1802:2, 1807:17, 1809:8, 1809:17, 1809:18, 1810:16, 1810:23, 1813:6, 1813:16, 1857:1, 1857:10, 1857:24, 1858:9 Dueñas' 191 - 1742:18, 1746:21, 1747:16, 1747:20, 1748:20, 1750:11, 1751:4, 1751:15 Dulce [1] - 1728:1 dumb [1] - 1797:5 duration [1] - 1845:6 during [34] - 1716:13, 1718:25, 1719:24, 1730:21, 1737:17, 1741:8, 1755:1, 1755:20, 1760:19, 1785:15, 1788:4, 1806:2, 1810:10, 1810:22, 1815:1, 1815:23, 1819:3, 1820:9, 1825:6, 1830:13, 1832:3, 1832:22, 1842:7, 1849:8, 1862:5, 1862:13, 1863:6, 1863:17, 1864:20, 1867:6, 1869:6, 1875:12, 1875:15 duty [14] - 1758:19, 1777:21, 1805:5, 1818:20, 1819:13, 1819:14, 1820:18, 1820:22, 1821:9, 1821:11, 1822:23, 1831:22, 1866:15, 1869:1 duty-bound [1] - 1758:19 **Duvall** [1] - 1801:7 dwellings [1] - 1835:5

Ε

e-mail [1] - 1817:12 early [1] - 1716:7 earn [1] - 1744:15 earnings [2] - 1734:22, 1753:3 earth [1] - 1804:5 easels [1] - 1714:1 easier [1] - 1806:25 easiest [1] - 1814:8 easily [3] - 1721:5, 1752:20, 1797:14 East [1] - 1714:25 Easton [3] - 1726:10, 1839:13, 1839:17 easy [1] - 1745:16 economic [1] - 1861:11 Ed [1] - 1723:5 edit [1] - 1777:11 education [8] - 1722:4, 1741:5, 1742:18, 1750:12, 1805:15, 1859:12, 1872:22, 1874:18 Edward [1] - 1712:3 effect [4] - 1821:6, 1821:8, 1837:13, 1869.9 effort [1] - 1815:14 eight [5] - 1716:16, 1740:20, 1788:2, 1788:14, 1804:3 either [14] - 1723:23, 1724:3, 1724:12, 1734:3, 1739:13, 1746:14, 1769:1,

1773:1, 1817:23, 1824:5, 1825:25,

1842:21, 1863:23, 1866:9

EI [33] - 1716:12, 1716:16, 1716:19, 1717:2, 1717:7, 1717:9, 1717:15, 1717:16, 1717:18, 1717:24, 1718:2, 1718:5, 1718:7, 1746:16, 1748:20, 1756:21, 1759:2, 1779:21, 1779:24, 1787:24, 1787:25, 1788:4, 1788:7, 1788:13, 1792:2, 1794:14, 1796:7, 1808:11, 1808:17, 1808:20, 1809:19, 1815:8, 1834:2 elbow [5] - 1746:24, 1747:12, 1747:13, 1773:6, 1773:21 electronic [1] - 1716:15 Element [1] - 1740:4 element [16] - 1765:17, 1842:13, 1847:7, 1851:18, 1852:3, 1852:14, 1853:13, 1858:6, 1859:24, 1860:3, 1860:12, 1861:17, 1863:13, 1864:7, 1865:25, 1870:5 elements [14] - 1722:22, 1722:23, 1723:9, 1723:21, 1724:19, 1725:14, 1734:10, 1739:10, 1759:21, 1763:22, 1780:15, 1800:4, 1833:12 Elements [1] - 1740:3 elicit [2] - 1807:4, 1813:19 eliminate [1] - 1716:8 eloquent [1] - 1814:16 eloquently [1] - 1804:22 elsewhere [10] - 1732:1, 1755:24, 1834:12, 1835:2, 1835:25, 1837:16, 1850:4, 1850:24, 1854:20, 1856:22 embarrassment [1] - 1741:25 embrace [1] - 1805:21 embraced [1] - 1806:22 embracing [1] - 1806:9 emerge [1] - 1753:13 emotional [2] - 1805:25, 1809:22 emotions [11] - 1757:17, 1757:18, 1758:4, 1758:6, 1758:9, 1758:14, 1758:18, 1758:22, 1776:6, 1809:20 employed [2] - 1828:12, 1835:9 employee [3] - 1718:9, 1840:12, 1840:15 Employees [1] - 1718:3 employees [3] - 1718:11, 1719:6, 1837:11 employment [2] - 1864:20, 1865:4 emptiness [1] - 1751:7 encounter [1] - 1745:4 encountered [1] - 1752:11 encounters [1] - 1767:7 end [16] - 1720:24, 1723:8, 1726:5, 1732:17, 1751:11, 1752:17, 1756:17, 1759:16, 1765:1, 1779:5, 1782:19, 1783:2, 1784:14, 1798:12, 1848:12 ends [1] - 1846:13 enemies [2] - 1769:14 enforcement [18] - 1717:17, 1719:11, 1729:14, 1737:23, 1749:13, 1752:11, 1806:11, 1810:25, 1814:5, 1814:7, 1814:8, 1822:3, 1828:11, 1828:12,

1828:18, 1828:23, 1832:12, 1836:24 engage [36] - 1715:2, 1724:22, 1733:19, 1734:15, 1734:18, 1736:12, 1743:15, 1744:20, 1745:20, 1750:22, 1765:7, 1765:14, 1774:9, 1806:3, 1807:3, 1808:23. 1812:19. 1834:17. 1834:20. 1835:24, 1836:5, 1837:22, 1849:18, 1850:7, 1850:18, 1851:2, 1851:11, 1853:2, 1853:21, 1854:23, 1855:16, 1856:9, 1857:4, 1859:17, 1859:21, 1860:19 engaged [16] - 1726:20, 1734:25, 1735:2, 1736:17, 1739:16, 1739:21, 1742:1, 1771:8, 1836:23, 1837:3, 1846:16, 1852:4, 1857:2, 1857:15, 1859:20, 1860:22 engaging [16] - 1736:19, 1750:20, 1769:5, 1771:14, 1774:6, 1774:9, 1839:21, 1840:1, 1840:10, 1840:14, 1840:25, 1851:12, 1851:16, 1853:14, 1855:4, 1856:14 **English** [6] - 1741:5, 1750:12, 1830:12, 1830:19, 1830:22, 1830:23 English-language [1] - 1830:19 enjoy [2] - 1784:15, 1811:8 enjoyed [5] - 1756:12, 1756:14, 1784:16, 1805:19, 1808:6 enjoys [1] - 1767:4 enlist [1] - 1757:4 ensure [2] - 1753:2, 1786:10 enter [5] - 1758:2, 1818:19, 1848:11, 1866:14, 1866:22 entered [4] - 1723:22, 1842:2, 1842:11, 1842:15 entering [1] - 1714:12 enterprise [4] - 1726:25, 1728:16, 1837:7, 1840:17 enters [3] - 1714:8, 1777:1, 1818:17 entice [8] - 1723:17, 1744:20, 1765:9, 1767:19, 1834:19, 1854:21, 1856:23, 1858:12 enticed [11] - 1734:12, 1739:14, 1765:18, 1766:3, 1766:5, 1771:1, 1855:8, 1855:23, 1857:9, 1857:23, 1860:7 enticement [14] - 1723:23, 1724:15, 1725:19, 1726:20, 1733:24, 1734:6, 1734:7, 1763:13, 1766:17, 1768:7, 1768:9, 1768:11, 1841:5, 1856:5 enticing [6] - 1763:13, 1765:14, 1854:16, 1855:3, 1855:18, 1857:20 entire [1] - 1802:23 entirely [2] - 1827:8, 1866:16 **ENTITLED** [1] - 1711:10 entitled [3] - 1821:19, 1822:10, 1876:11 entitles [1] - 1822:7 environment [4] - 1805:11, 1805:15, 1808:18, 1812:24 equal [1] - 1845:10 equally [3] - 1805:2, 1821:22, 1822:4

equals [1] - 1822:11 equivocated [1] - 1780:22 Esau [1] - 1834:5 escalating [1] - 1719:10 Escobar [1] - 1759:4 Esmirna [3] - 1857:10, 1857:24, 1858:9 especially [2] - 1746:18, 1805:7 Esquire [2] - 1711:17, 1711:19 Esquivel [3] - 1715:18, 1716:7, 1834:5 essence [1] - 1793:24 essential [1] - 1844:4 essentially [3] - 1725:10, 1734:20, 1813:20 establish [10] - 1733:10, 1733:18, 1801:8, 1839:14, 1842:10, 1843:13, 1846:2, 1847:3, 1853:13, 1854:4 established [9] - 1824:23, 1825:9, 1825:25, 1833:18, 1835:1, 1842:25, 1844:15, 1853:8, 1854:12 establishes [1] - 1728:15 establishing [1] - 1729:25 et [2] - 1765:18, 1877:2 Ethelred [2] - 1755:2, 1757:13 ether [1] - 1789:5 evaluate [4] - 1811:5, 1813:7, 1814:15, 1824:8 evaluated [1] - 1804:23 evasive [2] - 1742:13, 1811:3 evening [1] - 1817:11 evenings [1] - 1836:11 events [3] - 1815:23, 1854:7, 1854:14 eventually [2] - 1731:5, 1795:13 everyday [1] - 1827:18 everywhere [1] - 1760:17 evidence [170] - 1714:15, 1715:24, 1722:22, 1723:13, 1724:24, 1725:3, 1726:3, 1726:22, 1726:23, 1729:3, 1729:18, 1730:3, 1730:7, 1730:12, 1733:16, 1753:5, 1753:12, 1755:7, 1755:10, 1755:11, 1757:1, 1758:17, 1760:3, 1761:8, 1761:10, 1762:8, 1767:3, 1767:6, 1767:20, 1768:17, 1768:18, 1769:2, 1771:6, 1771:12, 1774:7, 1774:23, 1776:1, 1778:24, 1780:3, 1785:18, 1786:13, 1789:6, 1789:19, 1792:21, 1793:8, 1793:21, 1795:16, 1795:18, 1795:21, 1795:22, 1799:14, 1799:24, 1800:23, 1800:25, 1801:2, 1801:22, 1801:25, 1802:1, 1802:23, 1802:24, 1803:4, 1803:9, 1803:21, 1804:23, 1806:16, 1813:4, 1813:10, 1814:17, 1815:2, 1816:4, 1819:2, 1819:11, 1820:1, 1820:6, 1820:8, 1820:10, 1820:11, 1820:14, 1820:15, 1820:20, 1821:1, 1821:5, 1821:6, 1821:8, 1821:13, 1821:14, 1822:1, 1822:14, 1822:18, 1822:24, 1823:10, 1823:20, 1824:11, 1824:14, 1824:15, 1824:19, 1824:20, 1824:25, 1825:1, 1825:2, 1825:5, 1825:17,

1825:22, 1826:1, 1826:3, 1826:8, 1826:9, 1826:12, 1826:14, 1826:16, 1826:17, 1826:18, 1826:21, 1826:25, 1827:1, 1827:2, 1827:3, 1827:5, 1827:7, 1827:14, 1827:20, 1828:4, 1828:22, 1829:7, 1829:14, 1829:23, 1829:25, 1830:6, 1830:8, 1830:13, 1830:16. 1830:18. 1831:3. 1831:5. 1831:7, 1831:23, 1832:8, 1832:12, 1832:15, 1833:4, 1833:7, 1833:10, 1833:11, 1833:15, 1844:16, 1848:2, 1848:7, 1849:13, 1853:8, 1853:11, 1863:12, 1866:17, 1866:19, 1869:5, 1869:10, 1875:14, 1875:15, 1875:17, 1875:24 evidencing [1] - 1733:13 evident [1] - 1819:8 evidently [5] - 1760:7, 1762:2, 1764:9, 1766:21, 1766:25 evoke [1] - 1757:17 evolutionary [2] - 1770:24 exact [1] - 1797:4 exactly [10] - 1720:22, 1731:7, 1737:12, 1738:17, 1744:6, 1752:16, 1767:15, 1798:10, 1804:25, 1811:21 exaggeration [1] - 1858:20 examination [5] - 1742:5, 1769:16, 1769:22, 1770:7, 1774:16 examine [2] - 1824:8, 1875:23 example [7] - 1728:20, 1747:9, 1748:6, 1832:12, 1856:14, 1859:23, 1861:14 except [5] - 1788:1, 1797:10, 1799:8, 1814:18, 1859:17 exception [2] - 1773:19, 1814:18 exceptions [2] - 1742:17 exchange [2] - 1739:22, 1774:15 exchanged [1] - 1774:21 exchanges [1] - 1751:16 exclude [1] - 1771:15 exclusive [2] - 1819:25, 1820:16 exclusively [1] - 1831:22 excuse [7] - 1741:16, 1741:17, 1786:17, 1792:16, 1809:2, 1812:11, 1813:14 excused [6] - 1776:20, 1817:3, 1873:2, 1875:10, 1875:11, 1875:22 executed [1] - 1755:22 execution [2] - 1717:10, 1717:19 execution-style [1] - 1717:19 exercise [5] - 1807:8, 1826:1, 1864:1, 1865:15, 1865:23 Exhibit [2] - 1718:16, 1785:16 exhibit [1] - 1780:14 exhibits [7] - 1820:13, 1826:13, 1826:14, 1826:15, 1826:17, 1827:9, 1833:18 exist [2] - 1825:13, 1825:14 existed [4] - 1749:15, 1843:7, 1843:9, 1843:17 existence [7] - 1726:21, 1824:23,

1825:10, 1842:24, 1843:3, 1846:3,

expect [2] - 1805:6, 1816:23 expected [2] - 1715:8, 1858:13 **Expedition** [1] - 1721:15 expel [1] - 1864:16 experience [8] - 1781:17, 1810:14, 1824:22, 1825:8, 1826:6, 1827:4, 1829:4, 1859:12 experienced [2] - 1742:5, 1829:5 **experiences** [1] - 1827:18 expert [6] - 1747:2, 1773:16, 1796:21, 1797:22, 1810:13, 1829:15 expertise [1] - 1810:13 **experts** [1] - 1773:16 **explain** [2] - 1759:7, 1765:23 explained [2] - 1785:24, 1817:18 **explaining** [1] - 1796:25 **explanation** [3] - 1759:17, 1831:19, 1831:20 explanations [1] - 1854:10 explicitly [2] - 1724:1, 1724:13 exploitable [1] - 1752:20 exploitation [4] - 1714:23, 1715:17, 1752:19, 1805:24 exploited [1] - 1752:18 **explosive** [1] - 1864:17 express [2] - 1829:1, 1842:15 expressing [1] - 1858:18 expression [2] - 1858:23, 1859:1 extent [3] - 1828:4, 1845:4, 1845:6 extraordinarily [1] - 1771:9 extrapolate [2] - 1761:10, 1762:3 extreme [1] - 1752:22 **extremely** [1] - 1741:13 eye [6] - 1731:15, 1743:1, 1743:4, 1746:11, 1783:13, 1798:25 eyes [2] - 1746:13, 1847:14 eyewitness [2] - 1733:16, 1780:2 F

1849:8

fabricate [1] - 1812:15 face [4] - 1751:7, 1783:17, 1804:5, 1806:16 faced [1] - 1804:4 facilitate [3] - 1836:17, 1836:25, 1840:17 fact [46] - 1719:5, 1726:1, 1730:21, 1734:14, 1735:16, 1740:13, 1744:20, 1748:19, 1751:1, 1756:15, 1758:10, 1758:25, 1764:25, 1767:1, 1771:8, 1772:18, 1774:13, 1799:24, 1803:9, 1805:23, 1814:6, 1815:19, 1818:20, 1822:6, 1823:25, 1824:21, 1824:23, 1824:24, 1825:10, 1825:12, 1825:13, 1828:11, 1831:13, 1831:18, 1833:13, 1833:16, 1841:17, 1843:19, 1845:11, 1845:25, 1846:6, 1853:7, 1853:25, 1858:12, 1870:3

factor [2] - 1790:20, 1844:5 1778:22, 1804:13, 1813:13 Flaco [5] - 1716:21, 1717:21, 1733:8, factors [1] - 1809:19 fewer [1] - 1805:19 1798:17, 1834:6 facts [37] - 1723:13, 1753:4, 1753:8, field [1] - 1829:6 flexi [1] - 1787:1 fifteen [1] - 1811:18 flies [1] - 1806:15 1758:8, 1763:8, 1763:25, 1766:10, 1766:16, 1770:25, 1805:1, 1805:2, fighting [2] - 1781:20, 1792:13 floor [2] - 1801:19, 1811:17 1819:15, 1819:25, 1820:4, 1820:5, figure [5] - 1784:21, 1792:10, 1792:15, Flores [4] - 1719:22, 1720:5, 1720:12, 1820:17, 1821:9, 1822:13, 1824:21, 1811:21, 1870:1 1720:13 1825:9, 1825:16, 1825:21, 1825:24, figuring [1] - 1811:7 Flores' [3] - 1720:8, 1720:9, 1720:15 1826:4, 1829:7, 1829:18, 1830:11, file [2] - 1788:25, 1789:2 flow [1] - 1861:12 1832:6, 1844:20, 1852:25, 1854:13, fill [2] - 1868:12, 1872:11 flush [1] - 1807:13 1860:15, 1860:16, 1869:13 filled [1] - 1811:13 fly [1] - 1804:1 failed [4] - 1731:6, 1752:1, 1801:8, final [5] - 1714:12, 1777:11, 1818:20, FOCR [1] - 1712:23 1863:7 1819:12, 1821:11 focus [2] - 1778:16, 1778:21 failing [1] - 1782:6 folks [29] - 1713:23, 1755:5, 1755:17, finally [6] - 1721:24, 1748:17, 1781:8, fails [1] - 1823:11 1814:1, 1846:20, 1861:23 1755:21, 1756:11, 1757:5, 1757:8, failure [2] - 1773:9, 1774:8 financial [6] - 1739:4, 1746:18, 1774:23, 1761:14, 1762:8, 1762:18, 1765:12, failures [1] - 1812:1 1805:14, 1844:3, 1859:4 1766:2, 1767:5, 1767:10, 1768:8, fair [7] - 1758:5, 1758:20, 1781:14, financially [6] - 1739:15, 1746:1, 1769:7, 1769:20, 1769:24, 1769:25, 1781:24, 1816:2, 1872:24, 1873:1 1857:1, 1857:11, 1857:25, 1860:8 1771:6, 1771:22, 1773:10, 1774:12, fairly [2] - 1724:11, 1724:25 finders [1] - 1766:10 1775:2, 1775:12, 1776:12, 1795:24, fairness [1] - 1821:11 1796:19, 1803:3 findings [1] - 1747:3 faith [2] - 1873:1, 1874:21 followed [3] - 1720:15, 1761:6, 1819:9 fine [5] - 1764:22, 1779:25, 1788:5, fake [1] - 1838:18 1788:7, 1797:24 following [18] - 1730:10, 1735:11, false [6] - 1722:3, 1727:3, 1744:16, 1745:4, 1751:14, 1751:20, 1753:19, finger [2] - 1779:16, 1779:17 1790:2, 1831:16 1788:9, 1817:20, 1834:25, 1837:15, fingerprints [1] - 1800:21 falsely [2] - 1838:25, 1839:2 1842:1, 1851:6, 1855:5, 1857:7, finished [1] - 1777:11 families [1] - 1804:6 1862:17, 1869:15, 1872:18, 1873:22 fire [2] - 1864:22, 1864:23 family [11] - 1720:14, 1720:15, 1741:5, follows [1] - 1734:11 firearm [51] - 1718:14, 1740:9, 1740:15, 1759:4, 1777:20, 1781:4, 1811:10, fond [1] - 1777:25 1740:16, 1775:2, 1775:6, 1775:15, food [4] - 1735:20, 1744:12, 1752:14, 1811:24, 1838:9, 1859:23 1775:16, 1775:17, 1775:18, 1862:2, famous [1] - 1777:12 1862:4, 1862:5, 1862:12, 1862:15, 1800:14 football [1] - 1798:11 fancied [1] - 1806:5 1862:22, 1862:23, 1863:16, 1863:17, far [5] - 1718:14, 1790:13, 1805:19, 1863:19, 1863:21, 1863:24, 1863:25, **FOR** [2] - 1711:1, 1711:10 1807:21, 1809:12 1864:2, 1864:3, 1864:4, 1864:6, forbidden [1] - 1867:15 farm [1] - 1740:22 1864:10, 1864:12, 1864:15, 1864:19, forbids [2] - 1851:16, 1855:1 Fat [1] - 1786:2 1864:20, 1865:1, 1865:2, 1865:3, force [33] - 1722:1, 1722:21, 1725:13, 1865:5, 1865:7, 1865:12, 1865:14, father [1] - 1734:19 1738:25, 1739:19, 1740:5, 1740:13, favor [1] - 1784:3 1865:15, 1865:20, 1866:1, 1866:2, 1745:25, 1759:19, 1771:3, 1771:4, 1866:4, 1866:8, 1866:10, 1866:12, 1782:10, 1783:24, 1784:5, 1787:6, favors [3] - 1784:3, 1784:4 1871:11 1789:25, 1790:9, 1794:8, 1794:13, fear [12] - 1748:1, 1748:5, 1750:8, firearms [2] - 1739:8, 1750:7 1802:1, 1803:12, 1803:14, 1809:1, 1798:14, 1798:17, 1799:3, 1799:4, firm [1] - 1797:1 1813:5, 1857:3, 1857:13, 1858:8, 1812:3, 1812:7, 1812:25, 1815:13 1859:25, 1860:4, 1860:5, 1860:11, first [47] - 1723:22, 1728:5, 1728:12, fears [1] - 1748:22 1734:11, 1739:11, 1739:13, 1740:12, 1870:7 featured [1] - 1765:25 1742:20, 1743:8, 1751:22, 1766:2, Force [1] - 1765:21 Federal [1] - 1781:10 forced [6] - 1734:18, 1734:22, 1739:2, 1779:8, 1780:16, 1780:17, 1785:18, federal [5] - 1801:20, 1841:9, 1841:11, 1764:4, 1784:20, 1805:13 1787:10, 1788:23, 1808:12, 1808:21, 1857:5, 1862:15 1812:6, 1815:19, 1842:2, 1842:9, Ford [1] - 1721:15 feelings [2] - 1821:17, 1821:23 1844:9, 1849:7, 1850:12, 1850:13, foregoing [1] - 1876:9 feet [2] - 1785:9, 1785:12 1851:7, 1851:18, 1851:20, 1854:18, foreign [6] - 1830:9, 1850:6, 1850:17, fell [1] - 1773:22 1854:19, 1855:7, 1855:21, 1856:18, 1851:1, 1854:22, 1856:23 **fellow** [2] - 1869:6, 1869:10 1856:20, 1857:8, 1857:19, 1857:21, foreman [1] - 1796:24 felt [11] - 1721:7, 1741:24, 1747:15, 1860:3, 1862:7, 1862:18, 1862:24, forensic [2] - 1746:20, 1780:3 1750:19, 1757:23, 1780:22, 1793:8, 1863:13, 1870:17, 1873:3, 1873:4 forensics [1] - 1795:22 1793:22, 1874:12 fit [4] - 1760:11, 1778:19, 1782:11, foreperson [6] - 1867:3, 1867:8, female [4] - 1837:6, 1837:11, 1837:21, 1795:21 1868:12, 1868:20, 1868:21 five [11] - 1716:18, 1717:8, 1729:24, foresee [1] - 1858:25 females [3] - 1839:24, 1840:9, 1840:13 1748:25, 1751:12, 1774:10, 1777:8, foreseeable [1] - 1848:15 Ferman [4] - 1719:6, 1749:4, 1796:17, 1777:23, 1788:13, 1798:10, 1811:15 forget [3] - 1719:7, 1746:14, 1765:16 1796:18 five-day [1] - 1729:24 forgotten [2] - 1762:16, 1766:23 few [6] - 1723:20, 1742:17, 1778:16,

German [33] - 1711:16, 1715:19,

1728:5, 1729:12, 1780:10, 1780:19,

1782:3, 1782:5, 1782:8, 1782:13,

form [11] - 1775:4, 1781:12, 1790:1, 1790:17, 1792:23, 1800:1, 1801:9, 1827:9, 1868:3, 1875:7 formal [1] - 1842:15 formally [1] - 1754:7 former [2] - 1718:11, 1875:5 forms [4] - 1868:2, 1868:5, 1868:10, 1868:12 forth [3] - 1807:3, 1850:13, 1850:22 fortunes [1] - 1756:14 forward [2] - 1760:21, 1864:3 foundation [1] - 1734:16 four [8] - 1730:5, 1731:11, 1742:4, 1747:4, 1779:11, 1785:20, 1798:10, 1813:10 fourth [2] - 1857:16, 1860:25 frame [3] - 1731:4, 1760:19, 1813:20 Franco [29] - 1722:2, 1722:12, 1745:2, 1766:11, 1767:9, 1767:19, 1769:4, 1769:7, 1773:15, 1773:20, 1773:23, 1774:14, 1775:9, 1779:6, 1781:18, 1795:4, 1802:3, 1857:1, 1857:10, 1857:24, 1858:9, 1858:12, 1859:10, 1859:16, 1859:21, 1860:6, 1860:8, 1860:18, 1860:22 Franco's [2] - 1769:6, 1770:23 frankly [2] - 1730:4, 1812:4 Fraud [1] - 1765:22 fraud [28] - 1722:21, 1738:25, 1739:19, 1740:6, 1740:13, 1744:18, 1744:22, 1771:3, 1771:4, 1782:10, 1787:6, 1789:25, 1790:10, 1794:9, 1794:13, 1802:1, 1803:12, 1803:15, 1809:1, 1813:5, 1857:3, 1857:13, 1858:8, 1858:10, 1859:25, 1860:4, 1860:5, 1860:11 fraudulent [1] - 1721:25 Freddy [3] - 1718:9, 1718:17, 1718:21 free [3] - 1784:14, 1819:4, 1875:15 freedom [1] - 1806:18 frequency [1] - 1814:15 frequent [1] - 1766:23 frequently [1] - 1847:21 fresh [1] - 1715:12 friend [6] - 1717:2, 1741:1, 1764:11, 1788:18, 1789:21 friendly [1] - 1845:24 friends [2] - 1741:5, 1777:20 frighten [1] - 1753:1 front [6] - 1720:16, 1741:11, 1742:3, 1756:7, 1757:9, 1779:20 fronting [1] - 1794:23 FTA'd [1] - 1731:5 Fuentes [4] - 1716:7, 1766:1, 1812:25, 1834.5 **FUERTES** [1] - 1711:7 Fuertes [69] - 1711:18, 1715:18,

1716:19, 1716:20, 1716:25, 1717:4,

1722:11, 1722:15, 1723:4, 1724:12,

1717:14, 1717:15, 1717:21, 1719:17,

1726:11, 1727:2, 1727:10, 1727:17, 1727:24, 1728:10, 1728:17, 1729:2, 1729:21, 1731:1, 1731:4, 1732:13, 1732:14, 1732:16, 1732:17, 1732:23, 1732:25, 1733:3, 1733:5, 1739:2, 1739:4, 1745:18, 1745:22, 1746:1, 1746:3, 1748:10, 1748:18, 1748:24, 1750:15. 1778:15. 1778:23. 1779:4. 1779:17, 1780:8, 1780:18, 1782:2, 1787:4, 1789:18, 1789:23, 1790:2, 1797:10, 1798:18, 1799:7, 1799:24, 1799:25, 1800:8, 1801:13, 1802:4, 1804:16, 1813:15, 1813:25, 1814:7, 1815:5, 1834:5 Fuertes' [7] - 1729:7, 1730:10, 1733:11, 1788:25, 1801:8, 1801:24, 1813:21 **FUERTES....**[1] - 1877:9 fulfill [2] - 1775:14, 1778:12 full [5] - 1714:16, 1714:18, 1754:19, 1777:4, 1845:14 fully [3] - 1844:24, 1861:23, 1869:23 function [2] - 1816:1, 1866:16 furtherance [26] - 1724:5, 1724:10, 1740:15, 1801:13, 1801:16, 1837:13, 1838:19, 1847:13, 1847:18, 1848:17, 1848:25, 1849:8, 1849:12, 1862:2, 1862:4, 1862:12, 1862:22, 1863:16, 1863:20, 1863:22, 1864:2, 1866:11, 1871:11, 1871:15, 1871:16, 1871:20 furthered [1] - 1865:16 furthering [5] - 1775:7, 1775:24, 1843:23, 1846:17, 1864:7 furthermore [1] - 1845:1 future [1] - 1858:19 G

gain [1] - 1774:13 GARCIA [1] - 1711:7 Garcia [3] - 1711:18, 1801:8, 1834:4 gasoline [1] - 1720:16 general [3] - 1748:5, 1825:1, 1859:15 generate [1] - 1729:16 gentleman [1] - 1716:14 gentlemen [46] - 1714:21, 1719:3, 1719:16, 1724:24, 1729:22, 1732:21, 1736:20, 1738:22, 1744:16, 1746:12, 1747:23, 1751:18, 1752:12, 1752:17, 1753:4, 1754:22, 1763:12, 1777:9, 1777:17, 1778:3, 1778:4, 1778:14, 1780:12, 1780:14, 1780:21, 1782:11, 1783:14, 1785:10, 1785:13, 1786:21, 1787:10, 1788:21, 1789:19, 1790:13, 1790:20, 1791:5, 1792:19, 1793:24, 1794:6, 1797:4, 1803:16, 1804:8, 1804:20, 1808:12, 1809:16, 1818:19 George's [1] - 1839:6

Gerald [1] - 1711:17

GERMAN [2] - 1711:6, 1877:2

1782:19, 1783:9, 1783:10, 1783:18, 1787:5, 1787:8, 1789:8, 1790:25, 1791:1, 1791:19, 1791:22, 1793:13, 1796:9. 1798:14. 1798:22. 1799:23. 1805:25, 1806:1, 1815:4, 1833:25, 1836:22, 1839:7 get-go [1] - 1808:16 getaway [1] - 1801:18 Giordano [3] - 1712:23, 1876:8, 1876:14 girl [8] - 1764:19, 1780:10, 1783:9, 1784:8, 1792:1, 1792:5, 1799:21, 1802:15 girlfriend [6] - 1717:16, 1771:10, 1781:1, 1787:25, 1788:14 girls [22] - 1766:19, 1780:11, 1784:2, 1784:12, 1784:13, 1784:16, 1784:19, 1784:25, 1785:7, 1785:9, 1786:11, 1786:12, 1786:14, 1786:23, 1792:11, 1792:13, 1792:14, 1799:13, 1800:13 given [17] - 1757:12, 1766:25, 1770:23, 1775:25, 1786:6, 1816:21, 1820:12, 1822:8, 1823:25, 1827:25, 1829:23, 1831:25, 1851:13, 1853:5, 1856:13, 1860:24, 1870:3 Goldstein [1] - 1712:4 Gonzalez [5] - 1727:9, 1727:11, 1727:20, 1728:7, 1728:12 goods [1] - 1861:4 Government [96] - 1711:13, 1714:16, 1714:18, 1739:12, 1740:11, 1742:10, 1755:17, 1755:19, 1756:4, 1756:5, 1759:11, 1759:18, 1761:9, 1761:18, 1761:25, 1762:3, 1764:13, 1766:17, 1769:17, 1773:13, 1774:22, 1775:25, 1778:8, 1785:19, 1791:21, 1793:25, 1799:18, 1800:19, 1803:19, 1804:23, 1806:11, 1806:13, 1808:3, 1808:10, 1810:18, 1814:24, 1816:9, 1821:16, 1821:20, 1822:2, 1822:7, 1822:9, 1822:11, 1823:4, 1823:11, 1823:17, 1825:17, 1827:9, 1829:20, 1832:4, 1832:6, 1832:10, 1833:9, 1842:1, 1842:9, 1842:13, 1842:20, 1843:12, 1847:2, 1847:7, 1847:8, 1847:12, 1847:16, 1848:4, 1851:6, 1851:20, 1852:1, 1852:9, 1852:14, 1852:20, 1853:1, 1853:14, 1853:18, 1855:5, 1855:21, 1856:7, 1857:7, 1857:21, 1858:7, 1859:24, 1860:13, 1860:20, 1860:25, 1861:17, 1861:23, 1862:16, 1862:24, 1863:7, 1863:14, 1863:20, 1864:13, 1864:19, 1865:7, 1865:17, 1865:19, 1866:5 government [3] - 1757:10, 1778:5, 1828:12 Government's [9] - 1766:4, 1787:17,

1796:9, 1798:3, 1802:21, 1818:2, 1819:11, 1824:16, 1825:6, 1827:6, guy [7] - 1743:7, 1774:4, 1798:21, 1823:21, 1829:21, 1871:18 1798:22, 1814:13, 1815:8 1828:10, 1830:8, 1831:3, 1832:3 **GOVERNMENT.....**[1] guys [3] - 1794:24, 1798:10, 1812:15 hearing [5] - 1737:3, 1756:14, 1820:23, 1821:2, 1858:22 1877:10 GOVERNMENT......[1] -Н hears [1] - 1824:19 heart [1] - 1757:7 1877.7 **GPS** [1] - 1756:2 Hector [18] - 1718:9, 1718:18, 1718:21, half [7] - 1715:9, 1760:4, 1777:18, grade [2] - 1740:21, 1872:23 1718:22, 1719:5, 1719:8, 1721:7, 1787:19, 1790:25, 1811:1, 1811:19 graduate [1] - 1872:22 1721:8, 1721:16, 1721:20, 1721:21, halfway [1] - 1738:1 Grand [18] - 1755:22, 1770:2, 1770:5, 1721:23, 1759:3, 1787:18, 1795:2, hall [2] - 1754:4, 1754:6 1779:20, 1781:10, 1833:24, 1834:15, 1796:10, 1798:5, 1813:25 hand [9] - 1720:19, 1773:4, 1774:18, 1835:1, 1835:4, 1835:8, 1835:12, Heights [1] - 1834:3 1782:3, 1790:1, 1796:11, 1819:24, 1837:12, 1849:23, 1850:12, 1850:15, held [6] - 1722:13, 1750:3, 1757:10, 1829:20, 1872:2 1854:18, 1856:18, 1862:7 1790:7, 1837:22, 1873:22 handcuffs [1] - 1787:1 grant [1] - 1874:9 Helen [1] - 1736:8 handed [1] - 1726:12 granted [1] - 1756:10 hell [1] - 1796:20 handing [1] - 1797:17 help [12] - 1716:7, 1737:5, 1740:21, Gras [1] - 1786:2 handle [2] - 1872:21, 1872:23 great [4] - 1811:11, 1816:11, 1816:13, 1743:10, 1743:22, 1770:9, 1770:10, hands 161 - 1739:3. 1769:19. 1774:15. 1874:24 1770:14, 1795:12, 1811:8, 1816:13, 1774:21, 1802:19, 1802:20 1875:14 greater [3] - 1822:8, 1828:14, 1841:22 hang [3] - 1772:14, 1802:24, 1803:2 helped [8] - 1746:14, 1746:15, 1750:7, Green [1] - 1804:7 happy [4] - 1754:3, 1754:5, 1786:15, green [1] - 1721:15 1783:1, 1792:5, 1864:3 1798:13 grip [2] - 1719:5, 1840:22 helpful [1] - 1811:13 harbor [2] - 1856:23, 1858:2 helping [1] - 1831:9 groomed [2] - 1743:10, 1766:6 harbored [6] - 1739:14, 1766:4, herded [1] - 1715:15 grooming [1] - 1768:5 1768:13, 1857:9, 1857:23, 1860:7 Hernandez [3] - 1719:7, 1749:4, ground [1] - 1774:3 harboring [4] - 1722:6, 1768:8, 1796:18 grounds [1] - 1828:18 1768:10, 1857:20 herring [1] - 1808:11 group [1] - 1741:11 hard [3] - 1719:23, 1797:21, 1804:10 herrings [3] - 1759:6, 1776:2, 1813:25 grouped [1] - 1785:19 hard-working [1] - 1719:23 herself [7] - 1742:8, 1764:7, 1764:20, grow [1] - 1792:18 harkening [1] - 1808:2 growing [2] - 1805:17, 1805:18 1772:20, 1792:4, 1811:19, 1831:11 harm [11] - 1748:2, 1858:19, 1858:24, hesitate [1] - 1869:7 Guatemala [1] - 1740:19 1859:1, 1859:2, 1859:3, 1859:4, hesitation [1] - 1798:23 guess [3] - 1736:25, 1773:5, 1825:11 1859:8, 1859:9, 1859:13, 1859:23 Hicksville [1] - 1792:1 guesswork [1] - 1825:22 harmless [1] - 1847:22 hidden [1] - 1715:7 guide [2] - 1829:24, 1868:7 Hartlove [2] - 1717:23, 1779:14 highest [1] - 1860:18 Guillen [1] - 1800:9 hat [2] - 1787:13, 1802:24 highly [2] - 1757:5, 1773:16 guilt [15] - 1755:14, 1789:20, 1802:6, hate [2] - 1758:15, 1798:9 himself [10] - 1722:8, 1744:15, 1760:8, 1802:7, 1802:12, 1822:20, 1823:9, head [3] - 1717:10, 1748:20, 1750:4 1799:12, 1806:5, 1831:11, 1841:18, 1823:17, 1825:4, 1826:10, 1831:7, hear [13] - 1721:16, 1721:17, 1737:12, 1845:25, 1871:14, 1871:19 1832:16, 1833:7, 1845:5, 1849:7 1744:18, 1753:11, 1754:17, 1788:8, Hispanic [9] - 1719:23, 1745:12, guilty [49] - 1725:12, 1736:20, 1738:23, 1788:19, 1791:12, 1794:15, 1800:17, 1784:21, 1805:8, 1839:20, 1839:24, 1745:23, 1746:13, 1750:8, 1753:13, 1806:8, 1827:24 1840:8, 1840:13, 1840:24 1762:12, 1763:11, 1765:12, 1765:20, heard [75] - 1713:15, 1715:24, 1716:13, history [4] - 1737:11, 1757:12, 1770:23, 1775:4, 1775:10, 1775:11, 1776:5, 1717:12, 1718:4, 1718:16, 1718:24, 1811:8 1776:8, 1776:9, 1803:17, 1804:15, 1719:4, 1720:22, 1721:5, 1723:13, 1805:4, 1807:23, 1808:9, 1808:13, **hit** [5] - 1748:11, 1775:14, 1777:13, 1725:3, 1725:7, 1726:3, 1726:15, 1810:21, 1822:18, 1822:19, 1823:5, 1784:11, 1791:14 1727:6, 1729:13, 1730:16, 1731:9, hitting [1] - 1781:20 1823:12, 1823:21, 1824:12, 1824:13, 1734:10, 1738:25, 1740:24, 1743:1, hold [7] - 1799:9, 1800:20, 1800:22, 1832:9, 1832:20, 1832:24, 1833:9, 1743:3, 1743:4, 1743:12, 1745:6, 1841:13, 1841:17, 1846:24, 1851:5, 1812:1, 1816:9, 1821:6, 1865:11 1745:11, 1748:12, 1749:9, 1753:4, 1855:2, 1857:6, 1863:8, 1866:7, holds [1] - 1861:6 1755:19, 1756:15, 1756:19, 1756:21, 1866:18, 1871:10 hole [1] - 1786:4 1756:23, 1757:24, 1758:23, 1759:5, gun [13] - 1717:15, 1746:16, 1749:21, holiday [1] - 1815:20 1760:15, 1766:4, 1777:16, 1783:8, 1749:22, 1749:24, 1750:3, 1769:12, home [9] - 1721:23, 1727:23, 1734:18, 1783:19, 1784:1, 1784:7, 1784:22, 1769:15, 1775:15, 1794:15, 1798:6, 1734:20, 1764:5, 1764:10, 1772:7, 1787:3, 1787:7, 1787:24, 1790:3, 1803:9, 1871:15 1785:22, 1786:13 1790:16, 1791:12, 1792:8, 1793:9, guns [13] - 1722:9, 1748:24, 1749:2, homes [1] - 1804:6 1795:4, 1795:21, 1795:22, 1795:25, 1749:4, 1749:12, 1749:14, 1749:15, homicide [1] - 1716:16 1796:1, 1796:3, 1796:4, 1797:19, 1749:16, 1749:19, 1749:20, 1775:21, Homicide [1] - 1770:13 1800:7, 1801:6, 1803:5, 1804:22, 1775:23, 1794:5 Honduras [2] - 1782:2, 1834:6

hone [1] - 1763:22 idle [1] - 1858:19 incriminates [1] - 1807:22 honest [9] - 1778:22, 1780:18, 1781:19, ignorance [1] - 1852:22 1786:23, 1797:14, 1800:17, 1801:3, ignored [1] - 1795:20 1801:25. 1869:9 illegal [18] - 1715:21, 1724:14, 1724:22, 1841:13, 1845:7 honestly [2] - 1793:15, 1795:24 1726:24, 1728:16, 1756:16, 1756:18, Honor [28] - 1713:7, 1713:24, 1713:25, 1782:3, 1834:3, 1834:7, 1837:21, 1714:1, 1714:20, 1722:17, 1753:17, 1841:2, 1846:17, 1851:11, 1853:22, 1841:10, 1844:16 1753:22, 1754:1, 1754:6, 1754:21, 1855:4, 1855:16, 1856:10 1763:14, 1776:25, 1777:7, 1804:19, illegally [1] - 1741:6 INDEX [1] - 1877:1 1817:9, 1818:15, 1869:24, 1870:10, illicit [1] - 1730:13 1870:13, 1870:16, 1870:22, 1871:4, images [2] - 1737:2, 1751:3 1873:14, 1873:20, 1873:24, 1874:4 imagine [3] - 1742:6, 1794:3, 1794:4 honor [1] - 1808:4 imagined [1] - 1757:10 HONORABLE [1] - 1711:11 immigrant [1] - 1782:3 Honorable [6] - 1713:4, 1776:18, immigrants [1] - 1756:16 1776:22, 1817:1, 1817:6, 1876:3 **Immigration** [2] - 1736:2, 1814:3 honored [1] - 1755:14 impartial [3] - 1758:20, 1823:10, 1869:5 Hooper[1] - 1872:6 impartiality [1] - 1821:12 hope [3] - 1755:16, 1803:23, 1806:12 implicate [1] - 1839:2 hopeful [2] - 1750:24, 1783:5 implicitly [2] - 1724:1, 1724:12 hopes [1] - 1717:6 implore [1] - 1758:21 hoping [5] - 1756:17, 1776:3, 1776:6, important [14] - 1763:20, 1764:17, 1802:25, 1803:1 1771:22, 1790:16, 1797:2, 1804:3, horrible [1] - 1764:3 1833:4 1804:5, 1816:5, 1816:7, 1822:2, horrific [1] - 1773:11 1822:4, 1831:18, 1844:14, 1875:8 hospital [2] - 1751:3, 1751:4 imposed [1] - 1866:20 hotels [1] - 1861:14 imposes [1] - 1822:22 hour [4] - 1720:11, 1777:4, 1777:18, imposing [1] - 1866:15 1816:22 impressed [1] - 1778:10 hours [4] - 1713:19, 1742:4, 1801:19, improper [1] - 1821:15 1813:10 IN [1] - 1711:1 hours-plus [1] - 1813:10 inadvertently [1] - 1858:5 house [9] - 1719:1, 1729:13, 1729:19, inamorata [1] - 1788:14 1868:8, 1869:3 1731:22, 1743:20, 1751:4, 1751:5, inanimate [3] - 1747:22, 1772:19, 1767:15, 1839:11 1772.21 houses [2] - 1787:7, 1838:15 incapable [1] - 1841:18 housing [1] - 1838:9 incarcerated [1] - 1812:5 HSI [2] - 1712:3, 1723:5 inchoate [1] - 1789:4 huge [1] - 1729:7 incident [2] - 1745:23, 1789:7 1852:6, 1861:4 human [3] - 1716:2, 1752:19, 1752:20 incidental [1] - 1853:22 hundred [1] - 1804:3 inclined [2] - 1753:22, 1754:6 1855:9 husband [2] - 1764:4, 1764:6 included [3] - 1716:10, 1724:15, husband's [1] - 1720:18 1834:24 Hyattsville [1] - 1839:25 includes [4] - 1851:15, 1859:2, 1863:3, hypothesis [3] - 1795:16, 1795:17, 1867:22 1795.19 including [4] - 1735:14, 1782:24, 1827:15, 1859:3 1843:2 ı incomes [1] - 1781:5 incoming [1] - 1720:12 inconsistency [2] - 1831:17, 1831:20 ICE [3] - 1737:7, 1814:2, 1814:8 inconsistent [6] - 1795:7, 1831:5, ice [3] - 1791:13, 1791:14

increases [1] - 1841:23

incredibly [2] - 1742:5, 1742:15

idea [6] - 1731:18, 1762:4, 1762:5, 1788:4, 1808:11, 1810:19 identification [1] - 1826:15 identified [4] - 1732:12, 1733:3, 1733:5, 1751:15 identify [1] - 1800:7 identities [2] - 1734:3, 1844:22 identity [1] - 1852:17

incurring [1] - 1859:8 indeed [5] - 1751:19, 1810:23, 1813:11, independent [8] - 1743:2, 1743:25, 1778:13, 1778:14, 1814:6, 1829:7, independently [1] - 1747:4 indicate [3] - 1820:17, 1821:5, 1860:18 indicated [5] - 1734:16, 1751:17, 1771:24, 1826:24, 1873:24 indicates [1] - 1833:15 indications [1] - 1791:17 indicted [1] - 1822:16 Indictment [26] - 1722:16, 1723:10, 1733:22, 1736:15, 1753:6, 1822:19, 1832:17, 1833:4, 1833:14, 1833:18, 1844:7, 1846:20, 1846:24, 1847:1, 1847:9, 1848:24, 1849:14, 1850:21, 1851:9, 1854:25, 1855:9, 1855:24, 1856:6, 1857:5, 1862:1, 1862:19 indictment [3] - 1719:14, 1822:17, indifference [1] - 1860:16 individual [32] - 1724:20, 1724:21, 1734:13, 1734:14, 1756:19, 1834:15, 1834:20, 1838:24, 1839:2, 1839:5, 1840:5, 1840:20, 1841:23, 1849:17, 1849:18, 1851:22, 1852:2, 1852:8, 1852:10, 1852:11, 1852:16, 1852:18, 1853:21, 1854:22, 1855:3, 1855:8, 1855:14, 1855:16, 1855:23, 1856:9, individuals [21] - 1733:19, 1737:8, 1784:4, 1788:6, 1789:16, 1816:3, 1816:6, 1822:11, 1834:16, 1836:23, 1850:5, 1850:7, 1850:17, 1850:18, 1851:1, 1851:2, 1851:8, 1851:10, induce [4] - 1765:9, 1834:19, 1854:21, induced [3] - 1734:12, 1855:8, 1855:23 inducing [1] - 1855:3 indulgence [1] - 1818:6 infamous [1] - 1802:12 infer [4] - 1772:9, 1824:21, 1825:8, inference [6] - 1824:1, 1825:7, 1825:11, 1825:23, 1844:19, 1845:1 inferences [12] - 1820:3, 1825:15, 1825:18, 1825:19, 1825:21, 1826:1, 1831:6, 1831:8, 1831:24, 1831:25 1826:5, 1826:9, 1827:5, 1844:18, **inconvenience** [1] - 1827:12 1853:12, 1854:15 incorporate [1] - 1862:8 inferred [1] - 1854:5 incorporated [4] - 1850:1, 1850:13, inflict [1] - 1858:18 1850:23, 1856:19 inflicted [1] - 1747:24 incorporating [1] - 1854:18 infliction [1] - 1747:19

influence [4] - 1806:6, 1855:10,

1866:15, 1866:21

information [6] - 1762:6, 1811:2, 1813:19, 1814:14, 1867:25, 1868:1 informed [1] - 1844:24 **infringing** [1] - 1718:8 injured [1] - 1751:1 injuries [7] - 1747:4, 1771:23, 1772:18, 1773:3, 1773:8, 1773:14, 1773:19 injuring [1] - 1809:4 injury [9] - 1746:21, 1746:23, 1746:25, 1747:9, 1747:12, 1747:13, 1751:9, 1773:6, 1774:8 innocence [4] - 1755:13, 1821:19, 1823:7, 1823:13 innocent [7] - 1822:25, 1823:3, 1823:23, 1831:17, 1847:21, 1847:22, 1852:13 inside [4] - 1727:5, 1758:24, 1772:20, 1772:21 insofar [1] - 1791:18 instance [2] - 1728:14, 1817:23 instances [2] - 1732:22, 1736:22 instead [1] - 1860:12 instruct [8] - 1723:8, 1723:11, 1818:21, 1819:11, 1819:13, 1823:1, 1844:20, 1851:12 instructed [11] - 1723:14, 1755:12, 1832:9, 1844:3, 1847:24, 1852:19, 1855:25, 1856:2, 1856:11, 1860:3, 1863:4 instruction [3] - 1763:16, 1800:18, 1819:17 **INSTRUCTIONS** [1] - 1877:11 instructions [25] - 1723:11, 1735:10, 1739:18, 1816:20, 1816:22, 1818:8, 1818:11, 1818:12, 1818:23, 1818:25, 1819:3, 1819:5, 1819:14, 1819:18, 1820:10, 1822:15, 1832:23, 1833:2, 1861:7, 1866:25, 1868:7, 1868:8, 1869:20, 1871:9, 1871:21 instrument [1] - 1854:2 insurance [1] - 1872:10 intact [1] - 1872:12 integral [1] - 1865:10 intellect [1] - 1870:1 intelligence [2] - 1859:12, 1874:14 intended [1] - 1859:20 intent [21] - 1724:22, 1734:15, 1834:16, 1835:24, 1836:5, 1849:18, 1850:6, 1850:17, 1851:1, 1851:10, 1853:2, 1853:3, 1853:6, 1853:7, 1853:24, 1854:8, 1854:11, 1855:15, 1856:9, 1858:23, 1859:1 intention [7] - 1790:14, 1843:22, 1846:12, 1858:18, 1864:1, 1865:15, intentionally [8] - 1846:15, 1850:5, 1850:16. 1850:25. 1852:12. 1852:22. 1856:1, 1858:4 interaction [1] - 1717:9 interactions [1] - 1779:2

1795:12, 1795:20, 1798:20, 1799:3, interest [5] - 1824:9, 1828:2, 1828:19, 1799:4, 1799:25, 1800:11, 1800:25, 1844:3, 1844:5 interesting [2] - 1787:22, 1815:15 1801:9, 1801:14, 1807:14, 1808:22, 1809:6, 1814:13, 1843:4, 1867:20, interests [1] - 1846:2 interfere [1] - 1821:24 1874:13 involvement [3] - 1728:16, 1800:5, interim [1] - 1730:11 1817:14 interpretation [6] - 1829:22, 1830:5, involves [4] - 1760:23, 1771:20, 1775:8, 1830:7, 1830:10, 1830:20, 1830:24 1792:24 **INTERPRETER** [1] - 1741:17 involving [1] - 1855:18 interpreter [2] - 1830:15, 1831:1 Isabella [4] - 1721:11, 1721:13, Interpreter [2] - 1712:3, 1712:4 1721:17, 1721:21 interpreters [1] - 1830:21 isolate [2] - 1813:15, 1813:20 Interstate [1] - 1849:17 issue [7] - 1753:25, 1758:1, 1773:17, interstate [88] - 1715:1, 1722:20, 1829:2, 1845:5, 1849:6, 1871:18 1723:18, 1723:19, 1723:24, 1724:18, issues [1] - 1818:20 1724:20, 1725:5, 1725:8, 1725:17, items [1] - 1738:15 1725:25, 1726:17, 1726:20, 1730:1, itself [3] - 1845:21, 1847:25, 1854:9 1730:8, 1733:19, 1733:20, 1734:7, IX [1] - 1711:6 1734:8, 1734:13, 1739:1, 1739:24, 1759:25, 1760:9, 1760:18, 1760:23, J 1761:7, 1761:19, 1762:14, 1763:13, 1765:7, 1765:14, 1765:15, 1768:13, 1768:22, 1768:23, 1769:5, 1771:14, James [1] - 1757:13 1773:9, 1774:6, 1774:9, 1799:11, **Jefferson** [2] - 1757:8, 1757:14 1800:1, 1803:11, 1803:13, 1833:20, Jelly [3] - 1759:10, 1760:13, 1835:18 1833:22, 1834:16, 1834:20, 1835:23, jerk [1] - 1788:17 1841:5, 1849:15, 1850:6, 1850:17, Jersey [8] - 1734:23, 1735:6, 1735:9, 1851:1, 1851:8, 1851:17, 1851:19, 1736:11, 1764:8, 1764:24, 1765:2, 1851:22, 1851:24, 1852:8, 1852:11, 1765:15 1852:16, 1854:16, 1854:22, 1855:9, JESUS [2] - 1711:6, 1877:2 1855:14, 1855:18, 1855:19, 1855:24, Jesus [11] - 1711:16, 1715:19, 1727:9, 1856:2, 1856:5, 1856:6, 1856:23, 1727:11, 1727:20, 1728:7, 1728:12, 1857:17, 1861:2, 1861:3, 1861:8, 1729:12, 1833:25, 1836:22, 1839:7 1861:10, 1861:13, 1861:14, 1861:15, jive [1] - 1803:20 1861:16, 1861:18, 1861:20, 1861:22, job [13] - 1723:10, 1723:12, 1742:23, 1781:1, 1784:15, 1784:16, 1785:5, interviewed [5] - 1769:24, 1769:25, 1789:21, 1790:18, 1794:12, 1797:22, 1770:2, 1770:3, 1770:4 interviews [1] - 1769:19 1797:24 intimidate [2] - 1716:8, 1837:10 **John** [4] - 1757:5, 1757:6, 1757:13, 1757:14 intimidating [1] - 1716:10 join [2] - 1802:11, 1841:7 introduced [3] - 1808:10, 1808:21, joined [5] - 1724:2, 1843:20, 1844:10, 1833:10 1844:11, 1845:2 inured [1] - 1746:18 joins [2] - 1845:15, 1845:16 investigate [2] - 1717:23, 1779:14 joke [1] - 1794:18 investigating [2] - 1719:13, 1755:17 joking [2] - 1750:4, 1858:20 investigation [6] - 1717:25, 1719:16, journey [1] - 1853:18 1733:25, 1746:6, 1751:11, 1755:20 **JR** [1] - 1711:11 investigative [3] - 1800:20, 1832:5, Jr [1] - 1713:4 1832:10 **Juano** [2] - 1732:12, 1733:3 invite [2] - 1785:13, 1811:4 Judge [9] - 1724:8, 1744:18, 1746:12, involve [4] - 1733:23, 1781:20, 1799:12, 1747:24, 1750:13, 1753:12, 1765:23, 1853:24 1774:11, 1800:18 involved [44] - 1719:18, 1724:13, judge [1] - 1827:19 1725:2, 1730:4, 1730:6, 1743:4, judges [7] - 1819:25, 1820:16, 1821:7, 1749:7, 1749:10, 1749:11, 1756:15, 1827:16, 1830:10, 1869:13 1756:20, 1760:8, 1760:18, 1762:25, judgment [8] - 1778:13, 1778:15, 1767:21, 1771:8, 1771:25, 1781:14, 1829:17, 1831:23, 1868:23, 1869:4, 1781:24, 1783:20, 1789:11, 1789:12, 1874:1, 1874:14 1789:13, 1790:15, 1791:18, 1792:18,

July [3] - 1770:6, 1839:15, 1839:19 jurisdiction [3] - 1787:20, 1790:25, 1814.9 juror [1] - 1868:25 Juror [2] - 1875:3, 1875:4 JUROR [7] - 1872:15, 1872:21, 1873:3, 1873:10, 1874:11, 1874:18, 1874:22 jurors [7] - 1723:10, 1723:12, 1823:8, 1866:19, 1869:1, 1869:6, 1869:11 JURORS [2] - 1714:10, 1754:23 jury [52] - 1713:6, 1713:22, 1713:23, 1714:12, 1726:6, 1739:18, 1753:11, 1754:16, 1754:22, 1755:2, 1755:13, 1756:10, 1757:6, 1757:9, 1758:3, 1758:6, 1758:9, 1758:19, 1758:22, 1763:9, 1776:4, 1776:7, 1776:15, 1776:24, 1777:3, 1792:22, 1796:23, 1796:25, 1805:5, 1816:17, 1818:14, 1819:19, 1819:24, 1823:4, 1824:3, 1825:3, 1825:23, 1852:15, 1867:2, 1867:9, 1867:11, 1867:16, 1868:10, 1869:21, 1871:8, 1872:12, 1873:12, 1875:12, 1876:1, 1876:4 Jury [24] - 1714:8, 1755:22, 1770:2, 1770:5, 1776:20, 1777:1, 1779:21, 1781:10, 1817:3, 1818:17, 1833:24, 1834:15, 1835:1, 1835:4, 1835:8, 1835:12, 1837:12, 1849:23, 1850:12, 1850:15, 1854:18, 1856:18, 1862:7, 1875:22 **JURY** [1] - 1711:11 **JURY**.....[1] - 1877:11 justice [4] - 1753:6, 1763:2, 1810:8, 1822:12 justified [1] - 1826:6 justify [1] - 1845:1

K

keep [9] - 1714:2, 1750:7, 1751:9, 1753:2, 1786:5, 1786:12, 1786:14, 1786:15, 1816:13 keeping [8] - 1722:8, 1734:20, 1738:21, 1744:14, 1778:13, 1786:14, 1789:11, 1790:15 keeps [1] - 1786:13 Kelly [6] - 1712:3, 1723:5, 1743:5, 1751:14, 1761:6, 1806:12 kept [2] - 1715:9, 1786:11 Kerlin [3] - 1715:18, 1716:7, 1834:5 **KEVIN** [1] - 1711:7 Kevin [45] - 1711:18, 1715:18, 1778:15, 1778:23, 1780:8, 1780:9, 1780:18, 1782:2, 1782:5, 1782:22, 1783:8, 1787:3, 1787:16, 1787:20, 1789:6, 1789:7, 1789:23, 1790:2, 1790:12, 1790:14, 1791:17, 1792:10, 1792:25, 1793:16, 1794:16, 1797:7, 1797:10, 1797:13, 1797:19, 1798:2, 1798:4,

1798:5, 1798:17, 1799:7, 1799:12, 1800:13, 1800:25, 1801:4, 1801:6, 1802:4, 1803:10, 1804:16, 1834:4 Kevin's [4] - 1779:25, 1798:2, 1798:6 key [1] - 1844:10 kick [2] - 1794:18, 1794:22 kidnapping [1] - 1839:1 kids [2] - 1790:21, 1792:17 Kies [2] - 1713:11, 1817:9 **kill** [6] - 1718:13, 1720:14, 1721:3, 1796:20, 1798:22, 1810:4 killed [4] - 1796:14, 1798:6, 1799:2, 1810:8 killer [2] - 1796:12, 1797:22 killing [1] - 1809:4 Kim [2] - 1745:7, 1801:7 **Kim's** [1] - 1813:18 kind [11] - 1725:3, 1730:17, 1760:22, 1771:7, 1802:24, 1806:8, 1806:18, 1811:12, 1841:6, 1859:13, 1859:14 kinds [7] - 1759:5, 1759:24, 1775:12, 1802:7, 1807:21, 1811:13, 1811:25 King [4] - 1755:2, 1757:12, 1757:13 king [1] - 1755:9 Kirchgessner [1] - 1712:3 knife [1] - 1747:17 knocked [1] - 1775:13 knowing [8] - 1740:22, 1740:23, 1740:24, 1783:23, 1802:3, 1807:14, 1846:18, 1857:3 knowingly [43] - 1724:1, 1724:4,

knowingly [43] - 1724:1, 1724:4, 1724:20, 1734:12, 1739:13, 1740:14, 1834:13, 1834:15, 1834:19, 1842:4, 1842:6, 1843:14, 1843:20, 1844:10, 1847:4, 1847:13, 1847:17, 1850:5, 1850:16, 1850:25, 1851:7, 1851:22, 1852:7, 1852:12, 1852:21, 1852:24, 1854:21, 1855:7, 1855:22, 1855:25, 1856:22, 1857:9, 1857:22, 1858:3, 1858:11, 1862:4, 1864:10, 1866:1

knowledge [15] - 1722:11, 1746:2, 1824:17, 1829:3, 1843:21, 1844:19, 1845:1, 1845:14, 1846:4, 1846:7, 1846:10, 1849:4, 1853:24, 1854:12, 1860:11

knowledgeable [1] - 1829:6 known [18] - 1746:10, 1771:17, 1791:16, 1802:5, 1824:17, 1834:1, 1834:2, 1834:5, 1834:6, 1834:14, 1835:1, 1835:4, 1835:8, 1835:12, 1844:22

Knows [3] - 1727:11, 1775:21, 1779:14 **Korean** [1] - 1745:7 **KY** [3] - 1759:10, 1760:13, 1835:18

L

lack [19] - 1742:18, 1750:12, 1801:2,

1802:23, 1803:9, 1803:10, 1803:11, 1803:12, 1803:13, 1803:14, 1803:15, 1821:14, 1822:1, 1832:8, 1832:15, 1833:10, 1869:25 ladies [48] - 1714:21, 1719:3, 1719:16, 1724:24. 1732:21. 1736:20. 1738:22. 1744:15, 1746:12, 1747:23, 1751:18, 1752:12, 1752:17, 1753:4, 1754:22, 1756:14, 1757:19, 1757:24, 1763:12, 1772:15, 1777:9, 1777:17, 1778:2, 1778:14, 1780:12, 1780:14, 1780:21, 1782:10, 1783:14, 1785:10, 1785:13, 1786:21, 1787:10, 1788:21, 1789:18, 1790:13, 1790:20, 1791:5, 1792:18, 1793:24, 1794:6, 1797:4, 1803:16, 1804:7, 1804:20, 1808:12, 1809:15, 1818:19 lady [3] - 1762:1, 1762:15, 1769:24 laid [1] - 1790:1 landlord [2] - 1800:7, 1801:7 landlords [1] - 1800:9 landmarks [2] - 1811:8, 1812:1 Langley [1] - 1839:20 language [10] - 1784:19, 1813:20, 1830:4, 1830:9, 1830:14, 1830:16, 1830:19, 1870:10, 1870:11, 1870:14 languages [2] - 1830:12, 1830:22 **Laona** [2] - 1763:19, 1763:24 large [2] - 1730:14, 1738:8 largely [4] - 1714:25, 1715:7, 1740:3, 1812:21 last [12] - 1749:24, 1777:21, 1778:21, 1796:6, 1803:18, 1804:13, 1817:11, 1866:25, 1870:1, 1871:2, 1871:9, 1871:12 late [1] - 1791:20 laughing [1] - 1793:9 law [72] - 1716:1, 1717:17, 1719:11, 1719:19, 1723:11, 1723:12, 1723:14, 1729:14, 1734:2, 1737:22, 1739:5, 1746:13, 1749:13, 1752:11, 1753:1, 1753:7, 1753:11, 1755:12, 1758:8, 1759:20, 1762:4, 1792:19, 1793:2, 1797:1, 1800:18, 1805:2, 1806:11, 1810:25, 1815:25, 1818:21, 1819:11,

1746:13, 1749:13, 1752:11, 1753:1, 1753:7, 1753:7, 1753:11, 1755:12, 1758:8, 1759:20, 1762:4, 1792:19, 1793:2, 1797:1, 1800:18, 1805:2, 1806:11, 1810:25, 1815:25, 1818:21, 1819:11, 1819:14, 1819:16, 1819:18, 1819:22, 1819:23, 1820:22, 1820:24, 1821:3, 1822:3, 1822:14, 1822:22, 1822:25, 1825:1, 1828:11, 1828:12, 1828:17, 1828:22, 1832:12, 1833:16, 1834:19, 1834:22, 1836:24, 1841:2, 1841:9, 1841:11, 1842:25, 1845:10, 1846:9, 1847:14, 1848:18, 1849:20, 1851:15, 1855:1, 1856:15, 1857:5, 1862:15, 1864:14, 1866:6, 1870:3

law-abiding [2] - 1719:19, 1753:1

laws [1] - 1847:21

laws [1] - 1816:1

lawsuit [1] - 1822:9

lawyer[1] - 1807:6

1762:25, 1763:4, 1765:16, 1768:19,

limited [6] - 1722:4, 1810:12, 1810:17, machetes [1] - 1749:14 lawyers [6] - 1714:14, 1774:13, 1819:12, 1820:6, 1820:21, 1827:1 1814:10, 1831:9 magazine [2] - 1718:19, 1840:4 lay [2] - 1763:25, 1811:18 line [7] - 1715:16, 1725:16, 1777:21, magna [1] - 1757:13 1797:14, 1852:3, 1853:15, 1853:20 lead [1] - 1855:10 mail [1] - 1817:12 lines [8] - 1732:6, 1737:17, 1738:14, leader [2] - 1730:13, 1730:18 maintain [3] - 1722:10, 1750:8, 1752:24 1739:25, 1769:4, 1799:13, 1840:25, leading [2] - 1717:19, 1748:23 maintained [1] - 1838:15 leap [1] - 1762:7 1861.9 major [1] - 1845:9 learn [3] - 1728:18, 1729:19, 1805:6 linkage [1] - 1788:7 majority [1] - 1835:9 list [4] - 1756:3, 1850:22, 1854:19, learned [11] - 1716:4, 1717:8, 1719:19, Maldonado [6] - 1719:25, 1720:2, 1725:4, 1726:7, 1727:2, 1731:7, 1856:19 1720:3, 1720:5, 1720:10, 1720:20 listen [4] - 1723:12, 1755:11, 1757:1, 1737:2, 1739:7, 1740:25, 1755:1 male [1] - 1748:11 1830:1 lease [1] - 1800:12 man [29] - 1717:23, 1720:21, 1721:8, listening [4] - 1796:25, 1818:11, leased [1] - 1836:13 1722:3, 1722:11, 1731:14, 1732:12, least [23] - 1723:22, 1724:4, 1732:1, 1818:12, 1829:24 1733:3, 1742:25, 1743:1, 1743:3, 1749:5, 1788:13, 1796:6, 1798:3, literally [3] - 1720:15, 1726:23, 1751:6 1746:4, 1746:9, 1746:14, 1746:16, 1806:4, 1811:19, 1813:6, 1815:6, live [2] - 1756:17, 1801:23 1752:15, 1757:10, 1759:14, 1771:12, lived [3] - 1719:24, 1755:7, 1780:8 1772:2, 1772:12, 1806:16, 1808:9, 1834:11, 1837:15, 1842:3, 1842:6, 1844:12, 1845:16, 1846:11, 1847:4, lives [3] - 1723:6, 1756:24, 1811:12 1809:22, 1810:21, 1812:3, 1812:4 living [4] - 1729:5, 1734:21, 1752:14, 1847:5, 1847:17, 1847:18, 1862:9 man's [1] - 1809:13 leave [13] - 1715:12, 1758:3, 1758:4, 1752:18 managed [1] - 1835:15 1758:9, 1758:19, 1758:21, 1763:9, load [1] - 1799:19 managers [1] - 1756:22 1776:6, 1776:10, 1784:11, 1792:13, loathsome [1] - 1806:11 manifestations [1] - 1853:9 1792:14, 1814:9 loathsomeness [1] - 1806:10 manipulate [1] - 1807:10 leaves [2] - 1790:24, 1801:10 local [1] - 1721:6 manipulation [1] - 1805:24 leaving [1] - 1784:9 located [3] - 1838:15, 1864:1, 1865:14 manner [4] - 1757:21, 1834:23, led [2] - 1719:11, 1719:13 location [17] - 1715:16, 1720:7, 1858:21, 1860:17 left [13] - 1745:1, 1746:22, 1746:25, 1722:12, 1728:13, 1728:14, 1730:24, manufactured [2] - 1729:8, 1740:1 1747:10, 1770:16, 1782:14, 1786:25, 1730:25, 1732:15, 1737:9, 1738:6, map [1] - 1801:18 1787:20, 1793:5, 1805:18, 1813:25 1743:6, 1745:5, 1745:6, 1745:7, marathon [1] - 1815:24 leg [1] - 1746:25 1747:18, 1752:6 March [18] - 1716:25, 1731:4, 1746:7, legal [4] - 1791:6, 1793:6, 1819:16, locations [6] - 1730:18, 1730:20, 1751:13, 1760:2, 1760:9, 1782:14, 1832.9 1755:23, 1756:1, 1836:4, 1836:10 1782:18, 1793:5, 1793:15, 1813:21, legitimacy [1] - 1747:25 lodge [1] - 1838:8 1834:11, 1837:24, 1838:20, 1838:23, logical [3] - 1825:12, 1826:8, 1853:12 legitimate [1] - 1828:16 1846:21, 1849:21, 1850:4 lend [1] - 1720:2 Lombard [1] - 1712:24 Mardi [1] - 1786:2 lending [1] - 1720:19 look [19] - 1727:16, 1729:18, 1744:4, Margarita [14] - 1720:22, 1720:24, less [5] - 1720:11, 1753:10, 1822:10, 1751:20, 1769:3, 1777:14, 1781:25, 1734:9, 1734:17, 1736:5, 1736:10, 1824:25. 1828:14 1782:1, 1782:2, 1793:16, 1795:18, 1743:14, 1743:16, 1763:19, 1763:24, lesser [1] - 1828:14 1798:24, 1798:25, 1800:23, 1814:13, 1783:20, 1783:21, 1784:18, 1801:3 1818:7, 1832:7 letter [2] - 1770:12, 1770:14 Maria [2] - 1728:1, 1810:11 looked [1] - 1773:21 letters [2] - 1783:2, 1785:25 Marin [1] - 1796:4 looking [3] - 1718:14, 1731:22, 1764:21 level [1] - 1746:20 mark [3] - 1747:7, 1773:21, 1773:22 looks [2] - 1798:25, 1801:4 Lexington [1] - 1804:7 marked [1] - 1826:15 Lord [1] - 1775:21 liability [1] - 1845:6 marks [1] - 1745:1 louder [1] - 1843:6 liberty [2] - 1757:7, 1806:18 married [1] - 1767:1 love [1] - 1743:15 license [1] - 1838:18 Marta [1] - 1712:4 lied [1] - 1790:10 lover [1] - 1782:8 martes [1] - 1786:2 Martin [3] - 1712:23, 1876:8, 1876:14 lower [1] - 1746:25 lieutenants [1] - 1815:5 lunch [2] - 1816:18, 1816:19 life [17] - 1716:2, 1722:3, 1743:22, Martinez [3] - 1719:6, 1749:4, 1796:18 Luncheon [1] - 1817:4 1743:23, 1750:11, 1770:22, 1781:22, Mary [1] - 1828:25 1781:24, 1805:16, 1805:19, 1811:5, lunes [1] - 1786:1 Maryann [1] - 1817:18 1811:8, 1811:14, 1811:22, 1859:11, lungs [1] - 1757:7 MARYLAND [1] - 1711:1 1859:15, 1874:17 lure [1] - 1744:11 Maryland [80] - 1711:8, 1712:24, light [5] - 1715:5, 1750:10, 1826:6, luxury [1] - 1807:1 1713:3, 1724:16, 1726:9, 1726:14, 1827:3, 1829:14 lying [2] - 1809:13, 1810:11 1726:18, 1731:17, 1732:4, 1732:17, lights [1] - 1715:13 1732:20, 1735:3, 1735:5, 1735:7, likelihood [1] - 1841:24 M 1735:16, 1736:6, 1736:11, 1736:14, likely [3] - 1720:5, 1772:13, 1772:14 1736:18, 1736:24, 1740:22, 1749:6, likewise [1] - 1874:3 1753:9, 1755:23, 1760:15, 1762:23, machete [1] - 1727:7

limb [1] - 1758:13

1768:20, 1782:14, 1782:15, 1787:21, 1724:3, 1725:24, 1753:1, 1754:16, 1791:13, 1801:13, 1813:22, 1814:1, 1776:15, 1777:3, 1816:17, 1819:24, 1834:3, 1834:7, 1834:12, 1835:2, 1842:14, 1843:18, 1845:22, 1847:15, 1835:25, 1836:4, 1836:10, 1836:14, 1848:19, 1849:1, 1852:15, 1867:8, 1836:17, 1837:3, 1837:16, 1837:19, 1871:8, 1875:12 1838:1, 1838:4, 1838:5, 1838:6, memories [1] - 1871:22 1838:13, 1838:17, 1838:25, 1839:6, memory [2] - 1777:25, 1827:25 1839:9. 1839:13. 1839:17. 1839:18. men [28] - 1714:22, 1715:9, 1715:13, 1839:20, 1839:25, 1840:6, 1840:9, 1715:17, 1717:21, 1718:9, 1719:14, 1840:14, 1840:21, 1840:24, 1842:7, 1719:18, 1725:2, 1725:7, 1726:20, 1850:4, 1850:24, 1851:15, 1854:20, 1728:8, 1730:6, 1738:18, 1742:1, 1856:15, 1856:21, 1862:11 1745:9, 1745:14, 1748:13, 1749:7, masks [1] - 1801:17 1749:11, 1752:18, 1752:23, 1767:25, Massachusetts [1] - 1815:20 1804:4, 1808:13, 1808:22, 1811:20, masterful [1] - 1789:21 1816:14 match [1] - 1780:2 mental [4] - 1750:13, 1809:20, 1809:21, material [3] - 1744:20, 1858:12 1859:11 materials [1] - 1835:20 mentioned [4] - 1741:20, 1807:25, mates [1] - 1796:17 1826:19, 1844:8 mere [7] - 1845:20, 1845:21, 1845:25, matter [12] - 1723:7, 1736:16, 1736:17, 1846:4, 1864:4, 1865:2, 1869:11 1756:11, 1798:8, 1814:6, 1822:3, merely [4] - 1829:15, 1833:5, 1846:7, 1825:22, 1833:14, 1844:19, 1861:19, 1853:22 1876:11 Merit [1] - 1876:8 **MATTER** [1] - 1711:10 matters [5] - 1747:25, 1761:15, merit [1] - 1806:22 1819:16, 1829:1, 1829:3 merits [2] - 1867:12, 1867:17 mattress [2] - 1811:17, 1839:13 message [4] - 1718:15, 1718:16, mattresses [2] - 1715:3, 1835:18 1718:21, 1751:22 Maximilliano [4] - 1718:3, 1718:12, messages [4] - 1751:15, 1751:16, 1749:3, 1801:5 1751:21, 1840:4 maximize [2] - 1721:24, 1752:24 messy [2] - 1757:16, 1757:17 mean [14] - 1754:3. 1767:23. 1782:9. met [14] - 1731:11, 1732:12, 1741:2, 1784:23, 1788:24, 1789:1, 1797:16, 1741:11, 1741:14, 1742:25, 1743:1, 1797:20, 1801:8, 1806:10, 1813:25, 1743:19, 1780:9, 1789:13, 1821:16, 1820:17, 1828:13, 1864:22 1832:6, 1842:15 Mexico [1] - 1764:5 meaning [2] - 1795:19, 1830:23 mic [1] - 1871:5 means [33] - 1721:25, 1722:4, 1739:15, 1752:15, 1783:17, 1786:5, 1786:10, Michael [3] - 1711:14, 1711:19, 1723:2 1787:1, 1834:23, 1835:22, 1842:18, middle [1] - 1784:9 1851:12, 1851:24, 1852:9, 1852:12, might [15] - 1727:12, 1737:25, 1768:15, 1856:14, 1856:24, 1857:11, 1857:24, 1780:2, 1781:4, 1800:24, 1801:23, 1858:2, 1858:10, 1858:16, 1859:19, 1803:24, 1803:25, 1805:9, 1807:2, 1860:8, 1860:16, 1861:3, 1863:23, 1814:3, 1821:23, 1845:18, 1862:20 1864:3, 1864:10, 1864:12, 1866:1, milieu [2] - 1805:20, 1805:22 1866:3, 1867:10 militiamen [1] - 1804:6 meant [1] - 1783:23 mind [9] - 1736:1, 1789:18, 1790:21, measure [1] - 1791:19 1833:2, 1847:20, 1853:25, 1854:3, measured [1] - 1845:6 1854:5, 1867:21 mechanics [1] - 1866:25 minds [2] - 1763:8, 1793:23 medical [1] - 1854:2 minimal [3] - 1738:20, 1861:13, 1861:19 medication [1] - 1753:25 minor [2] - 1845:9, 1845:18 meet [2] - 1737:4, 1793:6 minute [1] - 1872:8 meeting [1] - 1735:18 minutes [6] - 1714:17, 1715:9, 1754:18, member [22] - 1770:13, 1811:10, 1774:10, 1813:13, 1840:16 1841:4, 1842:5, 1842:6, 1843:15, miraculously [1] - 1717:11 1843:19, 1844:7, 1844:21, 1844:22, miscarriage [1] - 1767:2 1845:7, 1845:21, 1845:23, 1846:9, misery [1] - 1751:6 1848:12, 1848:16, 1848:23, 1849:11, misrepresentations [1] - 1744:17 1849:13, 1866:9, 1867:9, 1867:16 miss [1] - 1754:11 members [21] - 1714:12, 1719:20, mission [1] - 1721:3

misstatement [2] - 1744:19, 1858:11 mistake [6] - 1831:17, 1852:13, 1852:23, 1864:11, 1866:3, 1873:11 mistakenly [1] - 1858:5 mister [1] - 1787:16 mistreated [1] - 1752:21 mistreatment [1] - 1746:17 MIT [2] - 1777:20, 1806:20 mnemonic [1] - 1811:12 model [2] - 1725:4, 1745:12 modern [1] - 1756:24 modern-day [1] - 1756:24 moment [7] - 1722:23, 1722:24, 1741:17, 1766:13, 1777:19, 1821:21, 1874:24 momentary [1] - 1814:2 Monday [12] - 1737:2, 1737:4, 1738:5, 1786:1, 1814:11, 1815:21, 1815:22, 1836:4, 1839:7, 1839:23, 1840:7, 1840:11 money [25] - 1726:13, 1734:24, 1735:9, 1738:20, 1739:22, 1741:6, 1744:5, 1744:9, 1744:15, 1752:15, 1764:9, 1764:10, 1764:23, 1765:3, 1772:6, 1772:16, 1774:18, 1774:21, 1781:2, 1781:3, 1786:16, 1811:19, 1811:20, 1861:4, 1861:12 Montemarano [12] - 1711:19, 1777:3, 1777:6, 1806:19, 1806:22, 1807:3, 1807:7, 1808:25, 1813:15, 1815:15, 1817:8, 1832:21 MONTEMARANO [22] - 1713:7, 1713:11, 1713:13, 1713:21, 1753:17, 1753:21, 1753:25, 1754:3, 1754:13, 1776:25, 1777:7, 1802:9, 1817:9, 1817:17, 1818:2, 1818:6, 1818:9, 1818:15, 1869:18, 1870:21, 1873:12, 1874:3 month [4] - 1729:23, 1779:10, 1779:20, 1815:21 months [9] - 1717:8, 1717:19, 1728:14, 1730:5, 1731:1, 1731:11, 1751:12, 1779:18, 1788:2 Moran [5] - 1737:22, 1738:8, 1738:11, 1738:18, 1762:17 moreover [3] - 1841:16, 1844:24, 1846:6 morning [9] - 1713:5, 1713:9, 1714:9, 1714:10, 1737:23, 1754:22, 1754:23, 1776:15, 1777:10 mornings [1] - 1836:5 most [17] - 1731:22, 1744:4, 1744:5, 1752:22, 1773:19, 1778:20, 1778:22, 1779:2, 1799:20, 1800:13, 1804:4, 1805:19, 1807:5, 1811:8, 1811:12 **mostly** [1] - 1715:3 mother [2] - 1734:21 mother's [1] - 1741:1 motivating [1] - 1853:19 motive [1] - 1828:1

motives [1] - 1853:16 1864:19, 1864:22, 1865:7, 1865:21, news [1] - 1777:16 next [10] - 1715:16, 1719:1, 1728:17, mouth [2] - 1787:9, 1789:8 1865:25, 1868:23, 1868:25, 1869:4, 1870:3 move [6] - 1714:1, 1714:5, 1725:8, 1738:6, 1752:3, 1754:6, 1754:8, mutual [1] - 1842:20 1746:4, 1764:6, 1855:10 1761:7, 1770:16, 1843:17 Myra [1] - 1767:2 moved [4] - 1745:3, 1745:4, 1745:8, nice [4] - 1743:8, 1743:21, 1777:24, 1802:18 myriad [1] - 1768:17 1784:15 movement [8] - 1739:24, 1760:18, niche [1] - 1815:12 1760:24, 1761:19, 1761:25, 1851:24, Ν night [7] - 1717:15, 1720:3, 1777:21, 1856:2, 1861:3 1779:9, 1779:24, 1787:10, 1787:11 moves [1] - 1738:14 nine [1] - 1740:21 nail [2] - 1767:10, 1767:12 movie [1] - 1796:22 ninth [1] - 1872:23 name [16] - 1713:14, 1723:1, 1727:3, moving [2] - 1752:1, 1765:22 Nissan [3] - 1727:2, 1727:18, 1728:18 1727:8, 1727:13, 1728:12, 1729:10, MR [46] - 1713:7, 1713:11, 1713:13, nobody [2] - 1792:13, 1793:22 1733:5, 1760:25, 1762:16, 1766:23, 1713:21, 1713:24, 1741:21, 1753:17, nobody's [1] - 1796:13 1778:1, 1800:10, 1822:7, 1872:4 1753:21, 1753:25, 1754:3, 1754:10, **non** [3] - 1830:22, 1830:23, 1859:3 named [9] - 1717:12, 1721:11, 1722:1, 1754:13, 1754:14, 1754:21, 1754:24, non-English [2] - 1830:22, 1830:23 1731:14, 1732:12, 1737:21, 1740:19, 1774:11, 1776:25, 1777:7, 1802:9, non-physical [1] - 1859:3 1742:25, 1748:7 1804:19, 1809:15, 1810:7, 1817:9, none [5] - 1756:12, 1756:13, 1771:7, namely [1] - 1856:25 1817:17, 1818:2, 1818:6, 1818:9, 1781:18, 1828:9 names [5] - 1730:15, 1730:19, 1733:6, 1818:15, 1869:18, 1869:24, 1870:10, nonexistence [1] - 1824:23 1733:7, 1734:2 1870:12, 1870:16, 1870:21, 1870:22, noon [1] - 1776:17 Nancy [1] - 1796:3 1871:1, 1871:4, 1873:12, 1873:14, Norfo [2] - 1733:6, 1738:2 nasty [2] - 1774:4 1873:17, 1873:20, 1873:24, 1874:3, Norfolk [2] - 1736:25, 1737:7 nation [1] - 1816:1 1874:4, 1874:6, 1875:1 normally [1] - 1788:10 national [1] - 1821:18 MS [7] - 1713:25, 1714:6, 1714:20, **NORTHERN** [1] - 1711:2 **native** [2] - 1834:2, 1834:6 1722:19, 1728:22, 1741:20, 1741:23 nose [1] - 1783:16 nature [5] - 1821:23, 1843:2, 1845:16, Muerte [1] - 1718:20 note [6] - 1747:12, 1771:22, 1844:14, 1848:8, 1861:11 multimedia [1] - 1840:3 1867:7, 1867:14, 1867:19 near [3] - 1761:2, 1761:3, 1865:3 multiple [12] - 1722:15, 1722:19, notebook [1] - 1729:10 necessarily [4] - 1782:20, 1828:13, 1726:7, 1729:20, 1749:19, 1755:22, notebooks [1] - 1786:12 1846:2, 1865:11 1755:23, 1755:24, 1756:1, 1837:10, noted [5] - 1747:1, 1747:4, 1832:20, necessary [7] - 1714:3, 1714:4, 1846:9, 1840:8, 1840:13 1868:4, 1870:17 1853:14, 1867:6, 1868:24, 1875:8 multiple-count [1] - 1722:15 notes [5] - 1800:10, 1819:2, 1875:12, necessities [2] - 1715:10, 1735:21 murder [23] - 1717:15, 1717:19, 1875:14 need [19] - 1753:5, 1753:21, 1753:22, 1717:24, 1718:2, 1718:5, 1748:20, nothing [19] - 1748:10, 1749:15, 1753:7, 1761:14, 1770:12, 1828:7, 1842:14, 1756:19, 1759:2, 1779:14, 1779:15, 1772:24, 1775:23, 1778:23, 1779:15, 1842:16, 1844:21, 1844:23, 1844:24, 1779:21, 1779:24, 1787:23, 1794:14, 1779:19, 1783:18, 1787:2, 1790:13, 1845:2, 1846:22, 1847:10, 1852:17, 1795:22, 1795:23, 1796:3, 1798:20, 1791:4, 1791:6, 1795:23, 1799:24, 1799:3, 1808:16, 1809:10, 1809:19, 1860:10, 1865:24, 1867:24, 1872:11 1800:15, 1813:14, 1870:6, 1875:25 needed [2] - 1721:18, 1865:1 1837.9 notion [2] - 1805:11, 1810:21 needing [1] - 1872:12 murdered [3] - 1716:17, 1717:9, notwithstanding [3] - 1767:17, needn't [1] - 1775:5 1756:20 1790:21, 1805:9 needs [1] - 1794:17 murdering [1] - 1809:4 November [28] - 1718:24, 1719:4, neighborhood [2] - 1716:21, 1777:24 must [77] - 1758:4, 1758:7, 1765:19, 1721:9, 1733:9, 1737:24, 1750:1, Nelson [1] - 1783:12 1769:6, 1775:11, 1782:6, 1782:7, 1751:11, 1751:23, 1762:18, 1765:24, nervous [1] - 1720:20 1811:14, 1813:7, 1819:16, 1819:22, 1770:1, 1779:18, 1787:19, 1787:23, never [40] - 1741:2, 1741:11, 1745:12, 1820:5, 1821:13, 1821:25, 1822:16, 1795:1, 1795:2, 1812:6, 1834:12, 1823:11, 1823:24, 1825:4, 1826:9, 1745:13, 1767:8, 1772:20, 1773:7, 1840:18, 1840:19, 1840:23, 1846:22, 1827:7, 1830:19, 1830:22, 1830:25, 1774:14, 1784:20, 1786:19, 1789:9, 1850:11, 1850:24, 1856:21, 1862:3, 1832:19, 1842:1, 1842:9, 1842:20, 1790:1, 1790:2, 1790:4, 1790:6, 1862:10 1790:7, 1790:10, 1790:20, 1794:15, 1843:12, 1843:17, 1844:2, 1844:9, nowhere [1] - 1720:4 1844:15, 1846:10, 1846:15, 1846:25, 1797:9, 1797:13, 1798:6, 1798:7, nth [1] - 1793:19 1847:2, 1847:16, 1847:19, 1849:7, 1799:5, 1800:6, 1800:8, 1800:12, Number [9] - 1798:14, 1798:17, 1872:8, 1851:6, 1851:20, 1852:7, 1852:9, 1808:6, 1822:21, 1822:22, 1823:22, 1872:20, 1874:8, 1875:3, 1875:4, 1853:4, 1867:11, 1867:21, 1867:23, 1852:15, 1852:20, 1853:18, 1853:22, 1875:5 1855:5, 1855:13, 1855:21, 1856:4, 1872:22, 1873:12 number [38] - 1718:23, 1718:24, 1856:7, 1857:7, 1857:19, 1857:21, new [1] - 1752:6 1720:10, 1727:19, 1727:24, 1727:25, 1858:7, 1859:13, 1859:24, 1860:20, New [10] - 1731:25, 1732:2, 1734:23, 1728:1, 1728:4, 1728:5, 1728:6, 1860:25, 1861:17, 1862:17, 1862:24, 1735:6, 1735:9, 1736:11, 1764:8, 1729:10, 1729:11, 1729:17, 1729:18,

1764:24, 1765:2, 1765:15

1729:19, 1729:20, 1733:2, 1733:4,

1863:14, 1863:20, 1864:6, 1864:9,

October [5] - 1770:4, 1770:5, 1837:20,

1733:11, 1733:12, 1735:1, 1737:15, 1737:16, 1737:25, 1738:3, 1741:1, 1764:12, 1785:18, 1788:11, 1788:17, 1789:25, 1796:16, 1806:1, 1815:9 numbers [10] - 1717:17, 1717:18, 1717:19, 1717:20, 1717:22, 1729:21, 1738:5, 1785:19, 1788:6, 1797:9 numerically [1] - 1867:22 numerous [1] - 1733:13

0

o'clock [1] - 1816:24 oath [5] - 1749:1, 1789:15, 1804:10, 1866:19, 1867:14 Oath [1] - 1872:3 object [10] - 1746:17, 1747:22, 1772:20, 1772:21, 1782:6, 1820:19, 1841:15, 1842:17, 1842:19, 1847:18 objected [1] - 1821:1 objection [1] - 1741:21 objections [4] - 1820:8, 1869:18, 1869:20, 1869:23 objective [6] - 1793:8, 1794:1, 1795:18, 1842:8, 1843:23, 1848:1 objectively [2] - 1805:1, 1816:3 objectives [3] - 1845:3, 1846:8, 1846:11 objects [1] - 1837:13 obligated [5] - 1774:24, 1774:25, 1789:15, 1793:22 obligation [8] - 1756:25, 1758:2, 1790:19, 1800:19, 1803:5, 1808:22, 1819:4, 1823:19 observations [3] - 1748:3, 1748:18, 1810:15 observed [3] - 1721:21, 1749:2, 1824:16 obtain [1] - 1856:24 obtained [4] - 1739:15, 1857:10, 1857:23, 1860:8 obtaining [1] - 1790:14 obvious [9] - 1761:11, 1767:24, 1778:21, 1791:22, 1791:25, 1807:9, 1810:22, 1813:3, 1873:13 obviously [3] - 1767:23, 1822:4, 1864:23 occasion [14] - 1721:11, 1727:10, 1727:21, 1732:2, 1735:22, 1736:14, 1736:18, 1745:3, 1750:3, 1772:16, 1828:6, 1831:4, 1845:17 occasions [6] - 1736:19, 1748:25. 1749:2, 1749:15, 1749:19, 1750:2 occur[1] - 1811:7 occurred [14] - 1753:19, 1761:19, 1765:24, 1767:7, 1771:24, 1773:7, 1774:8, 1774:23, 1813:17, 1815:23, 1833:14, 1854:14, 1869:15, 1872:18 occurrence [4] - 1741:9, 1854:7,

1854:11, 1855:12

1840:15, 1840:18 odd [1] - 1796:11 **OF** [4] - 1711:1, 1711:4, 1713:1, 1877:6 offense [20] - 1734:11, 1775:18, 1832:25, 1833:1, 1834:18, 1834:22, 1841:10, 1841:14, 1842:10, 1843:13, 1847:3, 1849:20, 1850:8, 1850:20, 1851:4, 1854:24, 1858:6, 1863:4, 1863:5, 1869:3 offenses [3] - 1725:14, 1779:1, 1833:13 offer [5] - 1725:17, 1735:11, 1770:20, 1783:1, 1832:12 offered [9] - 1735:2, 1736:15, 1743:22, 1764:15, 1774:22, 1780:17, 1792:5 offers [1] - 1820:20 officer [3] - 1777:20, 1778:1, 1806:19 official [7] - 1828:12, 1830:9, 1830:14, 1830:15, 1830:17, 1830:20, 1830:23 officials [1] - 1828:11 often [4] - 1795:15, 1843:6, 1848:9, 1854:8 old [3] - 1740:18, 1740:21, 1794:18 older [1] - 1777:15 Olivia [3] - 1719:25, 1720:10, 1720:20 omission [2] - 1744:20, 1858:11 omissions [2] - 1848:16, 1848:21 ON [1] - 1711:10 once [16] - 1758:8, 1762:1, 1762:2, 1762:13, 1774:14, 1775:20, 1777:13, 1778:20, 1782:5, 1783:9, 1797:21, 1814:23, 1815:6, 1815:7, 1858:19 one [133] - 1713:16, 1716:24, 1718:22, 1719:2, 1719:6, 1720:19, 1721:9, 1721:10, 1721:20, 1723:9, 1723:22, 1724:2, 1724:4, 1724:9, 1725:8, 1728:24, 1731:7, 1732:1, 1732:8, 1734:5, 1735:8, 1735:9, 1735:22, 1735:23, 1740:23, 1741:17, 1743:24, 1745:3, 1745:25, 1746:3, 1747:5, 1748:9, 1748:25, 1749:8, 1749:14, 1749:20, 1749:22, 1749:23, 1750:3, 1756:21, 1757:5, 1757:25, 1760:4, 1761:2, 1761:7, 1767:2, 1767:22, 1769:1, 1769:16, 1771:24, 1772:5, 1773:14, 1773:19, 1774:22, 1775:25, 1777:4, 1778:18, 1781:4, 1782:4, 1782:6, 1782:7, 1782:12, 1785:14, 1785:20, 1789:7, 1789:20, 1789:25, 1796:11, 1796:15, 1797:11, 1797:13, 1797:15, 1798:8, 1799:20, 1800:7, 1801:12, 1801:16, 1804:4, 1806:13, 1806:24, 1807:1, 1807:5, 1811:15, 1812:12, 1812:17, 1812:19, 1812:24, 1813:16, 1814:18, 1815:5, 1817:11, 1817:23, 1817:24, 1823:18, 1824:5, 1824:14, 1825:9, 1825:17, 1830:3, 1832:25, 1837:14, 1837:15, 1838:9, 1842:3, 1842:6, 1845:17, 1845:22, 1847:4, 1847:5, 1847:8, 1847:12,

1847:17, 1847:18, 1851:25, 1854:5, 1856:2, 1858:12, 1861:5, 1866:9, 1867:3, 1867:8, 1867:24, 1869:1, 1872:11 one's [2] - 1854:3, 1854:5 one-sided [1] - 1807:1 ones [3] - 1735:19, 1767:16, 1784:23 online [1] - 1766:19 open [1] - 1867:13 opened [1] - 1719:20 opening [7] - 1714:17, 1725:1, 1725:10, 1755:2, 1806:2, 1815:1, 1820:7 operate [1] - 1815:25 operated [10] - 1732:7, 1784:23, 1815:9. 1835:2, 1835:15, 1837:18, 1837:25, 1838:3, 1838:12, 1839:16 operating [3] - 1726:8, 1726:25, 1784:22 operation [8] - 1719:12, 1724:14, 1730:17, 1731:2, 1737:3, 1749:13, 1839:10 operations [2] - 1731:8, 1815:11 operator [3] - 1749:5, 1784:25, 1785:1 opinion [13] - 1773:18, 1774:1, 1819:21, 1820:17, 1829:1, 1829:2, 1829:8, 1829:12, 1829:15, 1829:16, 1869:8, 1869:10 opinions [2] - 1821:5, 1829:9 opportunities [1] - 1805:16 opportunity [5] - 1782:25, 1790:5, 1792:5, 1813:6, 1827:24 opposed [1] - 1858:4 oppressed [4] - 1782:20, 1783:4, 1791:3, 1793:12 option [2] - 1796:15, 1796:16 options [3] - 1796:11, 1802:16, 1805:18 orally [1] - 1867:13 ordained [1] - 1778:6 order [25] - 1716:5, 1718:2, 1721:24, 1752:24, 1752:25, 1827:12, 1836:24, 1837:10, 1839:1, 1839:13, 1841:25, 1842:13, 1843:25, 1844:25, 1846:24, 1847:7, 1851:5, 1852:19, 1853:13, 1855:2, 1857:6, 1859:7, 1864:7, 1864:18, 1865:6 ordered [1] - 1826:24 ordinarily [1] - 1829:11 ordinary [2] - 1828:15, 1859:15 organization [1] - 1815:13 organizational [2] - 1814:20, 1814:22 organize [2] - 1875:16, 1875:19 organizer [1] - 1730:18 origin [1] - 1821:18 Oscar [1] - 1738:2 otherwise [4] - 1771:17, 1786:19, 1807:17, 1867:23 ought [2] - 1770:23, 1819:22 ourselves [2] - 1801:17, 1875:17 outcome [5] - 1824:10, 1828:2, 1828:19, 1844:2, 1844:4

1799:16, 1801:6, 1807:15, 1812:8,

outlier [1] - 1782:12 outline [1] - 1777:12 outlined [1] - 1765:25 outrageous [1] - 1806:23 outright [1] - 1742:16 outset [1] - 1803:5 outside [18] - 1715:5, 1715:14, 1731:19, 1745:5, 1745:14, 1758:9, 1758:19, 1758:22, 1763:9, 1776:7, 1777:14, 1808:18, 1809:16, 1827:7, 1836:4, 1836:10, 1836:17 outward [1] - 1853:9 overarching [1] - 1806:24 overcome [1] - 1859:14 overheard [1] - 1748:16 overnight [2] - 1765:3, 1790:11 overruled [1] - 1741:22 overt [9] - 1724:4, 1724:7, 1842:7, 1847:4, 1847:8, 1847:11, 1847:13, 1847:17, 1847:20 overwhelming [1] - 1726:3 own [18] - 1715:22, 1722:7, 1731:23, 1744:6, 1745:14, 1786:12, 1820:5, 1824:7, 1824:17, 1824:18, 1827:4, 1827:17, 1829:17, 1831:23, 1836:25, 1837:11, 1844:16, 1869:7 owned [2] - 1716:14, 1745:6 owner [2] - 1745:7, 1749:5 owners [1] - 1756:22 ownership [2] - 1808:20, 1809:19 owning [1] - 1809:5

Ρ

p.m [4] - 1816:20, 1817:2, 1817:4, 1817:5 Pacha [2] - 1732:12, 1733:4 pads [1] - 1867:19 PAGE [1] - 1877:6 Page [3] - 1869:25, 1870:2, 1871:8 pages [1] - 1763:15 paid [15] - 1765:2, 1767:19, 1774:13, 1774:16, 1777:22, 1784:11, 1784:13, 1784:17, 1784:19, 1785:2, 1786:8, 1786:11, 1792:14, 1800:6, 1810:20 painful [1] - 1741:13 palpably [2] - 1807:9, 1810:22 Pancho [3] - 1716:18, 1717:20, 1834:1 Pancho's [1] - 1729:10 pantyhose [1] - 1801:17 paper [3] - 1715:11, 1835:19, 1839:10 paragraph [4] - 1870:2, 1871:3, 1871:9, 1871:12 Paragraphs [1] - 1849:24 parents [1] - 1740:20 Park [1] - 1839:20 part [37] - 1726:17, 1754:11, 1755:14, 1787:4, 1787:22, 1794:13, 1800:3, 1801:2, 1802:4, 1809:6, 1815:14,

1816:11, 1828:8, 1832:1, 1833:23, 1834:25, 1835:3, 1835:7, 1835:11, 1835:14, 1835:17, 1835:21, 1836:1, 1836:7, 1836:12, 1836:15, 1836:18, 1836:21, 1837:1, 1837:4, 1837:8, 1843:10, 1845:1, 1864:6, 1865:10, 1866:25, 1871:24 participant [2] - 1844:1, 1846:18 participants [1] - 1843:9 participate [3] - 1724:14, 1843:21, 1845:18 participated [3] - 1813:1, 1845:17, 1846:10 participation [14] - 1733:13, 1739:16, 1803:13, 1803:14, 1803:15, 1837:6, 1844:15, 1845:4, 1845:7, 1846:5, 1857:2, 1857:12, 1858:1, 1860:9 particular [17] - 1718:9, 1733:11, 1740:16, 1741:2, 1746:9, 1750:11, 1762:10, 1762:11, 1763:15, 1789:24, 1800:20, 1841:24, 1852:16, 1852:18, 1853:6, 1859:10, 1870:3 particularly [1] - 1806:12 parties [3] - 1819:13, 1822:10, 1843:4 partisans [1] - 1869:12 partnership [2] - 1841:6, 1848:10 partnerships [1] - 1848:11 parts [4] - 1765:22, 1778:16, 1778:18, 1845:9 party [5] - 1821:10, 1822:9, 1827:15, 1831:1, 1831:2 pass [2] - 1717:6, 1819:25 passing [1] - 1800:15 past [2] - 1854:3, 1872:10 patriot [1] - 1804:7 Patriots [3] - 1815:17, 1815:18, 1815:19 pattern [1] - 1782:11 pay [7] - 1723:7, 1772:24, 1785:4, 1786:22, 1800:8, 1819:7 paycheck [1] - 1784:15 paying [1] - 1778:12 pecuniary [1] - 1774:12 Pelon [28] - 1716:12, 1716:16, 1716:19, 1717:2, 1717:7, 1717:9, 1717:15, 1717:18, 1717:24, 1718:2, 1718:5, 1718:7, 1728:6, 1746:16, 1748:20, 1756:21, 1759:2, 1787:24, 1787:25, 1788:13, 1794:14, 1796:7, 1796:12, 1808:11, 1808:14, 1808:17, 1808:20, 1809.19 Pelon's [5] - 1717:16, 1779:21, 1779:24, 1788:4, 1788:7 pens [1] - 1867:19 people [38] - 1716:3, 1717:18, 1718:1, 1720:23, 1723:25, 1724:18, 1725:16, 1725:17, 1726:24, 1730:3, 1741:11, 1743:15, 1749:1, 1752:21, 1753:9, 1754:4, 1757:3, 1759:12, 1759:24, 1778:7, 1783:20, 1784:4, 1784:24, 1786:5, 1786:6, 1789:9, 1796:1,

1812:9, 1815:8, 1815:9, 1815:10, 1816:1, 1842:22, 1848:11 people's [1] - 1756:24 per [2] - 1735:10 perceive [1] - 1791:4 perceived [1] - 1716:11 percent [1] - 1778:24 perfect [4] - 1780:21, 1781:12, 1783:6, 1792:9 perform [10] - 1745:14, 1747:21, 1772:15, 1773:10, 1821:9, 1821:11, 1845:7, 1845:8, 1859:6 performance [1] - 1787:12 performed [1] - 1773:14 performing [4] - 1750:17, 1768:14, 1768:23, 1859:7 perhaps [6] - 1758:17, 1767:2, 1779:10, 1805:9, 1806:5, 1875:18 period [8] - 1719:25, 1722:10, 1726:11, 1729:23, 1729:24, 1730:5, 1737:18, 1742:21 permit [1] - 1817:13 permitted [9] - 1714:1, 1715:12, 1735:19, 1792:14, 1824:6, 1826:4, 1828:25, 1829:2, 1829:20 person [44] - 1721:4, 1727:12, 1737:25, 1738:9, 1738:10, 1739:15, 1739:20, 1739:21, 1740:23, 1742:7, 1763:13, 1765:14, 1807:16, 1811:3, 1827:15, 1834:18, 1834:21, 1839:4, 1845:23, 1850:8. 1850:19. 1851:3. 1851:14. 1852:21, 1853:5, 1853:16, 1854:24, 1856:13, 1856:24, 1857:14, 1857:15, 1858:3, 1858:14, 1858:17, 1858:22, 1858:24, 1859:5, 1859:15, 1860:24, 1861:9, 1863:24, 1865:13, 1867:22 person's [2] - 1853:3, 1853:25 personal [4] - 1723:6, 1780:10, 1821:17, 1828:19 personally [2] - 1852:2, 1871:19 persons [10] - 1723:22, 1821:19, 1841:7, 1842:2, 1842:11, 1843:10, 1848:4, 1848:5, 1848:25, 1867:15 persuade [3] - 1765:7, 1834:19, 1854:21 persuaded [4] - 1734:12, 1800:24, 1855:7, 1855:23 persuading [2] - 1765:6, 1855:2 persuasion [2] - 1855:10, 1855:19 pertain [1] - 1868:8 petite [1] - 1744:8 phase [2] - 1714:13, 1714:14 phases [1] - 1714:12 Philadelphia [1] - 1796:22 phon) [1] - 1802:7 phone [39] - 1717:17, 1718:17, 1718:23, 1720:8, 1720:9, 1727:19, 1728:2, 1729:13, 1729:14, 1729:16, 1729:19, 1732:24, 1733:3, 1733:4, 1733:11,

1733:17, 1735:12, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1747:12, 1763:22 played [4] - 1759:14, 1830:1, 1845:18, 1756:2, 1764:12, 1787:25, 1788:1, 1864:6 predict [1] - 1725:11 1788:3, 1788:6, 1788:7, 1788:9, playing [4] - 1750:5, 1769:14, 1786:4, pregnant [8] - 1741:7, 1750:21, 1788:11, 1788:22, 1797:8, 1797:9, 1798:11 1750:24, 1751:2, 1751:8, 1751:12, 1797:15, 1838:24, 1839:4 plea [1] - 1752:2 1751:19, 1781:10 phones [5] - 1733:8, 1756:2, 1797:14, pleas [1] - 1822:19 prejudice [3] - 1763:9, 1820:25, 1797:17. 1838:18 pleased [1] - 1866:24 1821:10 Photograph [1] - 1728:21 pled [1] - 1822:18 preliminary [1] - 1833:2 photograph [5] - 1742:23, 1761:1, plenty [3] - 1727:6, 1784:21, 1793:21 premises [3] - 1772:5, 1772:6, 1772:10 1762:15, 1793:15, 1799:17 prepared [4] - 1792:3, 1814:25, plight [1] - 1757:19 **photographs** [7] - 1759:9, 1760:12, 1829:21, 1868:2 plus [1] - 1813:10 1761:4, 1768:21, 1780:13, 1782:19, prerogative [2] - 1766:9, 1766:15 PM [1] - 1711:9 1799:22 presence [5] - 1748:10, 1787:5, pockets [1] - 1715:17 phrase [3] - 1784:10, 1791:7, 1860:15 1814:10. 1845:20. 1865:22 point [17] - 1713:15, 1752:12, 1756:5, physical [18] - 1716:9, 1722:9, 1724:17, present [10] - 1712:2, 1728:25, 1741:6, 1766:13, 1779:16, 1782:1, 1782:14, 1726:22, 1729:3, 1733:16, 1746:9, 1745:19, 1746:4, 1782:5, 1817:13, 1783:4, 1783:11, 1792:3, 1797:11, 1747:7, 1750:12, 1785:8, 1789:10, 1823:20, 1835:10, 1864:25 1797:15, 1799:16, 1806:24, 1819:13 1805:25, 1809:21, 1858:17, 1859:2, presented [5] - 1813:11, 1826:3, pointed [4] - 1757:19, 1760:23, 1859:3, 1859:11, 1863:23 1827:9, 1829:4, 1830:16 1760:25, 1811:2 physically [4] - 1724:18, 1737:16, presenting [1] - 1814:17 Police [5] - 1770:14, 1770:21, 1777:22, 1837:21, 1865:12 1777:25, 1792:6 preside [1] - 1867:4 pick [6] - 1732:2, 1735:4, 1737:7, police [27] - 1720:1, 1720:7, 1720:21, presiding [1] - 1713:4 1738:13, 1766:8, 1772:16 1720:23, 1721:4, 1735:25, 1736:1, pressure [1] - 1757:11 picked [6] - 1735:6, 1738:11, 1762:22, 1743:17, 1745:4, 1748:9, 1749:8, presumed [1] - 1823:2 1763:4, 1766:19, 1799:16 1767:11, 1769:24, 1770:16, 1777:20, presumes [1] - 1822:25 picking [1] - 1799:23 1779:8, 1779:9, 1779:12, 1780:16, presumption [3] - 1821:19, 1823:7, picture [3] - 1718:15, 1718:19, 1728:24 1780:17, 1783:1, 1787:15, 1795:11, 1823:13 pictures [4] - 1728:19, 1736:8, 1761:16, 1795:14, 1795:16, 1815:11, 1839:1 pretend [1] - 1781:11 policies [1] - 1814:3 prettiest [1] - 1766:19 piece [3] - 1774:22, 1776:1, 1787:3 policy [1] - 1872:10 pretty [2] - 1744:8, 1797:14 pieces [1] - 1778:20 pooled [1] - 1781:3 prevail [1] - 1855:11 pile [1] - 1798:11 poor [3] - 1792:1, 1802:15, 1805:15 prevarication [1] - 1807:10 piling [1] - 1798:9 poorly [1] - 1785:7 previous [2] - 1828:6, 1869:23 pimp [4] - 1784:9, 1784:25, 1796:20 Portsmouth [5] - 1736:25, 1737:7, price [1] - 1777:22 pimps [4] - 1748:17, 1784:24, 1837:10, 1737:17, 1839:21, 1839:25 prime [1] - 1822:3 1837:11 posed [3] - 1742:10, 1742:11, 1798:18 Prince [1] - 1839:5 pink [2] - 1799:21 poses [1] - 1841:22 principles [1] - 1757:11 pistol [4] - 1719:5, 1840:4, 1840:5, position [2] - 1714:24, 1867:24 privilege [1] - 1723:2 1840:22 positive [1] - 1811:9 probability [1] - 1860:18 pistol-grip [1] - 1840:22 positively [1] - 1771:21 probation [1] - 1731:18 pity [1] - 1757:23 possess [3] - 1862:4, 1862:11, 1864:2 problem [3] - 1765:17, 1793:11, place [26] - 1717:22, 1720:2, 1725:8, possessed [12] - 1739:8, 1740:15, 1795:15 1727:22, 1756:17, 1760:1, 1761:7, 1775:6, 1838:17, 1862:22, 1863:15, problems [2] - 1814:4, 1814:5 1761:12, 1763:17, 1772:4, 1786:19, 1863:19, 1864:9, 1864:10, 1871:14, procedures [1] - 1867:1 1788:1, 1811:15, 1827:11, 1837:18, 1871:15, 1871:19 proceed [1] - 1863:10 1837:25, 1838:3, 1838:4, 1838:5, possessing [6] - 1750:9, 1866:8, **PROCEEDINGS** [2] - 1713:1, 1877:12 1838:12, 1838:22, 1839:8, 1839:14, 1866:10, 1871:10, 1871:17, 1871:18 **Proceedings** [1] - 1876:5 1854:14, 1863:25, 1865:14 possession [13] - 1738:2, 1740:9, proceedings [2] - 1731:5, 1876:10 placed [6] - 1718:17, 1720:16, 1731:4, 1750:6, 1862:1, 1863:21, 1863:22, PROCEEDINGS......[1] -1831:8, 1838:23, 1839:4 1863:23, 1863:24, 1864:4, 1865:3, places [10] - 1732:8, 1760:14, 1786:4, 1865:12, 1866:12, 1870:3 proceeds [4] - 1722:8, 1745:21, 1835:2, 1835:5, 1835:13, 1835:16, possible [7] - 1756:23, 1762:24, 1763:1, 1836:19, 1838:17 1835:20, 1839:16, 1840:13 1771:18, 1819:8, 1866:13 process [4] - 1821:24, 1825:21, **plain** [1] - 1783:16 posturing [1] - 1794:25 1874:13, 1875:19 plan [6] - 1744:11, 1777:13, 1801:19, power [5] - 1756:8, 1756:10, 1804:4, producing [1] - 1822:24 1844:10, 1845:16, 1846:5 1865:15, 1865:22 professional [2] - 1774:1, 1828:19 planned [3] - 1718:12, 1752:16, 1852:6 powerful [1] - 1804:5 profit [1] - 1715:21 plans [1] - 1724:6 practice [1] - 1805:7 profited [1] - 1714:22 plant [2] - 1742:23, 1742:24 precise [3] - 1763:22, 1774:14, 1842:18 profits [2] - 1721:24, 1752:24 play [3] - 1778:5, 1845:9 precisely [4] - 1730:7, 1742:10,

progression [1] - 1810:25 projectile [1] - 1864:16 promise [1] - 1790:2 $\textbf{promised}~ \cite{17} - 1714:16,~1743:23,$ 1754:17, 1770:8, 1777:3, 1800:8, 1813.9 promises [3] - 1722:3, 1744:16, 1787:8 promote [1] - 1864:3 promoting [1] - 1847:23 prompt [1] - 1853:17 prong [1] - 1817:22 pronouncing [2] - 1713:14, 1760:25 **proof** [17] - 1803:6, 1816:10, 1821:17, 1821:20, 1824:21, 1832:7, 1841:25, 1843:1, 1843:9, 1844:3, 1846:2, 1852:20, 1853:3, 1853:7, 1854:4, 1865:21 properly [4] - 1820:21, 1824:12, 1844:6, 1873:25 proposal [1] - 1870:9 propose [1] - 1870:14 proposition [1] - 1806:24 prosecuted [3] - 1862:14, 1862:20, 1863:1 prosecution [2] - 1822:6, 1822:20 prostitute [13] - 1717:11, 1719:25, 1721:11, 1732:16, 1735:3, 1744:10, 1748:7, 1752:7, 1764:7, 1764:20, 1812:10, 1812:11, 1812:12 prostitutes [10] - 1729:6, 1757:20, 1835:9, 1836:9, 1836:14, 1837:6, 1837:11, 1838:10, 1839:8 prostituting [2] - 1764:5, 1783:20 prostitution [152] - 1715:2, 1716:20, 1722:2, 1723:18, 1723:19, 1723:25, 1724:16, 1724:19, 1724:23, 1725:2, 1725:4, 1725:13, 1725:18, 1726:3, 1727:6, 1730:9, 1733:20, 1733:21, 1734:8, 1734:15, 1734:19, 1735:1, 1736:12, 1736:17, 1736:19, 1737:11, 1738:9, 1739:22, 1741:25, 1743:4, 1743:12, 1743:16, 1743:24, 1744:21, 1745:25, 1750:22, 1751:10, 1751:22, 1756:13, 1756:19, 1756:21, 1759:14, 1760:3, 1760:6, 1760:9, 1760:19, 1760:24, 1761:13, 1761:20, 1761:23, 1762:1, 1762:2, 1762:14, 1763:1, 1763:14, 1764:4, 1764:21, 1765:8, 1765:12, 1765:13, 1765:14, 1767:25, 1769:5, 1769:8, 1770:17, 1770:22, 1771:5, 1771:14, 1773:9, 1774:6, 1774:9, 1779:13, 1781:15, 1786:18, 1786:19, 1786:20, 1787:7, 1789:13, 1794:8, 1800:2, 1800:3, 1800:5, 1807:14, 1808:23, 1808:24, 1809:2, 1809:10, 1833:20, 1834:17, 1834:21, 1835:2, 1835:6, 1835:13, 1835:16, 1835:20, 1835:24, 1836:6, 1836:17, 1836:20, 1836:23, 1836:25, 1837:3, 1837:7, 1837:18, 1837:22, 1837:25,

1838:3, 1838:5, 1838:12, 1838:15, 1838:18, 1838:19, 1838:22, 1838:25, 1839:5, 1839:9, 1839:11, 1839:14, 1839:16, 1839:22, 1840:1, 1840:6, 1840:10, 1840:13, 1840:14, 1840:17, 1840:21, 1841:1, 1841:5, 1849:15, 1849:18, 1850:7, 1850:18, 1851:2, 1851:11. 1851:12. 1851:16. 1851:18. 1853:2, 1853:15, 1853:21, 1854:17, 1854:23, 1855:4, 1855:16, 1855:19, 1856:5, 1856:9, 1856:11, 1856:14 protect [2] - 1715:11, 1804:9 protecting [1] - 1777:21 protection [1] - 1778:15 protects [1] - 1803:6 prove [52] - 1739:12, 1740:11, 1773:13, 1808:22, 1809:7, 1815:3, 1822:20, 1823:21, 1823:22, 1824:21, 1832:11, 1842:1, 1842:9, 1842:20, 1843:12, 1847:2, 1847:8, 1847:16, 1851:5, 1851:6, 1851:20, 1852:1, 1852:9, 1852:20, 1853:1, 1853:14, 1853:18, 1855:5, 1855:21, 1856:4, 1856:7, 1857:6, 1857:7, 1857:21, 1858:7, 1859:24, 1860:20, 1860:25, 1861:17, 1861:24, 1862:17, 1862:24, 1863:7, 1863:14, 1863:19, 1863:21, 1864:13, 1864:18, 1864:19, 1865:6, 1865:7, proved [5] - 1714:15, 1825:16, 1832:16, 1844:20, 1860:13 proven [11] - 1823:5, 1823:17, 1826:5, 1833:9, 1852:14, 1852:24, 1855:13, 1856:4, 1857:19, 1865:17, 1865:19 provide [3] - 1810:13, 1856:24, 1858:2 provided [12] - 1713:8, 1717:17, 1717:20, 1739:14, 1817:10, 1817:11, 1830:20, 1838:9, 1857:10, 1857:23, 1860:7, 1875:8 provides [1] - 1727:17 providing [2] - 1806:17, 1811:2 psychological [1] - 1859:3 **public** [2] - 1741:10, 1841:22 puking [1] - 1803:2 pull [1] - 1721:13 **pulled** [1] - 1799:18 **punches** [1] - 1786:4 punishment [2] - 1866:13, 1866:20 purchased [4] - 1835:18, 1836:13, 1839:10, 1840:16 purchasing [1] - 1852:5 purpose [42] - 1736:19, 1760:1, 1761:12, 1761:20, 1761:22, 1761:24, 1762:10, 1762:25, 1767:17, 1768:14, 1768:23, 1769:4, 1771:14, 1774:5, 1775:7, 1775:24, 1789:11, 1802:2, 1809:8, 1810:12, 1810:14, 1810:18, 1831:9, 1839:21, 1840:1, 1840:9, 1840:14, 1840:25, 1841:8, 1842:18, 1842:19, 1843:22, 1846:16, 1847:19,

1848:18, 1853:15, 1853:20, 1855:4, 1861:10, 1863:12, 1869:11 purposely [4] - 1831:16, 1858:4, 1864:10, 1866:2 purposes [21] - 1722:7, 1723:18, 1723:19, 1723:25, 1724:16, 1724:19, 1725:18, 1726:2, 1730:9, 1733:20, 1733:21, 1734:8, 1760:19, 1760:24, 1762:14, 1800:2, 1844:13, 1846:7, 1846:11, 1853:16, 1861:8 push [1] - 1774:5 pushed [6] - 1746:24, 1747:14, 1773:6, 1773:24, 1774:3, 1774:5 put [8] - 1722:7, 1724:5, 1772:19, 1772:21, 1793:2, 1805:10, 1812:15, 1869:19 putting [1] - 1778:19 puzzle [1] - 1778:20

Q

qualifications [1] - 1829:9
QUARLES [1] - 1711:11
Quarles [3] - 1713:4, 1765:23, 1800:18
questioned [1] - 1769:17
questioner [1] - 1769:21
questioning [1] - 1769:23
questions [9] - 1742:10, 1742:11,
1790:5, 1793:11, 1798:12, 1803:23,
1807:3, 1820:8, 1820:23
quiet [3] - 1753:2, 1809:11, 1809:14
quite [4] - 1735:22, 1803:20, 1804:1,
1828:16
quote [1] - 1783:12

R R.D.F [2] - 1856:25, 1857:4 race [1] - 1821:18 Rachel [3] - 1711:15, 1723:1, 1798:25 racking [1] - 1794:14 rail [1] - 1873:8 raining [1] - 1777:14 raise [2] - 1782:3, 1872:2 rampant [1] - 1758:6 ran [1] - 1741:18 rape [2] - 1741:8, 1839:1 raped [1] - 1769:7 rare [2] - 1781:23, 1853:4 rarely [1] - 1854:4 rather [4] - 1744:10, 1751:16, 1805:10, 1846:24 rational [1] - 1853:11 Raudel [1] - 1806:6 raw [2] - 1758:22, 1776:6 RDF [1] - 1745:2 reached [4] - 1866:24, 1867:23,

1868:11, 1868:13

1798:23 regular [1] - 1744:10 reaching [5] - 1821:16, 1829:7, 1833:8, **REBUTTAL** [1] - 1877:10 1863:11, 1869:2 reintroduce [1] - 1722:24 read [9] - 1724:8, 1763:16, 1793:23, recalled [1] - 1763:25 related [5] - 1722:19, 1725:24, 1751:21, 1850:1, 1850:22, 1854:19, 1856:19, receive [1] - 1871:22 1751:22, 1833:22 1869:21, 1871:23 received [10] - 1820:13, 1826:14, relates [4] - 1734:9, 1736:23, 1738:24, reader [1] - 1790:21 1826:16, 1826:17, 1829:23, 1830:5, 1775:19 readily [1] - 1864:16 1848:7, 1851:14, 1856:13, 1860:24 relating [3] - 1833:19, 1841:4, 1866:25 reading [1] - 1818:8 receiving [4] - 1857:1, 1857:11, relation [10] - 1740:16, 1803:11, reads [3] - 1833:23, 1849:23, 1850:11 1857:25, 1860:9 1803:12, 1862:5, 1862:13, 1862:23, ready [6] - 1713:6, 1713:23, 1753:8, recess [6] - 1776:19, 1816:25, 1817:2, 1863:17, 1864:21 1776:24, 1777:10, 1818:14 1817:4, 1876:2, 1876:4 relationship [18] - 1768:5, 1771:7, Recess [1] - 1776:21 1771:9, 1771:17, 1771:19, 1780:11, real [7] - 1727:12, 1740:4, 1743:7, 1780:19, 1780:21, 1780:23, 1780:24, 1748:2, 1752:15, 1804:7, 1843:5 recipient [1] - 1858:25 reality [2] - 1768:4 reckless [12] - 1783:16, 1791:7, 1781:7, 1781:12, 1781:13, 1783:6, realize [1] - 1777:14 1791:23, 1792:25, 1793:1, 1793:2, 1788:15, 1791:21, 1792:3, 1792:8 relationships [1] - 1781:20 realleged [1] - 1862:7 1793:4, 1817:14, 1817:21, 1860:14, relatively [2] - 1742:21, 1814:10 1860:15 really [9] - 1763:20, 1767:8, 1777:15, recollection [5] - 1764:2, 1782:16, relevant [8] - 1725:21, 1725:22, 1797:2, 1797:25, 1812:14, 1812:15, 1803:21, 1803:22, 1820:6 1725:23, 1731:21, 1748:21, 1750:14, 1812:20, 1872:21 reconsider [1] - 1795:6 1833:23, 1833:24 Realtime [1] - 1876:9 record [7] - 1713:17, 1818:24, 1819:5, relied [2] - 1725:5, 1818:1 reason [16] - 1718:5, 1718:6, 1740:5, 1761:11, 1763:3, 1771:4, 1771:11, 1854:3, 1869:19, 1872:4, 1876:10 religion [1] - 1821:18 1795:14, 1804:14, 1807:22, 1822:22, recorded [4] - 1749:9, 1770:1, 1779:19, relive [1] - 1741:13 1824:22, 1825:8, 1829:17, 1848:7, 1830:16 rely [2] - 1799:25, 1820:5 recording [1] - 1830:17 1852:13 relying [1] - 1858:14 reasonable [70] - 1739:13, 1740:11, recordings [5] - 1829:22, 1829:25, remain [1] - 1874:6 1748:1, 1748:17, 1750:19, 1753:6, 1830:2, 1830:4, 1832:12 remaining [1] - 1782:23 1759:15, 1760:6, 1760:10, 1761:24, records [7] - 1720:8, 1720:9, 1728:5, remains [1] - 1823:14 1762:4, 1762:9, 1763:3, 1767:6, 1732:24, 1733:18, 1735:12, 1797:9 remarkably [1] - 1719:2 1768:12, 1771:16, 1774:7, 1775:20, recovered [10] - 1719:1, 1719:25, remember [25] - 1719:22, 1720:23, 1778:7. 1796:2. 1816:11. 1820:3. 1729:4, 1729:7, 1729:10, 1737:23, 1721:8, 1731:20, 1741:20, 1747:10, 1822:21, 1823:5, 1823:9, 1823:17, 1746:5, 1749:13, 1751:4, 1752:8 1747:13, 1750:2, 1751:8, 1775:14, 1823:22, 1825:4, 1826:5, 1826:9, recruit [1] - 1856:23 1776:16, 1780:15, 1780:20, 1789:15, 1826:10, 1827:4, 1832:16, 1833:9, recruited [9] - 1731:16, 1739:14, 1790:24, 1793:14, 1798:19, 1799:22, 1842:2, 1842:10, 1843:13, 1844:17, 1744:2, 1766:3, 1771:2, 1835:9, 1800:10, 1803:21, 1811:25, 1822:17, 1847:3, 1847:16, 1848:22, 1851:7, 1857:9, 1857:23, 1860:7 1869:12, 1871:23, 1875:13 1851:21, 1852:15, 1853:19, 1854:14, recruiting [2] - 1768:10, 1857:19 Remember [1] - 1796:17 1855:6, 1855:22, 1856:8, 1857:8, recruitment [2] - 1768:7, 1768:9 remembered [1] - 1749:20 1857:22, 1858:7, 1858:14, 1858:22. recycling [2] - 1742:23, 1742:24 remind [4] - 1739:17, 1826:7, 1829:18, 1858:24, 1859:5, 1859:16, 1859:17, red [4] - 1759:6, 1776:2, 1808:11, 1833:4 1860:13, 1860:17, 1860:21, 1861:1, 1813:25 reminded [2] - 1796:22, 1815:24 1862:17, 1862:25, 1863:7, 1863:15, redraft [1] - 1777:11 reminds [1] - 1798:11 1864:19, 1865:7, 1866:8, 1866:18 reexamine [1] - 1869:7 removed [3] - 1740:20, 1810:2, 1810:6 reasonableness [1] - 1748:21 reference [4] - 1815:17, 1850:1, render [2] - 1816:4, 1846:24 reasonably [4] - 1750:16, 1848:15, 1850:14, 1850:23 rent [2] - 1800:6, 1800:12 1858:13, 1859:9 referred [3] - 1798:15, 1799:18, 1848:9 rental [1] - 1838:21 reasoned [1] - 1825:12 referring [1] - 1864:25 rented [1] - 1835:5 reasons [3] - 1808:21, 1829:9, 1873:13 refers [2] - 1785:18, 1841:12 repair [1] - 1716:15 Rebeca [39] - 1717:13, 1722:1, 1722:12, Repalo [5] - 1718:4, 1718:12, 1718:13, refined [1] - 1755:3 1728:25, 1740:17, 1743:17, 1745:2, refused [3] - 1745:8, 1745:15, 1772:19 1749:3, 1801:5 1753:3, 1766:1, 1767:2, 1767:3, refusing [3] - 1747:21, 1783:17, repeat [2] - 1804:21, 1821:25 1775:8, 1779:6, 1781:18, 1782:4, 1837:22 repeatedly [1] - 1769:10 1782:15, 1782:21, 1783:10, 1789:10, regard [7] - 1760:12, 1787:6, 1806:11, repetitive [1] - 1778:9 1791:22, 1792:24, 1793:8, 1793:18, 1810:23, 1843:6, 1843:25, 1847:19 replace [1] - 1758:7 1802:2, 1802:16, 1803:7, 1807:17, regarding [3] - 1785:20, 1792:24, replaced [1] - 1784:12 1809:8, 1809:17, 1809:18, 1810:16, 1804:15 reply [1] - 1868:20 1810:23, 1813:6, 1856:25, 1857:10, regardless [1] - 1819:21 report [4] - 1735:23, 1736:4, 1747:5, 1857:24, 1858:9 Registered [1] - 1876:8 1755.8 Rebeca's [8] - 1747:9, 1781:24, 1782:4, registration [2] - 1727:8, 1727:18 reported [3] - 1805:5, 1836:22, 1839:1 1782:12, 1782:25, 1790:3, 1791:20,

regret [1] - 1802:18

Reported [1] - 1712:22 **REPORTER** [1] - 1802:8 reporter [1] - 1830:15 Reporter [2] - 1876:8, 1876:9 reprehensible [1] - 1806:14 represent [3] - 1754:24, 1827:12, representation [2] - 1808:7, 1858:14 represented [1] - 1873:25 representing [1] - 1723:3 reputation [1] - 1785:6 reputational [1] - 1859:4 request [3] - 1770:20, 1820:23, 1874:9 requested [1] - 1804:25 requesting [1] - 1713:18 require [3] - 1801:11, 1809:1, 1853:1 required [11] - 1726:16, 1734:1, 1823:22, 1824:4, 1825:24, 1846:9, 1847:8, 1853:7, 1861:24, 1864:13, requirement [3] - 1725:15, 1832:10, 1832:11 requires [9] - 1739:12, 1740:11, 1792:19, 1801:12, 1808:5, 1825:3, 1833:16, 1845:10, 1855:19 rescuing [1] - 1783:5 residence [5] - 1727:22, 1728:18, 1728:19, 1733:9, 1811:16 resident [1] - 1729:6 residing [2] - 1834:3, 1834:7 resigned [2] - 1752:13, 1792:12 resisted [1] - 1745:1 resources [1] - 1755:19 respect [19] - 1715:20, 1725:23, 1730:24, 1732:25, 1737:21, 1739:20, 1740:2, 1740:3, 1740:6, 1740:7, 1743:8, 1747:3, 1749:18, 1799:7, 1808:1, 1816:8, 1857:14, 1858:9, 1860:6 respectively [1] - 1850:11 respond [1] - 1807:11 response [3] - 1745:17, 1773:17, 1807:4 responsibilities [1] - 1815:25 responsibility [5] - 1718:4, 1723:3. 1808:3, 1812:9, 1837:9 responsible [9] - 1715:17, 1721:4, 1729:2, 1742:2, 1746:23, 1748:19, 1812:1, 1812:12, 1848:20 rest [4] - 1738:21, 1776:10, 1784:10, 1799:6 restated [1] - 1869:23 restaurant [1] - 1743:1 restrained [1] - 1790:7 restraint [2] - 1787:2, 1858:17 rests [2] - 1829:19, 1866:16 result [13] - 1722:14, 1742:18, 1745:20, 1751:2, 1771:17, 1771:19, 1771:25, 1773:3, 1773:4, 1773:9, 1774:8, 1790:14, 1822:19

resulted [1] - 1741:7 resume [1] - 1816:20 resumes [2] - 1776:23, 1817:7 Retantelco [1] - 1740:19 retire [2] - 1819:19, 1867:2 return [16] - 1753:10, 1757:1, 1758:5, 1758:10, 1758:20, 1758:25, 1760:10, 1762:11, 1763:10, 1770:22, 1804:15, 1814:11, 1832:19, 1868:24, 1876:1, 1876:4 returning [1] - 1869:11 reveal [1] - 1867:21 revealed [2] - 1717:25, 1719:17 reveals [1] - 1752:3 revert [1] - 1870:4 review [3] - 1722:22, 1739:10, 1752:8 reviewing [1] - 1828:21 revised [1] - 1817:10 revulsion [1] - 1803:1 Reves [1] - 1748:14 Richmond [1] - 1834:7 rid [1] - 1749:16 ridiculous [1] - 1810:20 ridiculousness [1] - 1810:10 riding [2] - 1757:12, 1865:21 rights [6] - 1799:8, 1806:18, 1816:2, 1816:5, 1816:7, 1816:8 rise [7] - 1713:2, 1776:18, 1776:22, 1817:1, 1817:6, 1872:7, 1876:3 rival [2] - 1796:10 RMR [2] - 1712:23, 1876:14 road [2] - 1791:8, 1791:10 **rob** [2] - 1748:9, 1801:15 robberies [1] - 1749:7 robbery [3] - 1801:16, 1801:20, 1812:12 rocks [3] - 1720:17, 1746:24, 1747:15 role [11] - 1759:15, 1778:5, 1778:12, 1779:13, 1781:8, 1790:18, 1792:13, 1801:8, 1845:10, 1845:18 roles [1] - 1845:9 roll [1] - 1868:16 romantically [1] - 1767:21 room [24] - 1726:6, 1729:4, 1729:7, 1735:18, 1738:19, 1739:18, 1751:5, 1752:14, 1753:11, 1755:13, 1758:6, 1758:9, 1758:19, 1758:22, 1763:9, 1776:4, 1776:7, 1795:25, 1819:19, 1824:3, 1867:2, 1867:18, 1868:10 Room [1] - 1712:23 rooms [2] - 1715:4, 1730:23 ropes [1] - 1786:25 rotated [2] - 1732:5, 1732:8 rough [1] - 1815:13 rounds [1] - 1784:25 row [2] - 1715:15, 1748:13 rubbing [3] - 1715:11, 1760:13, 1835:19 rule [3] - 1716:1, 1819:21, 1825:1 ruling [1] - 1821:3 rulings [2] - 1820:22, 1821:4

run [2] - 1758:6, 1792:11
running [8] - 1716:22, 1729:2, 1731:2,
1731:7, 1732:14, 1787:9, 1789:8,
1796:17
RUTER [15] - 1713:24, 1741:21,
1754:10, 1754:14, 1754:21, 1754:24,
1774:11, 1869:24, 1870:10, 1870:12,
1873:14, 1873:17, 1873:20, 1873:24,
1875:1
Ruter [12] - 1711:17, 1754:9, 1754:17,
1754:20, 1776:14, 1789:21, 1795:6,
1799:18, 1803:24, 1806:21, 1807:2,
1808:1
Ruter's [2] - 1754:12, 1813:3

S

sad [2] - 1811:9, 1815:16 sadly [1] - 1815:22 safe [1] - 1745:10 safer [1] - 1816:13 safety [3] - 1770:19, 1841:22 sale [2] - 1714:23, 1752:19 **Salvador** [2] - 1792:2, 1834:2 Sandra [1] - 1719:22 Santa [1] - 1718:20 Santiago [15] - 1720:22, 1720:24, 1734:9, 1734:17, 1735:15, 1736:5, 1736:11, 1743:14, 1743:16, 1763:19, 1763:24, 1764:13, 1783:21, 1784:8, 1801:3 satisfied [7] - 1823:4, 1825:4, 1826:10, 1843:16, 1860:12, 1863:13, 1864:8 satisfy [8] - 1841:25, 1842:13, 1847:7, 1852:3, 1859:24, 1861:17, 1862:16, 1865:25 save [2] - 1733:6, 1827:12 saved [4] - 1733:2, 1733:4, 1733:6, 1733:7 saving [1] - 1783:5 saw [60] - 1715:22, 1716:20, 1717:14, 1718:15, 1720:8, 1720:12, 1721:6, 1721:10, 1721:14, 1726:22, 1728:11, 1728:19, 1732:16, 1732:24, 1733:1, 1733:17, 1735:12, 1735:13, 1736:8, 1737:1, 1737:14, 1738:4, 1742:23, 1744:7, 1747:9, 1747:15, 1748:24, 1749:19, 1749:23, 1749:24, 1751:2, 1751:4, 1752:9, 1752:13, 1757:24, 1758:12, 1760:3, 1760:16, 1761:7, 1762:15, 1769:11, 1774:14, 1775:18, 1775:21, 1779:24, 1779:25, 1780:4, 1786:13, 1787:16, 1789:6, 1793:17, 1799:14, 1799:15, 1812:9, 1812:10, 1814:12, 1815:14, 1824:15 scar [2] - 1747:15

1814:12, 1815:14, 1824:15 scar [2] - 1747:15 scare [1] - 1752:25 scared [6] - 1720:5, 1721:22, 1750:6, 1788:19, 1793:12, 1793:16

scene [2] - 1845:20, 1864:5 sensitive [1] - 1713:14 sexual [24] - 1715:2, 1715:21, 1722:7, scheduling [1] - 1713:17 sent [6] - 1718:22, 1734:19, 1751:18, 1724:22, 1745:21, 1747:22, 1834:17, scheme [6] - 1842:17, 1842:18, 1844:4, 1751:22, 1764:8 1834:21, 1849:18, 1850:7, 1850:18, 1851:2, 1851:11, 1851:13, 1851:14, 1845:10, 1847:24, 1849:9 sentence [1] - 1866:16 separate [6] - 1739:4, 1773:12, 1832:19, 1854:23, 1855:4, 1855:16, 1856:10, school [1] - 1740:20 1841:10, 1841:20, 1845:7 1856:12, 1856:13, 1857:15, 1859:7, science [1] - 1854:2 1859:18 scope [1] - 1844:25 separately [4] - 1725:19, 1789:16, shabby [1] - 1811:16 scrub [1] - 1808:15 1789:17, 1832:19 shackles [4] - 1809:20, 1809:21, separating [1] - 1763:20 seamy [1] - 1805:11 1809:22 search [3] - 1755:22, 1756:1, 1767:12 September [34] - 1720:24, 1720:25, 1727:1, 1730:14, 1731:11, 1734:9, **shame** [1] - 1741:24 searched [4] - 1727:5, 1728:19, 1734:25, 1735:14, 1743:18, 1748:19, **shape** [6] - 1747:17, 1781:12, 1790:1, 1730:20, 1743:6 1760:2, 1763:17, 1763:18, 1763:23, 1790:17, 1800:1, 1801:9 searches [2] - 1755:24, 1755:25 1765:24, 1769:25, 1770:8, 1779:9, shared [2] - 1745:22, 1836:19 Seat [1] - 1875:4 1779:11, 1780:7, 1783:1, 1837:17, sheds [1] - 1847:22 seated [4] - 1713:5, 1714:11, 1777:2, 1838:2, 1838:7, 1838:11, 1838:14, sheet [6] - 1713:9, 1730:25, 1785:23, 1818.18 1840:7, 1840:11, 1840:12, 1849:21, 1817:11, 1819:1, 1832:23 second [11] - 1765:4, 1842:4, 1843:12, 1850:3, 1854:20, 1856:20, 1862:10 sheetless [1] - 1811:17 1851:9, 1855:13, 1856:4, 1857:12, series [1] - 1854:10 sheets [7] - 1730:15, 1730:18, 1730:19, 1858:6, 1860:3, 1862:21, 1863:14 serious [10] - 1723:7, 1822:5, 1858:17, 1738:10, 1738:19, 1838:15 secondarily [2] - 1809:7, 1812:2 1858:18, 1858:23, 1858:25, 1859:2, shelter [2] - 1744:13, 1858:2 secondly [1] - 1713:17 1859:9, 1859:13, 1859:23 shielded [1] - 1715:6 seconds [1] - 1777:8 seriously [2] - 1717:4, 1804:10 shifts [1] - 1822:21 secrecy [1] - 1843:2 served [1] - 1748:4 shirt [2] - 1799:21, 1799:22 Section [1] - 1780:13 serves [1] - 1747:19 shock [1] - 1781:16 section [1] - 1863:3 service [12] - 1715:8, 1721:12, 1777:23, shocking [1] - 1794:7 sector[1] - 1806:4 1778:2, 1786:6, 1806:17, 1861:14, shoes [1] - 1777:15 security [1] - 1806:19 1872:9, 1873:2, 1874:16, 1875:6, shoot [2] - 1793:10 see [36] - 1716:25, 1720:9, 1726:5, shooter [1] - 1780:3 1728:4, 1729:17, 1730:2, 1732:15, services [2] - 1861:4, 1872:13 shop [1] - 1716:15 1732:17, 1733:12, 1734:24, 1737:5, session [4] - 1713:3, 1776:23, 1817:5, shorter [1] - 1816:17 1737:16, 1737:25, 1738:1, 1739:16, 1751:6, 1751:7, 1760:11, 1761:1, shorthanded [1] - 1784:10 set [8] - 1716:7, 1719:8, 1721:25, 1774:18, 1775:5, 1780:5, 1782:22, shorting [1] - 1785:6 1758:7, 1811:9, 1825:17, 1850:13, 1783:13, 1791:4, 1791:14, 1792:22, shot [1] - 1803:19 1850:22 1793:23, 1795:1, 1797:2, 1799:21, shotgun [3] - 1719:6, 1796:19, 1840:22 setting [1] - 1754:4 **shoulders** [1] - 1757:12 1801:20, 1827:24, 1868:3, 1872:10 seven [4] - 1715:14, 1749:6, 1832:17, seedy [1] - 1811:16 **show** [12] - 1748:12, 1759:8, 1759:9, 1832:22 seeing [4] - 1715:6, 1751:2, 1783:16 1767:11, 1785:14, 1795:7, 1814:23, several [9] - 1724:7, 1726:8, 1748:25, seek [1] - 1755:8 1815:3, 1820:24, 1847:12, 1868:5 1750:2, 1755:18, 1784:23, 1818:25, seem [2] - 1766:25, 1794:25 **showed** [4] - 1717:5, 1727:13, 1785:15, 1853:16 sees [2] - 1782:3, 1824:18 1815:1 sex [68] - 1716:5, 1718:2, 1719:11, seized [6] - 1726:22, 1729:13, 1733:16, showing [2] - 1729:5, 1751:21 1719:18, 1722:21, 1729:9, 1738:18, 1738:16, 1786:3, 1803:10 shown [4] - 1797:13, 1806:1, 1853:5, 1738:24, 1739:3, 1739:22, 1739:23, select [1] - 1867:2 1854:9 1740:10, 1740:13, 1745:9, 1745:14, self [1] - 1747:18 shows [4] - 1726:23, 1727:14, 1767:20, 1745:20, 1749:11, 1750:9, 1750:17, 1789:19 semi [2] - 1718:19, 1840:4 1766:20, 1767:22, 1768:14, 1768:24, **shuttled** [1] - 1715:15 semi-automatic [2] - 1718:19, 1840:4 1771:25, 1772:2, 1772:8, 1772:11, sic) [2] - 1760:1, 1766:1 send [5] - 1734:23, 1764:10, 1764:23, 1772:12, 1772:23, 1772:25, 1775:7, 1840:3, 1867:7 sickened [1] - 1804:11 1775:18, 1775:25, 1780:25, 1782:9, sending [1] - 1826:18 side [4] - 1777:23, 1817:24, 1820:19, 1789:11, 1789:12, 1789:24, 1790:15, sends [2] - 1751:23, 1751:25 1820:20 1792:24, 1794:8, 1799:11, 1802:2, sided [1] - 1807:1 sense [25] - 1749:12, 1772:17, 1780:25, 1805:7, 1806:3, 1808:24, 1809:1, 1781:1, 1784:20, 1785:5, 1785:9, sign [4] - 1768:3, 1786:7, 1800:11, 1811:19, 1812:19, 1821:18, 1833:23, 1788:22, 1788:24, 1796:7, 1797:6, 1868:12 1837:22, 1856:17, 1857:4, 1857:6, 1797:23, 1797:25, 1799:9, 1824:22, signal [1] - 1783:13 1859:22, 1860:3, 1860:19, 1860:22, 1825:9, 1826:2, 1827:4, 1827:18, signed [2] - 1867:8, 1867:10 1860:23, 1862:6, 1863:4, 1863:5, 1829:17, 1831:21, 1843:5, 1866:14, significance [1] - 1823:24 1863:9, 1870:6, 1870:7 1866:22 significant [7] - 1726:11, 1727:13, Sex [1] - 1765:21 senses [1] - 1824:18 1747:4, 1747:7, 1747:15, 1764:17, sex-trafficking [2] - 1775:18, 1805:7 sensible [1] - 1798:3

1853:19 1749:25, 1799:2, 1802:8, 1830:15 1755:23, 1767:15, 1768:22, 1769:4, significantly [1] - 1809:7 1789:18, 1799:13, 1804:7, 1819:21, sort [8] - 1725:6, 1749:10, 1789:4, 1840:9, 1840:25, 1851:25, 1852:2, silver [1] - 1749:22 1798:9, 1808:11, 1867:19, 1868:6 similar [2] - 1719:2, 1728:24 sought [1] - 1834:24 1853:15, 1853:20, 1853:24, 1854:4, sound [6] - 1777:22, 1778:9, 1782:20, 1856:3, 1861:5, 1861:8, 1861:9, similarity [2] - 1833:17, 1845:25 1783:3, 1783:4, 1793:11 1872:4 simple [3] - 1724:19, 1739:24, 1822:22 State [1] - 1815:20 simply [10] - 1725:20, 1732:6, 1744:19, sounds [1] - 1773:7 statement [18] - 1795:8, 1806:2, 1815:2, 1746:11, 1749:13, 1763:8, 1825:3, south [1] - 1777:23 1815:16, 1828:6, 1831:4, 1831:6, 1851:24, 1858:2, 1861:3 Spanish [3] - 1712:3, 1712:4, 1785:25 1831:8, 1831:12, 1831:16, 1831:24, single [6] - 1742:20, 1746:5, 1810:14, spans [1] - 1755:15 1831:25, 1858:15, 1858:18, 1858:21, spare [1] - 1804:21 1816:9, 1819:17, 1845:11 1858:22, 1858:24, 1870:2 sip [1] - 1742:8 speaks [2] - 1730:4, 1730:5 statements [17] - 1725:1, 1725:11, sit [2] - 1719:14, 1874:23 Special [6] - 1712:3, 1723:5, 1743:5, 1820:7, 1827:1, 1843:8, 1844:16, site [1] - 1865:3 1751:14, 1761:5, 1806:12 1848:3, 1848:5, 1848:16, 1848:20, sitting [4] - 1757:8, 1812:3, 1815:23, special [2] - 1780:23, 1829:3 1848:24, 1849:3, 1849:5, 1849:8, 1816:6 specific [9] - 1732:21, 1733:25, 1849:10, 1862:8, 1869:23 situation [2] - 1789:22, 1797:4 1736:22, 1769:10, 1832:5, 1832:10, states [1] - 1861:5 **six** [6] - 1715:14, 1717:8, 1742:3, 1833:14, 1841:11, 1843:22 STATES [3] - 1711:1, 1711:4, 1877:2 1749:5, 1751:12, 1811:15 specifically [3] - 1736:25, 1774:15, States [19] - 1713:2, 1723:3, 1740:23, size [1] - 1747:17 1870:12 1741:3, 1741:4, 1741:7, 1742:22, specificity [1] - 1811:7 sketch [1] - 1801:18 1756:8, 1764:7, 1805:18, 1806:17, ski [1] - 1801:17 speculate [1] - 1808:17 1814:4, 1822:7, 1835:10, 1841:14, skill [1] - 1829:4 speculation [1] - 1825:22 1855:1, 1862:14, 1862:21, 1863:2 skills [3] - 1741:6, 1750:12, 1805:16 speeding [1] - 1791:7 stating [1] - 1819:18 skin [1] - 1747:3 spends [1] - 1811:15 station [5] - 1732:3, 1750:11, 1799:17, skip [2] - 1760:21, 1760:22 spent [2] - 1777:23, 1806:16 1859:11, 1859:15 spin [1] - 1805:9 slammed [1] - 1773:4 statue [3] - 1718:20, 1719:1, 1840:5 slapped [1] - 1772:10 spinning [1] - 1791:15 status [1] - 1714:24 spoken [2] - 1779:19, 1842:21 slate [1] - 1823:6 statute [1] - 1744:19 sleeps [1] - 1811:16 spokesperson [1] - 1867:4 stay [2] - 1720:3, 1874:16 slept [2] - 1735:18, 1767:18 sponsor [1] - 1810:18 stayed [1] - 1765:2 sponsoring [1] - 1806:9 sliced [2] - 1747:1, 1747:20 stenographic [1] - 1818:24 sliding [1] - 1791:15 squalor [1] - 1715:4 step [7] - 1719:11, 1754:3, 1754:5, slowly [1] - 1819:8 stages [1] - 1716:7 1847:22, 1873:7, 1873:9, 1873:14 small [5] - 1740:19, 1752:14, 1815:18, stained [1] - 1811:17 stereo [1] - 1717:1 1831:19, 1875:6 stains [2] - 1779:25, 1780:4 sticks [1] - 1720:17 smashed [1] - 1720:18 stake [1] - 1844:2 still [5] - 1718:8, 1752:4, 1790:25, smoke [1] - 1763:20 stamp [1] - 1776:5 1813:21, 1814:13 softened [1] - 1743:11 stand [10] - 1758:11, 1759:18, 1790:5, stimulate [1] - 1855:11 sole [7] - 1753:3, 1819:25, 1820:16, 1806:25, 1811:3, 1822:11, 1824:2, stipulations [1] - 1820:14 1824:7, 1827:23, 1867:22 1821:7, 1827:16, 1830:10, 1853:15 stop [4] - 1746:18, 1748:10, 1750:25, **solely** [5] - 1821:13, 1829:19, 1831:1, standard [3] - 1793:2, 1793:6, 1816:9 1751:1 1866:18, 1869:10 standing [7] - 1757:8, 1761:2, 1761:3, stopped [1] - 1763:4 solicited [2] - 1783:22, 1786:24 1769:15, 1807:6, 1841:20, 1847:20 story [7] - 1756:12, 1768:15, 1769:16, someone [16] - 1744:20, 1782:20, standpoint [1] - 1814:7 1770:7, 1779:23, 1794:5, 1797:6 1783:3, 1783:5, 1785:3, 1789:12, stands [4] - 1756:7, 1776:18, 1817:1, **straightforward** [2] - 1724:11, 1759:12 1793:12, 1797:16, 1798:20, 1807:20, 1876:3 strange [1] - 1811:15 1811:3, 1829:5, 1849:10, 1849:19, star [3] - 1747:13, 1773:21, 1773:22 strangers [2] - 1784:3, 1811:18 1858:2, 1871:10 star-like [1] - 1747:13 stream [1] - 1861:12 sometime [3] - 1743:19, 1745:4, start (6) - 1723:15, 1734:6, 1738:6. street [2] - 1796:13, 1796:17 1816:24 1744:17, 1754:13, 1762:6 Street [1] - 1712:24 sometimes [4] - 1735:21, 1764:10, started [5] - 1729:15, 1755:2, 1795:19, stricken [2] - 1826:24, 1874:2 1767:10, 1811:9 1815:15, 1873:4 strike [1] - 1871:2 son [2] - 1751:13, 1811:23 starting [9] - 1716:11, 1717:22, strikes [1] - 1819:3 soon [1] - 1769:9 1723:20, 1727:1, 1739:11, 1742:20, striking [1] - 1813:17 sordid [1] - 1805:11 1846:22, 1846:23, 1846:25 strong [3] - 1757:17, 1758:4, 1758:14 Soriano [3] - 1718:9, 1718:17, 1718:21 starts [1] - 1796:23 struck [1] - 1782:5 sorrow [1] - 1757:23 state [29] - 1720:4, 1731:25, 1732:6, stupid [2] - 1761:21 sorry [7] - 1726:9, 1728:4, 1734:7, 1737:16, 1738:14, 1739:24, 1740:1, style [2] - 1717:10, 1717:19

subdued [1] - 1750:7 subject [5] - 1756:11, 1833:12, 1867:11, 1867:17, 1869:18 $\textbf{subjected} \ {\small [3]}-1742{\small :}4,\ 1794{\small :}4,\ 1805{\small :}23$ subjective [1] - 1853:8 subjugation [1] - 1803:7 submissiveness [2] - 1752:10 submit [12] - 1740:2, 1741:12, 1742:9, 1742:16, 1742:17, 1746:9, 1752:9, 1763:7, 1771:11, 1807:9, 1808:12, subpoenas [1] - 1756:2 subscribed [2] - 1728:2, 1728:5 subscriber [2] - 1727:19, 1727:24 **subsequently** [1] - 1840:17 substantial [1] - 1833:17 substantive [5] - 1733:23, 1737:20, 1841:12, 1841:15, 1841:18 substitute [1] - 1829:17 suburbia [1] - 1781:3 success [1] - 1841:24 successful [1] - 1841:21 **successfully** [1] - 1861:22 suck [1] - 1743:14 suffer [1] - 1859:23 suffered [1] - 1741:14 sufficient [11] - 1823:7, 1845:11, 1846:6, 1847:11, 1852:3, 1859:4, 1859:13, 1859:14, 1864:5, 1865:5, 1865:19 suggest [16] - 1766:12, 1777:17, 1778:14, 1778:17, 1779:5, 1781:12, 1782:10, 1783:7, 1784:16, 1789:22, 1791:23, 1793:7, 1794:12, 1799:25, 1805:3, 1807:11 suggested [6] - 1759:11, 1786:19, 1806:13, 1806:19, 1806:22, 1809:15 suggestible [1] - 1795:10 suggesting [5] - 1790:19, 1792:16, 1792:17, 1793:18, 1793:19 suggestion [3] - 1805:12, 1808:10, 1810:9 suggests [1] - 1752:9 sum [2] - 1800:23, 1846:14 summaries [3] - 1827:10, 1827:11, Sunday [3] - 1814:11, 1836:11, 1840:11 Superseding [3] - 1722:15, 1723:10, 1847:9 superseding [1] - 1817:11 **support** [7] - 1723:9, 1732:22, 1744:17, 1753:5, 1769:3, 1792:21, 1813:11 supported [2] - 1729:3, 1828:3 supports [2] - 1722:23, 1745:23 supposed [6] - 1772:21, 1792:10, 1792:15, 1808:5, 1811:18 surely [1] - 1873:3 surprising [1] - 1806:8 surrender [1] - 1869:9

surrounding [6] - 1750:10, 1801:15, 1852:25, 1853:10, 1854:13, 1859:5 surveillance [8] - 1734:4, 1737:1, 1737:6, 1737:8, 1737:18, 1738:10, 1751:3, 1768:18 surveilled [1] - 1732:10 survive [1] - 1717:12 survivor [1] - 1796:3 suspected [3] - 1720:23, 1721:19, 1748:8 suspicion [2] - 1785:4, 1825:11 sustain [2] - 1823:11, 1852:19 sustained [3] - 1771:23, 1773:3, 1773:8 swirling [1] - 1763:21 sworn [1] - 1826:12 Sylvia [2] - 1787:25, 1788:16 sympathy [2] - 1757:23, 1821:10 system [6] - 1755:3, 1756:7, 1756:10, 1757:6, 1757:9, 1763:2 **Sí** [1] - 1795:5 sí [1] - 1795:9

Т

tab [1] - 1799:19 table [1] - 1769:18 talks [1] - 1780:16 tally [8] - 1730:15, 1730:18, 1730:19, 1730:25, 1738:10, 1785:23, 1838:15 tape [2] - 1770:1, 1779:19 tape-recorded [2] - 1770:1, 1779:19 task [1] - 1757:15 technique [1] - 1832:11 techniques [3] - 1800:20, 1832:5, 1832:13 telephone [6] - 1727:24, 1749:9, 1814:14, 1838:8, 1840:3, 1840:16 telephones [2] - 1739:25, 1835:22 telescope [1] - 1783:12 temperature [1] - 1867:18 temporary [2] - 1729:5, 1838:9 ten [2] - 1778:24, 1811:18 tends [1] - 1824:20 term [7] - 1825:7, 1852:8, 1857:16, 1858:10, 1858:16, 1859:2, 1859:19 terms [5] - 1713:17, 1792:19, 1806:9, 1860:1, 1875:17 terrible [1] - 1794:7 terribly [1] - 1802:18 terrified [2] - 1742:1, 1793:12 territory [2] - 1717:3, 1718:8 terrorized [1] - 1720:16 test [2] - 1763:2 testified [44] - 1716:15, 1716:18, 1716:24. 1717:13. 1718:1. 1718:12. 1719:7. 1720:1. 1720:13. 1721:1. 1721:7, 1721:10, 1721:21, 1728:25, 1731:13, 1731:20, 1731:23, 1735:5, 1735:15, 1735:17, 1735:21, 1741:11,

1741:12, 1742:3, 1742:14, 1743:17, 1743:20, 1744:2, 1745:2, 1745:19, 1746:23, 1747:2, 1749:1, 1749:18, 1751:8, 1766:24, 1767:10, 1775:16, 1781:9, 1796:18, 1809:25, 1827:21, 1830:13 testifies [3] - 1824:15, 1824:17, 1827:15 testify [9] - 1795:4, 1823:18, 1823:20, 1823:25, 1824:5, 1824:6, 1824:8, 1829:2. 1829:16 testifying [2] - 1827:23, 1829:10 testimony [97] - 1726:19, 1729:3, 1731:9, 1731:12, 1732:25, 1733:16, 1734:17, 1736:13, 1742:3, 1742:15, 1742:19, 1742:21, 1745:10, 1746:8, 1747:20, 1750:23, 1752:5, 1760:5, 1761:5, 1761:8, 1762:19, 1762:22, 1766:6, 1766:7, 1766:20, 1766:21, 1767:13, 1769:6, 1769:20, 1769:21, 1770:24, 1772:15, 1772:16, 1775:19, 1779:11, 1780:7, 1781:6, 1782:4, 1782:12, 1782:16, 1783:7, 1783:9, 1783:19, 1784:1, 1784:7, 1788:2, 1788:12, 1790:3, 1790:16, 1791:20, 1793:9, 1794:15, 1797:1, 1797:19, 1798:12, 1799:6, 1804:22, 1810:10, 1810:22, 1811:5, 1813:4, 1813:7, 1813:18, 1814:25, 1819:9, 1820:12, 1820:20, 1824:8, 1824:9, 1826:13, 1826:23, 1827:16, 1827:20, 1827:24, 1828:3, 1828:5, 1828:8, 1828:9, 1828:10, 1828:13, 1828:18, 1828:22, 1828:23, 1829:4, 1829:8, 1829:12, 1829:13, 1829:15, 1830:9, 1831:5, 1831:10, 1831:12, 1831:14, 1832:2, 1832:3, 1833:18 Texas [1] - 1787:13 text [10] - 1718:15, 1751:16, 1751:18, 1751:23, 1751:24, 1751:25, 1752:3, 1752:4, 1752:5, 1752:9 texted [1] - 1752:4 texting [1] - 1751:21 texts [1] - 1752:8 thegns [2] - 1755:5, 1756:25 themselves [10] - 1715:11, 1715:13, 1730:3, 1738:21, 1829:25, 1830:2, 1834:14, 1836:3, 1836:10, 1868:8 theories [1] - 1871:10 theory [10] - 1766:5, 1795:15, 1796:9, 1797:22, 1798:3, 1829:5, 1870:24, 1871:13, 1871:18 thereafter [1] - 1782:17 thereby [1] - 1846:17 therefore [9] - 1763:10, 1786:8, 1789:7, 1823:1, 1823:24, 1844:11, 1847:24, 1871:16, 1874:1 thereof [1] - 1845:16 Theresa [2] - 1810:11, 1829:1 they've [4] - 1786:8, 1797:9, 1797:13,

1801.7

thigh [4] - 1746:22, 1747:10, 1747:11, 1758:11, 1760:1, 1760:6, 1761:12, 1760:1, 1761:12, 1762:10, 1762:14, 1772:1 1767:12, 1769:13, 1772:3, 1772:10, 1768:8, 1768:10, 1769:4, 1799:12, thinking [1] - 1778:13 1786:19, 1788:1, 1790:4, 1811:23, 1800:1, 1801:9, 1841:4, 1849:15, 1811:24, 1854:14 1849:17, 1851:17, 1856:5 thinks [1] - 1798:20 top [2] - 1729:13, 1815:4 transported [27] - 1715:1, 1724:20, third [10] - 1736:5, 1740:21, 1765:5, Torres [3] - 1737:22, 1738:8, 1762:17 1724:21. 1734:3. 1735:7. 1739:14. 1815:21, 1842:5, 1847:2, 1855:15, 1766:4. 1768:13. 1836:3. 1836:9. 1856:7, 1857:14, 1860:20 total [2] - 1800:23, 1832:17 1839:8, 1839:20, 1839:24, 1840:8, thirty [1] - 1804:3 touch [1] - 1875:24 1840:12, 1840:24, 1851:8, 1851:9, thirty-eight [1] - 1804:3 touches [1] - 1824:18 1851:22, 1852:2, 1852:7, 1852:16, Thomas [2] - 1757:8, 1757:14 touching [2] - 1867:12, 1867:17 1852:18, 1857:9, 1857:22, 1860:7, thousand [1] - 1756:25 tough [1] - 1794:17 1865:20 thousands [1] - 1797:12 tougher [1] - 1794:25 transporting [6] - 1717:11, 1737:13, threat [13] - 1717:7, 1717:9, 1721:18, touting [1] - 1718:6 1852:10, 1852:11, 1857:20, 1861:9 $1788:16,\,1788:17,\,1789:4,\,1841:22,$ toward [1] - 1785:8 trauma [1] - 1811:5 1858:16, 1858:17, 1858:21, 1859:9, towards [5] - 1783:8, 1783:9, 1783:24, traumatized [1] - 1811:4 1789:10, 1798:7 1859:12 travel [23] - 1723:17, 1723:24, 1725:17, threaten [3] - 1717:22, 1735:24, towed [1] - 1727:4 1734:6, 1734:8, 1734:13, 1734:14, 1746:16 towels [3] - 1715:11, 1835:19, 1839:10 1761:7, 1834:20, 1852:4, 1852:6, threatened [13] - 1720:13, 1720:14, town [2] - 1740:19, 1793:5 1853:17, 1853:20, 1854:16, 1854:22, 1721:2, 1721:3, 1721:18, 1736:1, toxic [3] - 1771:9, 1771:17, 1771:19 1855:3, 1855:8, 1855:18, 1855:19, 1736:2, 1790:7, 1796:8, 1812:8, track [2] - 1786:5, 1786:14 1855:24, 1856:6, 1861:15 1837:2, 1837:5 tracks [1] - 1737:6 traveled [3] - 1736:11, 1836:16, 1855:14 threatening [11] - 1717:18, 1718:15, trade [7] - 1716:6, 1718:2, 1719:19, travelers [1] - 1861:15 1718:17, 1787:25, 1788:5, 1788:22, 1729:9, 1772:1, 1805:7, 1805:12 traveling [1] - 1735:6 1788:24, 1789:3, 1838:24, 1839:4, tradition [2] - 1755:1, 1755:15 travels [1] - 1811:15 1840:3 traffic [3] - 1740:13, 1809:2, 1814:12 threats [18] - 1716:9, 1719:3, 1719:4, treat [1] - 1784:5 trafficked [1] - 1739:1 1722:9, 1748:2, 1748:3, 1748:16, treated [3] - 1735:21, 1790:3, 1790:6 Trafficking [1] - 1765:21 1752:23, 1756:22, 1759:4, 1759:5, treating [2] - 1785:6, 1785:7 trafficking [33] - 1716:6, 1719:12, trial [33] - 1714:13, 1716:4, 1716:13, 1771:3, 1771:4, 1775:13, 1787:8, 1722:21, 1738:25, 1739:3, 1739:9, 1718:1, 1718:25, 1723:9, 1726:8, 1809:3, 1815:14, 1838:8 1740:10, 1742:2, 1749:12, 1750:9, 1726:23, 1727:14, 1734:10, 1745:11, three [11] - 1723:21, 1724:2, 1736:19. 1775:8, 1775:18, 1782:9, 1789:11, 1748:12, 1757:16, 1758:24, 1759:6, 1739:20, 1741:25, 1751:21, 1779:11, 1789:12, 1789:25, 1790:15, 1792:24, 1779:20, 1796:16, 1798:10, 1840:3 1760:8, 1769:18, 1788:13, 1816:3, 1794:8, 1799:11, 1802:2, 1805:7, threw [1] - 1744:23 1820:9, 1821:14, 1823:6, 1823:14, 1806:3, 1808:24, 1856:17, 1857:7, 1825:6, 1826:20, 1830:13, 1831:5, throughout [7] - 1727:13, 1748:12, 1860:4, 1862:6, 1863:4, 1863:5, 1831:10, 1831:12, 1831:13, 1832:2, 1755:23, 1759:5, 1760:7, 1823:3, 1863:9, 1870:6, 1870:7 1832:3, 1848:6 1861:6 tragic [1] - 1815:23 TRIAL [2] - 1711:11, 1877:3 thrown [1] - 1720:17 train [1] - 1799:15 trials [2] - 1757:16, 1757:17 **Thursday** [1] - 1787:10 training [3] - 1810:13, 1829:4, 1859:12 tried [1] - 1816:10 ticket [1] - 1799:16 traits [1] - 1791:22 trier [1] - 1766:16 tickets [2] - 1799:15, 1852:5 transactions [1] - 1861:11 tied [4] - 1775:3, 1796:14, 1797:9, **trip** [2] - 1751:3, 1853:23 transcript [2] - 1830:5, 1876:10 true [6] - 1715:24, 1758:10, 1759:1, 1812:2 transferable [1] - 1797:15 1762:2, 1766:11, 1781:7 ties [1] - 1787:1 translation [3] - 1830:17, 1830:20. trunk [1] - 1717:5 tiny [1] - 1814:18 1830:24 trust [1] - 1744:11 tip [1] - 1747:11 translators [1] - 1830:21 trusted [2] - 1796:12, 1796:21 TO [1] - 1877:11 transport [25] - 1723:17, 1724:18, truth [6] - 1755:8, 1807:22, 1807:23, today [4] - 1719:15, 1802:14, 1804:2, 1725:17, 1726:1, 1732:3, 1737:21, 1813:9, 1813:10, 1828:1 1817:10 1741:8, 1752:15, 1799:13, 1800:5, together [12] - 1723:5, 1723:16, 1730:6, try [8] - 1781:11, 1787:15, 1794:11, 1800:16, 1801:1, 1801:21, 1803:11, 1805:9, 1807:24, 1810:18, 1828:17, 1759:13, 1776:1, 1776:13, 1778:19, 1803:14, 1834:15, 1835:23, 1836:3, 1874:15 1778:20, 1841:7, 1842:15, 1843:10, 1836:9, 1836:13, 1850:5, 1850:16, trying [6] - 1714:2, 1722:2, 1772:23, 1850:25, 1851:18, 1856:24 1807:4, 1811:21, 1870:1 token [2] - 1822:9, 1875:6 transportation [37] - 1722:20, 1723:19, Ts [1] - 1774:25 tokens [1] - 1786:5 1723:24, 1724:15, 1725:5, 1725:19, tolerate [1] - 1802:14 Tuesday [2] - 1786:1, 1786:2 1725:25, 1726:2, 1726:17, 1726:20, turf [1] - 1749:11 toll [2] - 1742:6, 1797:11 1730:2, 1730:8, 1732:22, 1733:19, turn [3] - 1742:20, 1833:3, 1833:19 tongue [1] - 1812:2 1733:21, 1733:24, 1734:7, 1736:23, turned [1] - 1746:11 took [17] - 1717:4, 1743:9, 1747:5, 1736:24, 1738:22, 1744:12, 1759:25,

1756:8, 1764:7, 1805:18, 1806:17,

1814:3, 1822:7, 1835:10, 1841:14,

1854:25, 1862:14, 1862:21, 1863:2

turns [1] - 1803:2 twelve [1] - 1803:1 twenty [1] - 1811:18 twice [3] - 1770:1, 1779:19, 1815:6 two [60] - 1713:7, 1714:22, 1718:8, 1719:14, 1723:22, 1724:1, 1724:24, 1725:2, 1725:12, 1726:4, 1726:14, 1726:19, 1726:24, 1727:14, 1728:15, 1729:21, 1729:23, 1730:18, 1733:15, 1733:18, 1737:8, 1738:5, 1738:11, 1739:19, 1746:22, 1749:19, 1752:12, 1752:18, 1752:23, 1759:20, 1768:19, 1770:11, 1771:6, 1776:1, 1776:12, 1779:18, 1781:5, 1786:17, 1788:7, 1790:11, 1796:11, 1796:12, 1796:13, 1796:16, 1798:12, 1802:6, 1804:3, 1805:5, 1808:21, 1824:11, 1839:8, 1841:7, 1842:2, 1842:11, 1842:21, 1861:4, 1866:11, 1872:10, 1874:17 two-month [1] - 1729:23 type [1] - 1824:14 typed [1] - 1829:20 types [3] - 1824:11, 1848:10, 1859:3

U

U.S [3] - 1711:14, 1711:15, 1712:23

typically [2] - 1836:4, 1836:11

UC [1] - 1777:25 ugly [1] - 1805:11 ultimate [3] - 1777:22, 1778:15, 1853:7 ultimately [2] - 1719:10, 1719:13 umbrella [1] - 1814:8 unanimous [4] - 1867:23, 1868:11, 1868:13, 1868:25 unanimously [1] - 1823:8 uncontested [2] - 1736:13, 1740:3 uncontroversial [1] - 1724:25 undeniable [1] - 1781:19 under [22] - 1717:13, 1733:2, 1734:2, 1744:13, 1744:19, 1749:1, 1775:22, 1814:1, 1814:8, 1819:4, 1823:19, 1827:20, 1846:9, 1847:10, 1848:18, 1851:15, 1858:21, 1859:4, 1863:3, 1864:5, 1866:19 underlying [1] - 1827:11 understandable [1] - 1757:25 understood [1] - 1782:15 undertake [1] - 1800:19 undertaken [1] - 1798:7 undertaking [1] - 1846:17 undertook [1] - 1808:4 uneducated [2] - 1792:1, 1802:15 unequivocal [1] - 1736:13 unfortunate [2] - 1756:13, 1764:3 unimaginably [1] - 1807:5 UNITED [3] - 1711:1, 1711:4, 1877:2 United [19] - 1713:2, 1723:3, 1740:23,

1741:3, 1741:4, 1741:6, 1742:22,

universe [1] - 1767:14 unknown [5] - 1834:14, 1835:1, 1835:4, 1835:8, 1835:12 unlawful [21] - 1723:23, 1725:16, 1841:8, 1842:3, 1842:11, 1842:22, 1843:11, 1843:22, 1844:10, 1844:13, 1845:3, 1845:15, 1846:5, 1846:13, 1846:15, 1846:18, 1847:19, 1848:12, 1849:9, 1851:14, 1856:13 unlawfully [1] - 1835:10 unless [2] - 1823:8, 1823:16 unlike [1] - 1805:3 unnecessary [1] - 1827:12 unpleasant [1] - 1756:12 unquestionably [1] - 1765:19 Unready [1] - 1755:2 unrelenting [1] - 1716:2 unsafe [1] - 1770:11 unspoken [1] - 1842:21 unusual [3] - 1772:5, 1773:16, 1781:22 unwillingness [2] - 1807:11, 1812:18 up [37] - 1714:25, 1717:19, 1727:13, 1732:2, 1735:4, 1735:7, 1735:8, 1735:13, 1737:8, 1738:11, 1738:13, 1751:10, 1753:18, 1754:4, 1756:17, 1757:15, 1759:18, 1762:22, 1763:4, 1767:11, 1769:18, 1772:16, 1777:10, 1783:2, 1792:18, 1799:16, 1799:23, 1803:2, 1805:17, 1805:18, 1812:2, 1812:21, 1814:11, 1872:16, 1873:4, 1873:6 upbringing [1] - 1805:14 upset [3] - 1721:22, 1741:24, 1758:16 upstairs [1] - 1729:4 upwards [2] - 1715:8, 1738:18 urban [1] - 1794:22 usual [1] - 1816:18 utter [5] - 1715:25, 1716:1, 1742:14, 1802:22, 1810:9 uttered [1] - 1854:11 utterly [4] - 1801:7, 1806:14, 1807:12,

V

1810:20

vacancy [1] - 1751:7
vacation [2] - 1811:11, 1811:24
value [9] - 1716:2, 1824:25, 1851:13, 1856:12, 1857:2, 1857:12, 1857:25, 1860:9, 1860:24
van [1] - 1720:17
vanished [1] - 1796:4
vans [2] - 1836:2, 1836:8
variety [2] - 1725:8, 1766:24
various [13] - 1749:2, 1749:14, 1756:22, 1769:18, 1771:23, 1778:25, 1779:7,

1836:3, 1840:13 varying [1] - 1853:17 vast [1] - 1835:9 vehicle [7] - 1727:8, 1738:12, 1738:16, 1761:2, 1761:3, 1761:6, 1865:20 vehicles [3] - 1836:2, 1836:8, 1836:13 VENTURA [7] - 1711:6, 1741:16, 1809:9, 1809:13, 1809:24, 1810:3, 1877.2 Ventura [243] - 1711:16, 1715:19, 1715:20, 1716:6, 1716:17, 1716:19, 1716:22, 1716:25, 1717:1, 1717:5, 1717:14, 1717:21, 1718:1, 1718:4, 1718:6, 1718:11, 1718:12, 1718:14, 1718:17, 1718:20, 1718:23, 1719:9, 1719:17, 1719:21, 1720:6, 1720:10, 1720:12, 1720:13, 1721:2, 1721:5, 1721:10, 1721:15, 1721:24, 1722:6, 1722:10, 1722:14, 1723:4, 1724:12, 1726:8, 1726:12, 1726:13, 1728:1, 1728:5, 1729:1, 1729:12, 1729:21, 1730:12, 1730:21, 1731:3, 1731:10, 1731:12, 1731:15, 1731:21, 1731:24, 1732:2, 1732:8, 1732:9, 1732:16, 1732:19, 1732:23, 1733:12, 1733:23, 1733:24, 1735:2, 1735:6, 1735:10, 1735:13, 1736:6, 1736:16, 1737:4, 1737:5, 1737:12, 1737:21, 1737:23, 1737:25, 1738:3, 1738:9, 1738:12, 1738:17, 1738:20, 1739:2, 1739:3, 1739:7, 1739:9, 1740:8, 1743:19, 1743:20, 1744:1, 1744:2, 1744:3, 1744:18, 1744:23, 1745:7, 1745:22, 1745:24, 1746:15, 1746:23, 1747:1, 1747:20, 1748:1, 1748:6, 1748:8, 1748:11, 1748:18, 1748:24, 1749:2, 1749:5, 1749:12, 1749:19, 1750:1, 1750:2, 1750:6, 1750:15, 1750:18, 1750:22, 1751:10, 1751:19, 1751:25, 1752:5, 1752:10, 1754:25, 1755:18, 1756:6, 1758:11, 1758:15, 1760:8, 1760:16, 1761:2, 1762:20, 1764:12, 1764:14, 1764:19, 1764:22, 1765:6, 1765:8, 1765:20, 1766:6, 1766:7, 1766:18, 1766:21, 1766:24, 1767:18, 1768:25, 1769:11, 1772:4, 1772:9, 1772:14, 1772:22, 1773:14, 1773:24, 1773:25, 1774:23, 1775:3, 1776:7, 1779:2, 1779:3, 1779:10, 1779:16, 1780:19, 1781:2, 1781:6, 1782:3, 1783:21, 1784:1, 1784:9, 1784:22, 1785:11, 1786:13, 1786:24, 1787:5, 1787:8, 1787:12, 1787:18, 1788:13, 1788:17, 1788:19, 1789:8, 1789:13,

1789:14, 1789:17, 1793:13, 1794:14,

1795:20, 1796:9, 1798:14, 1798:25,

1799:23, 1802:9, 1802:19, 1802:20,

1805:9, 1805:25, 1807:4, 1809:11,

1810:1, 1810:5, 1810:7, 1810:11,

1786:4, 1815:10, 1815:11, 1828:11,

1813:14, 1813:22, 1815:4, 1815:9, 1815:12, 1833:25, 1836:22, 1837:9, 1838:2, 1838:7, 1838:11, 1838:14, 1838:20, 1838:23, 1839:3, 1839:8, 1839:12, 1839:15, 1839:19, 1839:24, 1840:2, 1840:8, 1840:12, 1840:16, 1840:19, 1840:23, 1850:9, 1850:12, 1850:16. 1850:25. 1854:21. 1862:4. 1862:11, 1871:14, 1871:19, 1873:15, 1873:24 Ventura's [32] - 1718:23, 1719:1, 1719:6, 1719:11, 1720:19, 1727:22, 1729:2, 1730:25, 1731:8, 1733:4, 1733:9, 1734:5, 1735:1, 1735:16, 1736:11, 1737:14, 1748:2, 1748:14, 1750:6, 1750:24, 1752:13, 1764:12, 1780:10, 1785:22, 1785:23, 1787:11, 1788:17, 1789:19, 1794:16, 1806:1, 1806:10, 1808:20 VENTURA.....[1] - 1877:8 venture [7] - 1739:16, 1841:24, 1844:2, 1857:2, 1857:12, 1858:1, 1860:10 verdict [41] - 1713:9, 1757:1, 1758:5, 1758:10, 1758:20, 1759:1, 1760:11, 1762:12, 1763:10, 1775:4, 1792:23, 1803:8, 1803:9, 1803:16, 1803:17, 1817:11, 1819:1, 1819:23, 1820:18, 1821:13, 1821:25, 1832:20, 1832:23, 1832:25, 1846:24, 1863:11, 1866:22, 1867:23, 1868:2, 1868:5, 1868:11, 1868:12, 1868:13, 1868:16, 1868:17, 1868:22, 1868:23, 1868:24, 1868:25, verdicts [5] - 1753:13, 1804:15, 1805:3, 1816:4, 1822:13 version [2] - 1817:10, 1817:13 versus [1] - 1812:22 via [1] - 1817:11 victim [4] - 1716:16, 1739:2, 1740:17, 1860:2 victim's [1] - 1717:16 victims [1] - 1753:10 Victor [3] - 1717:2, 1717:7, 1717:22 Victoria [1] - 1712:3 view [5] - 1782:1, 1782:2, 1791:21, 1817:20, 1869:2 viewed [2] - 1775:17, 1775:24 views [1] - 1869:7 **VIII** [1] - 1877:3 violate [1] - 1841:9 violation [9] - 1715:7, 1739:5, 1834:18, 1834:22, 1841:11, 1849:20, 1854:25, 1856:15, 1862:15 violations [1] - 1857:5 violence [50] - 1716:10, 1719:3, 1719:10, 1719:18, 1722:9, 1740:10, 1740:12, 1748:3, 1752:23, 1752:24, 1759:5, 1763:21, 1771:3, 1771:18,

1771:20, 1773:11, 1775:13, 1776:3,

1776:4, 1781:15, 1783:8, 1783:9,

1783:24, 1785:8, 1789:8, 1789:10, 1798:2, 1798:5, 1798:7, 1805:25, 1812:18, 1813:17, 1837:2, 1837:5, 1862:2, 1862:6, 1862:13, 1862:19, 1863:1, 1863:3, 1863:5, 1863:8, 1863:12, 1863:18, 1863:20, 1864:21, 1865:10, 1865:17, 1871:11 violent [4] - 1718:6, 1784:4, 1787:4 Virginia [23] - 1726:9, 1726:10, 1726:15, 1726:18, 1731:8, 1732:16, 1732:19, 1733:1, 1735:17, 1736:25, 1737:7, 1737:17, 1749:6, 1760:15, 1768:20, 1768:21, 1791:1, 1797:8, 1813:23, 1814:11, 1834:8, 1839:21, 1840:1 virtually [1] - 1807:13 virtue [2] - 1813:18, 1824:18 visibly [2] - 1721:22, 1741:24 voice [1] - 1752:10 VOL [1] - 1877:3 Volume [1] - 1711:6 voluntarily [8] - 1791:18, 1834:13, 1843:15, 1852:12, 1852:22, 1856:1, 1864:11, 1866:2 voluntariness [2] - 1725:20, 1791:19 vote [2] - 1785:9, 1785:11 vulnerable [2] - 1714:23, 1795:10

W

wait [3] - 1764:18, 1777:8, 1872:8

wants [7] - 1743:18, 1761:9, 1761:18, 1762:3, 1764:19, 1766:5, 1792:2 wapentakes [1] - 1755:6 warrant [1] - 1767:12 warrants [2] - 1755:22, 1756:1 wars [1] - 1749:11 Washington [3] - 1731:19, 1765:16, 1766.18 watch [5] - 1714:18, 1737:8, 1754:18, 1772:15, 1777:5 watching [1] - 1738:12 water [2] - 1742:8, 1799:9 waver [1] - 1742:19 ways [2] - 1752:23, 1806:2 WDQ-10-0770 [1] - 1711:5 weakened [1] - 1744:23 weapon [10] - 1864:12, 1864:15, 1864:23, 1864:24, 1864:25, 1865:8, 1865:18, 1865:23, 1866:3, 1871:19 weapon's [1] - 1865:22 weapons [1] - 1787:2 wear [1] - 1777:14 wearing [1] - 1767:25 weather [1] - 1791:13 Wednesday [1] - 1787:11 week [14] - 1732:18, 1735:8, 1735:11, 1765:4, 1765:5, 1784:9, 1784:10, 1785:1, 1785:2, 1785:3, 1785:25, 1811:14

week's [2] - 1732:18, 1765:1 weekly [1] - 1732:5 weeks [11] - 1716:5, 1720:15, 1729:12, 1758:13, 1776:12, 1779:11, 1779:20, 1786:17, 1805:6, 1872:10, 1874:17 weigh [1] - 1866:16 weighed [1] - 1860:17 weighing [1] - 1829:8 weight [8] - 1820:1, 1821:6, 1821:8, 1828:14, 1828:24, 1829:13, 1831:25, 1869.9 welcome [1] - 1802:10 welfare [1] - 1841:23 West [1] - 1712:24 wet [3] - 1777:15, 1791:8 whack [1] - 1798:4 whacked [1] - 1796:12 whatsoever [5] - 1757:21, 1767:20, 1797:17, 1806:23, 1816:12 whining [1] - 1869:19 whipped [2] - 1745:8, 1745:18 whole [9] - 1763:14, 1785:16, 1798:3, 1799:19, 1799:22, 1812:16, 1812:23, 1813:24, 1819:19 wholehearted [1] - 1807:18 wife [1] - 1788:15 willful [3] - 1783:11, 1783:13, 1783:15 willfully [6] - 1724:2, 1842:5, 1843:14, 1843:20, 1845:16, 1847:17 willfulness [2] - 1853:24, 1854:12 WILLIAM [1] - 1711:11 William [1] - 1713:4 willing [7] - 1736:16, 1779:12, 1792:11, 1807:15, 1807:20, 1807:21, 1846:18 windbag [1] - 1787:9 window [3] - 1719:1, 1720:17, 1847:1 windows [2] - 1715:5, 1738:20 winter [1] - 1791:13 wisdom [1] - 1819:20 wise [2] - 1813:2, 1813:3 wisely [1] - 1756:9 wish [2] - 1771:7, 1814:16 wished [1] - 1718:20 witness [36] - 1726:19, 1743:25, 1758:11, 1765:25, 1766:22, 1770:8, 1773:16, 1822:23, 1824:2, 1824:6, 1824:9, 1824:15, 1824:16, 1827:16, 1827:19, 1827:21, 1828:1, 1828:6, 1828:7, 1828:9, 1828:11, 1828:15, 1828:18, 1828:23, 1828:25, 1829:2, 1830:13, 1830:14, 1830:25, 1831:2, 1831:3, 1831:10, 1831:11, 1831:16, 1831:19 witness' [9] - 1827:22, 1827:23, 1827:25, 1828:2, 1828:3, 1828:5, 1829:12, 1831:5, 1832:1

witnessed [2] - 1748:4, 1748:6

witnesses [12] - 1726:7, 1726:16,

1743:2, 1755:21, 1760:16, 1767:13,

1795:23, 1820:2, 1820:12, 1821:7,

1826:13, 1832:4 witnesses' [1] - 1826:25 woman [29] - 1717:10, 1717:12, 1719:22, 1719:23, 1721:11, 1722:1, 1722:2, 1732:2, 1732:10, 1734:17, 1735:1, 1736:8, 1737:21, 1737:22, 1737:24, 1739:1, 1740:22, 1740:24, 1741:2, 1743:18, 1748:7, 1750:21, 1767:25, 1800:9, 1805:23, 1811:14, 1813:9 woman's [2] - 1751:6, 1752:9 women [45] - 1714:23, 1714:25, 1715:8, 1715:9, 1719:20, 1720:19, 1721:6, 1722:20, 1724:15, 1725:5, 1725:8, 1726:2, 1726:17, 1729:15, 1731:22, 1731:25, 1732:5, 1732:8, 1732:19, 1733:21, 1733:24, 1734:3, 1736:3, 1738:5, 1744:4, 1745:12, 1746:17, 1766:24, 1767:4, 1783:10, 1800:1, 1801:21, 1805:12, 1835:24, 1836:3, 1836:5, 1840:24 women's [1] - 1752:19 wonder [3] - 1720:20, 1736:3, 1811:21 wonderful [1] - 1811:24 word [14] - 1757:21, 1757:22, 1766:12, 1767:22, 1771:12, 1779:15, 1780:21, 1786:7, 1791:11, 1794:23, 1812:21, 1812:22, 1864:13, 1866:4 words [13] - 1757:4, 1771:15, 1772:25, 1794:16, 1824:16, 1830:23, 1842:17, 1843:6, 1849:25, 1853:10, 1853:21, 1854:6, 1854:10 wore [1] - 1780:3 worker [3] - 1718:13, 1748:11, 1843:24 works [2] - 1784:14, 1785:11 workweek [1] - 1738:7 world [3] - 1715:5, 1715:14, 1802:17 wounds [1] - 1747:19 write [2] - 1770:14, 1783:2 writing [4] - 1739:17, 1842:17, 1867:10, 1867:12 written [2] - 1739:18, 1787:9 wrote [3] - 1787:9, 1787:11, 1853:5

Y

Yasser [22] - 1711:15, 1723:1, 1741:19, 1757:19, 1760:25, 1762:16, 1763:25, 1764:14, 1765:25, 1766:5, 1769:22, 1785:15, 1787:24, 1798:13, 1798:18, 1798:25, 1800:3, 1804:22, 1806:2,

YASSER [7] - 1713:25, 1714:6, 1714:20, 1722:19, 1728:22, 1741:20, 1741:23 year [10] - 1730:6, 1730:10, 1751:14, 1755:3, 1787:19, 1790:25, 1797:11,

1807:2, 1811:2, 1818:22

1797:12, 1811:1

yahoo [1] - 1797:3 yahoos [1] - 1796:16 years [21] - 1716:17, 1716:18, 1740:18, 1740:21, 1741:25, 1752:12, 1755:18, 1756:1, 1757:1, 1777:23, 1779:18, 1780:16, 1780:17, 1780:18, 1781:16, 1788:14, 1796:12, 1796:13, 1804:4, 1804:13. 1806:17 years' [1] - 1801:20 yelling [1] - 1781:20 Yenis [1] - 1767:1 yesterday [6] - 1715:23, 1742:15, 1758:11, 1787:12, 1807:5, 1808:2 York [2] - 1731:25, 1732:3 young [9] - 1717:12, 1722:1, 1734:17, 1739:1, 1740:22, 1744:7, 1769:8, 1805:23, 1813:8 yourself [11] - 1713:20, 1750:20, 1758:23, 1775:5, 1788:21, 1794:22, 1794:25, 1843:17, 1869:4, 1874:10, 1875:19 yourselves [3] - 1724:12, 1776:17, 1867:3

Z

Zelaya [3] - 1718:4, 1718:13, 1801:5